## **Supporting Information**

# Catechol/boronic acid chemistry for the creation of block copolymers with a multi-stimuli responsive junction

Fanny Coumes<sup>1</sup>, Aurélie Malfait<sup>1</sup>, Marc Bria<sup>2</sup>, Joël Lyskawa<sup>1</sup>, Patrice Woisel<sup>\*1</sup> and David Fournier<sup>\*1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université Lille 1, Unité des Matériaux Et Transformations (UMET, UMR CNRS 8207),

Ingénierie des Systèmes Polymères (ISP) team, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France

<sup>2</sup> Centre Commun de mesure RMN, Université Lille 1, Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France

\*Corresponding author: david.fournier@univ-lille1.fr

#### **Characterization of Boro-CTA:**



Figure S1. (A) <sup>13</sup>C NMR of Boro-CTA (B) COSY NMR of Boro-CTA.

<u><sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d<sub>6</sub>*), δ (ppm/TMS)</u>: 0.93 (d, 6H, CH-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.68 (s, 6H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>),
1.86 (m, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.18 (t, 2H, S-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH), 5.08 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Aryl), 7.35 (d, 2H, Aryl-*H<sub>i</sub> H<sub>i</sub>*), 7.75 (s, 1H, Aryl-*H<sub>k</sub>*), 7.87 (s, 1H, Aryl-*H<sub>m</sub>*)

# $\frac{^{13}\text{C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-}d_{6})}{^{127.4}(C_{k}), 129.7(C_{1}), 133.9(C_{j}), 134(C_{m}), 134.4(C_{o}), 131.7(C_{n}), 171.7(C_{g}), 220.3(C_{d})}$

## Characterization of ND-CTA :



Figure S2. (A)  $^1\!\mathrm{H}$  NMR, (B)  $^{13}\!\mathrm{C}$  NMR and (C) COSY NMR of ND-CTA .

<u><sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ (ppm/TMS)</u>:

0.99 (d, 6H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.66 (s, 6H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-CO), 1.92 (sept, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.06 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-Aryl), 3.10 (d, 2H, S-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH), 3.60 (q, 2H, NH-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.89 (s, 1H, Aryl- $H_k$ ), 7.38 (t, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH-CO), 7.55 (s, 1H, Aryl- $H_n$ ).

<u><sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)</u>:

22.1 ( $C_a$ ), 25.7 ( $C_f$ ), 27.8 ( $C_b$ ), 31.3 ( $C_i$ ), 42.1 ( $C_h$ ), 45.5 ( $C_c$ ), 56.7 ( $C_e$ ), 112.2 ( $C_n$ ), 117.1 ( $C_k$ ), 127.8 ( $C_j$ ), 141, ( $C_o$ ), 143.6 ( $C_m$ ), 149.7 ( $C_l$ ), 174.7 ( $C_g$ ), 220.3 ( $C_d$ ).

<u>HRMS (ESI)</u>: m/z calcd. for  $C_{17}H_{24}N_2O_5S_3Na$  [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 455.074, Found 455.073.

Typical procedure for the polymerization of monomer using functionalized ND-CTA or Boro-CTA

Monomer	Abbreviation	Time of polymerization	Purification
Dimethylacrylamide	DMAc	1h	Precipitation in Et <sub>2</sub> O
N-isopropylacrylamide	NIPAM	1h30	Precipitation in Et <sub>2</sub> O
Styrene	Sty	48h	Precipitation in MeOH
n-butyl acrylate	<i>n</i> BuA	1h	Precipitation in MeOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (50/50)
Tert-butyl acrylate	tBuA	1h	Precipitation in MeOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (50/50)
Methyl Acrylate	MA	40min	Precipitation in MeOH/H <sub>2</sub> O (50/50)
Di(ethylene glycol) methyl ether acrylate	DEGA	2h	Dialysis in acetone
Poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether acrylate $(M_n=480 \text{ g.mol}^{-1})$	PEGA	2h	Dialysis in acetone

Tableau S1. Method of purification of polymers and time of polymerization

From Figure 2A could be extracted the apparent rate constants (s<sup>-1</sup>) of the RAFT polymerizations involving **Boro-CTA** with DMAc (8x10<sup>-4</sup>), NIPAM (3x10<sup>-4</sup>), MA (5x10<sup>-4</sup>), PEGA (4x10<sup>-4</sup>), DEGA (6x10<sup>-4</sup>), *n*BuA (11x10<sup>-4</sup>) and *t*BuA (6x10<sup>-4</sup>).

From Figure 3A could be extracted the apparent rate constants (s<sup>-1</sup>) of the RAFT polymerizations involving **ND-CTA** with DMAc (8x10<sup>-4</sup>), NIPAM (3x10<sup>-4</sup>), MA (26x10<sup>-4</sup>), PEGA (3x10<sup>-4</sup>), DEGA (4x10<sup>-4</sup>), *n*BuA (4x10<sup>-4</sup>) and *t*BuA (8x10<sup>-4</sup>).



<u><sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, Acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ (ppm/TMS)</u>: 7.58 (H<sub>o</sub>); 7.12 (H<sub>l</sub>); 6.92 (H<sub>h</sub>); 4.26 (H<sub>s</sub>); 3.87 (H<sub>t</sub>); 3.62 (H<sub>u</sub>); 3.32 (H<sub>v</sub>); 2.51 (H<sub>q</sub>); 1.77 (H<sub>r</sub>); 1.15 (H<sub>e</sub>); 1.09 (H<sub>a</sub>)

Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of typical ND-PPEGA (*M<sub>n,NMR</sub>* = 12000 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>, *Đ*=1.2)



Figure S4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of typical ND-PDEGA ( $M_{n,NMR}$  = 17000 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\mathcal{D}$ =1.2)

 $\frac{^{1}\text{H NMR (300 MHz, D_{2}\text{O}), \delta (ppm/TMS)}}{3.69+3.61 (H_{u}); 3.38 (H_{v}); 2.43 (H_{r}); 1.77 (H_{q}); 1.07 (H_{a+e})}; 6.87 (H_{h}); 4.26 (H_{s}); 3.75 (H_{t}); 3.69+3.61 (H_{u}); 3.38 (H_{v}); 2.43 (H_{r}); 1.77 (H_{q}); 1.07 (H_{a+e})}$ 



Figure S5. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of typical ND-PNIPAM ( $M_{n,NMR}$  = 4400 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\mathcal{D}$ =1.2)

<u><sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, aceton-*d*<sub>6</sub>), δ (ppm/TMS)</u>: 7.0 (H<sub>s</sub>+Ar*H*); 3.87 (H<sub>u</sub>); 3.2 (H<sub>j</sub>); 2.12 (H<sub>r</sub>); 1.51 (H<sub>q</sub>); 1.02 (H<sub>t</sub>); 0.89 (H<sub>a+e</sub>)



Figure S6. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of typical Boro-PMA ( $M_{n,NMR}$  = 4600 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\mathcal{D}$ =1.1) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  (ppm/TMS): 7.97 (H<sub>1</sub>); 7.76 (H<sub>n</sub>); 7.38 (H<sub>j+m</sub>); 5.04 (H<sub>h</sub>); 3.75 (H<sub>s</sub>); 2.25 (H<sub>r</sub>); 1.62 (H<sub>q</sub>); 1.09 (H<sub>e</sub>); 0.97 (H<sub>a</sub>)



Figure S7. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of typical Boro-PnBuA ( $M_{n,NMR}$  = 3700 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\mathcal{P}$ =1.1) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  (ppm/TMS): 7.99 (H<sub>1</sub>); 7.74 (H<sub>n</sub>); 7.36 (H<sub>j+m</sub>); 5.15 (H<sub>h</sub>); 3.99 (H<sub>s</sub>); 2.28 (H<sub>r</sub>); 1.55+1.32 (H<sub>q+t+u</sub>); 1.09 (H<sub>e</sub>); 0.99 (H<sub>a</sub>); 0.90 (H<sub>v</sub>)



Figure S8. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of typical Boro-PNIPAM ( $M_{n,NMR}$  = 4500 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\mathcal{D}$ =1.2)

 $\frac{^{1}\text{H NMR (300 MHz, aceton-}d_{6}), \delta (\text{ppm/TMS})}{1.02 (\text{H}_{t}); 0.88 (\text{H}_{a})} \approx 6.75 (\text{H}_{s} + \text{Ar}H); 3.86 (\text{H}_{u}); 2.11 (\text{H}_{r}); 1.29 (\text{H}_{q});$