Supporting Information

Folding-Directed Catalytic Microenvironment in Helical Dynamic

Covalent Polymers Formed by Spontaneous Configuration Control

Wenfang Li, Chenyang Zhang, Shuaiwei Qi, Xiaoli Deng, Wei Wang, Bing Yang, Junqiu Liu, Zeyuan Dong*

State Key Laboratory of Supramolecular Structure and Materials, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China

* Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z. Y. Dong (Email: zdong@jlu.edu.cn).

Table of Contents

Figure S1. The stable conformations of structural motifs and polymer 1
Figure S2. product with the same skeleton of polymer 1
Figure S3. ¹ H NMR spectrum of compound 4 S4
Figure S4. 2D NMR spectra of the compound 4
Figure S5. The crystal structure of compound 4
Figure S6. The synthetic procedure of the chiral dynamic covalent helical polymer 2
and 3Se
Figure S7. MALDI-TOF MS of polymer 1 S6
Figure S8. The GPC curve of polymer 1 S7
Figure S9. AFM image and height profile of aggregates from polymer 1
Figure S10. TEM image of aggregates formed by self-assembly of polymer 1
Figure S11. TGA and DTG profiles of polymer 1
Figure S12. catalytic ability of mixed monomers compared with the blank under same
conditions
Figure S13. Plots of absorbance vs time and initial oxidation rates V_0 vs different
concentration of PhSH
Figure S14. Plots of absorbance vs time with different big concentration of PhSH . S10
Figure S15. The synthetic procedure of polymer 1 and compound 4S11
Figure S16. UV spectrum of monomer 8, 9 and polymer 1 S12
Figure S17. ¹ H NMR and IR spectra of polymer 1
Experimental Procedures and Compound Characterizations
NMR data and crystal data



 $R = n - C_{12} H_{25}$

Figure S1. The stable conformation analyses of structural motifs and polymer 1 formed by dynamic covalent reaction including *cis* and *trans* conformations.



Figure S2. An experiment whose structure of product (compound 4) is the same with the skeleton of polymer 1.



Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **4**.



Figure S4. 2D NMR spectra of the compound above (15 mM) in $CDCl_3$ at 298 K. The yellow border indicates the NOE interactions present between the protons in the structure.



Figure S5. The crystal structure of the compound 4.





 $R = n - C_{12} H_{25}$

Figure S6. The synthetic procedure of the chiral dynamic covalent helical polymer 2 and 3.



Figure S7. MALDI-TOF MS of polymer **1**. The mass difference between neighboring peaks is 879 g/mol that is consistent with the actual molecular weight of repeating units.



Figure S8. The GPC curve of polymer 1.



Figure S9. AFM image and height profile of aggregates from polymer 1.



Figure S10. TEM image of aggregates formed by self-assembly of polymer 1.



Figure S11. DSC spectrum of polymer 1.



Figure S12. TGA and DTG profiles of polymer 1.



Figure S13. Mixed monomers (monomer 8 and monomer 9, 1μ M) in solvent of 3:1 chloroform/ methanol at 37 °C without obvious catalytic ability compared with the blank under the same conditions.



Figure S14. a) Plots of absorbance vs time during the oxidation of different concentration of PhSH by H_2O_2 (200 μ M) with catalyst DCHP (1 μ M) in mixed solvent of 3:1 chloroform/ hexane with 1% acetonitrile at 37 °C. Blank: PhSH: 100 μ M, H_2O_2 : 200 μ M, DCHP: none at 37 °C. b) Plot of initial oxidation rates V_0 vs different concentration of PhSH with same conditions of plot a) at 37 °C, the concentration were 0 μ M, 1 μ M, 2.5 μ M, 5 μ M, 10 μ M, 20 μ M, 40 μ M, 80 μ M, respectively.





Figure S15. The synthetic procedure of the dynamic covalent helical polymer 1 and compound 4.



Figure S16. UV spectrum of monomer 8, 9 and polymer 1.



Figure S17. ¹H NMR spectrum of polymer 1 (Mn 31000 g/mol, PDI 1.46) in CDCl₃.



Figure S18. IR spectrum of polymer 1.

Experimental Procedures and Compound Characterizations

Synthesis of compound **7**

To a mixed solution of triphenylphosphine (21.4g, 81.6mmol), lauryl alcohol (13.9ml, 61.2mmol) and dimethyl-10-methyl-4,6-dioxo-1,4,6,9-tetrahydropyrido[3,2-g] quino-line-2,8-dicarboxylate (7.0g, 20.5mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (300ml) under N₂ atmosphere and ice bath condition was added diisopropyl azodiformate (16.1ml, 81.6mmol). The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude mixture was purified by recrystallization in methanol to provide the desired product **1** (11.5g, 16.9mmol) as yellow powder, yield 83%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.08 (s, 1H), 7.51 (s, 2H), 4.36 (t, J=6.4, 4H), 4.09 (s, 6H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 2.07 – 2.00 (m, 4H), 1.66 – 1.59 (m, 4H), 1.48 – 1.41 (m, 4H), 1.39 – 1.24 (m, 28H), 0.87 (t, J=6.9, 6H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 166.55, 163.46, 149.77, 145.95, 139.18, 121.60, 113.52, 98.78, 77.41, 77.16, 76.91, 69.22, 53.26, 32.04, 29.83, 29.79, 29.50, 29.48, 28.97, 26.25, 22.80, 14.20, 13.19. MS (TOF MS ES+): calcd for [C₄₁H₆₂N₂O₆H +H] ⁺: 679.46; found: 679.36.

Synthesis of compound 8

Hydrazine hydrate (2.14ml, 44.2mmol) was added to a solution of compound 7 (1.5g, 2.21mmol) in a mixture of tetrahydrofuran (3 mL) and trichloromethane (3ml), then triethylamine (6.2ml, 44.2mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at room temperature , Then the solvents were evaporated to dryness, the residue was washed with water and the pure product was obtained by filtration as a yellow powder, Yield 90%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.29 (s, 2H), 9.01 (s, 1H), 7.56 (s, 2H), 4.37 (t, J=6.5, 4H), 4.18 (d, J=4.6, 4H), 3.26 (s, 3H), 2.07 – 2.00 (m, 4H), 1.65 – 1.59 (m, 4H), 1.48 – 1.41 (m, 4H), 1.39 – 1.24 (m, 28H), 0.87 (t, J=6.9, 6H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 165.32, 164.01, 151.03, 145.12, 136.14, 121.39, 120.17, 114.12, 96.63, 77.41, 77.16, 76.91, 69.48, 32.07, 29.85, 29.82, 29.81, 29.53, 29.51, 29.02, 26.27, 22.82, 14.22, 12.91. MS (TOF MS ES+): calcd for [C₃₉H₆₂N₆O₄+H]⁺: 679.48; found: 679.25.

Synthesis of compound 1

Catalytic amount of acetic acid (0.2ml) was added to a mixture of 8 (0.72g, 1.06mmol) and 9 (0.25g, 1.06mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (10ml) under nitrogen condition, the mixture was sealed and refluxed at 120 °C without disturbance for 4 days. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was obtained as brown powder, which was washed by dioxane for 3 times and recrystallized by methanol, then dried under vacuum at 50 °C for 4 h to afford a red-brown powder (0.89g, 92%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.39 – 9.05 (m, 2H), 8.79 – 5.22 (m, 9H), 4.81 – 2.94 (m, 7H), 2.53 – 0.30 (m, 46H). Elemental analysis calculated for [C₅₃H₆₆N₈O₄] _n: C 72.41, H 7.57, N 12.75; found: C 67.81, H 7.42, N 11.47. IR [cm⁻¹]: 3279, 3059, 2925, 2851, 1704, 1602, 1522, 1483, 1462, 1362, 1311, 1283, 1260, 1208, 1195, 1136, 1033, 940, 891, 849, 803,

790, 772,720. Decomposition temperature > 300 °C.

Synthesis of compound 2 and 3

(S)-(-)- α -methylbenzylamine (0.012mmol) was added to the mixture of polymer **1** (100 mg) in chloroform under anhydrous atmosphere. The sealed reaction mixture was stirred for 6h at 35 °C, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was dispersed into methanol. The precipitation was filtered and collected, and the residue was washed with methanol three times to afford a brown solid (90 mg, 90%). Decomposition temperature > 300 °C.

Compound **3** (88mg) was also prepared by using (R)-(+)- α -methylbenzylamine in the same way as mentioned above. The characterization is the same as compound **2**.

Synthesis of compound 4

To a mixture of compound 5 (0.24g, 0.75mmol) and 6 (0.10g, 0.50mmol), added 6 ml of dry chloroform, the mixture was stirred at 35 °C overnight, then the solvent was removed in vacuo and the pure white product was obtained (0.21g, 87%) by column chromatography (silica gel, dichloromethane/ methanol =100/1). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.44 (s, 1H), 8.53 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 8.31 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.65 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.91 (s, 3H), 2.20 (m, J = 13.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.08 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 162.54, 160.17, 155.55, 150.07, 149.02, 148.44, 147.56, 146.79, 145.30, 141.32, 139.41, 136.61, 131.87, 129.40, 126.23, 124.06, 121.81, 120.47, 113.83, 100.96, 77.41, 77.16, 77.06, 76.91, 28.24, 19.34, 19.07. MS (TOF MS ES+): calcd for [C₂₅H₂₂N₆O₆+H] ⁺: 503.16; found: 503.16.

NMR data



Crystal data block

Bond precision: $C-C = 0.0051A$				Wavelength=0.71073
Cell:	a=14.144(3)		b=12.445(3)	c=14.545(3)
	alpha=90		beta=91.32(3)	gamma=90
Temperatur	e: 293 K			
Calculated	l Reported			
Volume		2559	9.6(10)	2559.6(9)
Space grou	ıp	P 21	l/n	P 21/n
Hall group)	-P 2	2yn	-P 2yn
Moiety form	mula 4(0	C25 H22 N	606), H20	C25 H22 N6 O6, H0.5 O0.25
Sum formul	a Cl	00 H90 N	24 025	C25 H22.50 N6 O6.25
Mr	20	27.96		506.99
Dx,g cm-3	1.	316		1.316
Z	1			4
Mu (mm-1)	0.	097		0.097
F000	10	58.0		1058.0
F000′	10	58.51		
h,k,lmax	18	,16,18		18,16,18
Nref	58	60		5813
Tmin,Tmax	0.	987,0.99	90	0.988,0.990
Tmin′	0.	987		
Correction	method= #	Reporte	d T Limits: 7	Tmin=0.988 Tmax=0.990
AbsCorr =	MULTI-SCAN			
Data compl	eteness= 0	.992		Theta(max) = 27.480
R(reflecti	ons)= 0.07	28(2258) wR2(reflec	tions)= 0.2663(5813)
S = 0.817			Npar= 343	