Electronic Supplementary Information

## Activating Pd Nanoparticles on Sol-Gel Prepared Porous g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> via Enlarging the Schottky Barrier for Efficient Dehydrogenation of Formic Acid

## Characterization

The Powder X-ray diffraction (**PXRD**) measurements were performed on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$ 1 radiation. Fourier transformed infrared (**FT-IR**) spectra were recorded using a Spectrum 100 FTIR spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, Inc., USA). The thermal gravity analysis (TGA) experiments were carried out on a Thermogravimetric Analyzer TGA 7 (Perkin Elmer, Inc., USA). The UV-Visible absorption spectra were collected on a Lambda 35 UV/Vis Spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, Inc., USA). The transmission electron microscopy (**TEM**) measurements were performed on a JEOL JEM-2100 instrument (JEOL Ltd., Japan). **Nitrogen adsorption-desorption** isotherms were performed at 77K using Micromeritics ASAP 2010 equipment. The UV-visible (UV-**Vis**) absorption spectra were recorded using Lambda 35 UV/Vis Spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, Inc., USA). The **Mott–Schottky** plots were recorded on an electrochemical workstation (AUTOLAB PGSTAT, 302N) by using the Impedance-Potential technique. Measurements were performed on a conventional three-electrode cell in dark: cleaned fluoride-tin oxide (FTO) deposited with a sample film as the working electrode, graphite electrode as the counter electrode and SCE electrode as the reference electrode, respectively. The aqueous solution of 0.2 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> purged with nitrogen gas was used as the electrolyte.



**Figure S1**. Photographs of typical CN/SiO<sub>2</sub> samples synthesized at different temperatures: (a) 300  $^{\circ}$ C, (b) 400  $^{\circ}$ C and (c) 500  $^{\circ}$ C.



**Figure S2**. TGA curves of CN/SiO<sub>2</sub>-300 under N<sub>2</sub> (TGA heating rate: 20 °C/min). The result indicates that the weight percentage of SiO<sub>2</sub> in the sample CN/SiO<sub>2</sub>-300 was 64%.



Figure S3. FT-IR spectrum of CN/SiO<sub>2</sub>-300



**Figure S4**. TEM images of Pd@CN/SiO<sub>2</sub>-400 a) and Pd@CN/SiO<sub>2</sub>-500 b) of which the Pd load is 9%.



**Figure S5.** PXRD patterns of  $CN/SiO_2$  (a) and 9% Pd@ $CN/SiO_2$  (b) samples. The weight percentages of Pd in the nanocomposite catalyst are all 9 wt.%.



**Figure S6**. Mott–Schottky plots of  $CN/SiO_2$ -400 (a) and  $CN/SiO_2$ -500 (b) at selected frequencies of 1.4 and 2.0 kHz. The derived flat-band potentials for  $CN/SiO_2$ -400 and  $CN/SiO_2$ -500 are -1.48 V and -1.45 V *versus* SCE, respectively.



**Figure S7.** Decomposition of FA over different catalysts. Typical conditions: 1 M aqueous FA solution (5 mL), catalyst (10 mg), 273 K.



**Figure S8**. Volume of the produced gas  $(H_2 + CO_2)$  versus time for the dehydrogenation of FA/SF (1:4) over CN/SiO<sub>2</sub>-300+9%Pd and CN-300+9%Pd from which SiO<sub>2</sub> was chemically etched by HF respectively. Typical reaction conditions: 1 M of aqueous FA solution (5 mL), catalyst (10 mg), 278 K.



**Figure S9**. The volume of gas mixture  $(CO_2 + H_2)$  versus the reaction time over Pd@CN/SiO<sub>2</sub>-300 with different Pd loadings (a), different ratios of  $n_{Pd}/n_{FA}$  (b) and at different reaction temperatures (c). Typical conditions: 1.5 mL of 6 M aqueous FA solution,  $n_{FA}/n_{SF}=1$ . The reaction temperatures is 278K for (a) & (b);  $n_{Pd}/n_{FA}$  is 0.01 and 0.005 for (a) and (c), respectively.



**Figure S10.** The output rate of gas mixture versus the molecular ratio of  $n_{FA}/n_{Pd}$  in the reaction system. It is obvious that with the concentration of Pd@CN/SiO<sub>2</sub>-300 catalyst increasing, the reaction rate of dehydrogenation of FA reaches saturation. Typical conditions: 1.5 mL of 6 M aqueous FA solution,  $n_{FA}/n_{SF}=1$ ,  $n_{Pd}/n_{FA}=0.01$ ,  $T_{system}=278$ K.



**Figure S11**. Recycle test of decomposition of FA over Pd@CN/SiO<sub>2</sub>-300 by adding a certain amount of pure FA to keep the FA's concentration of around the initial value at the time when half amount of FA was consumed. Typical reaction conditions: 1.5 mL of 6M aqueous FA solution,  $n_{Pd}/n_{FA}=0.01$ ,  $n_{FA}/n_{SF}=1$ , the corresponding amount of catalyst dispersed in 2 mL DI water.

Sample	BET Surface Area (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	Pore Volume (cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )
CN/SiO <sub>2</sub> -300	49.0786	0.347946
CN/SiO <sub>2</sub> -400	53.8768	0.360732
CN/SiO <sub>2</sub> -500	63.5086	0.372239

...**Table S1**. Features of a series of catalyst supports.

Table S2. TOF values for decomposition of FA catalyzed by various Pd NPs based catalysts.

Catalyst	[FA] (M)	T (K)	TOF	Note	Reference
Pd@CN/SiO <sub>2</sub>	2.57	323	1119 <sup>a</sup>	2.57 M sodium formate	This work
Pd@CN/SiO <sub>2</sub>	2.57	293	<b>351</b> ª	2.57 M sodium formate	This work
Pd@MSC	2.57	323	2623	2.57 M sodium formate	13
Pd@MSC	2.57	298	750	2.57 M sodium formate	13
Au/ZrO <sub>2</sub> NCs	10.6	323	1593 <sup>b</sup>	4.24 M NEt <sub>3</sub>	14
Au/ZrO <sub>2</sub> NCs	10.6	298	252 <sup>b</sup>	4.24 M NEt <sub>3</sub>	14
$Ag_{42}Pd_{58}@C$	1	323	60		<b>S</b> 1
Co <sub>30</sub> Au <sub>35</sub> Pd <sub>35</sub> /C	0.5	298	80 <sup>b</sup>		S2
Pd@CN	1	288	71	Visible light	3
Pd@CN	1	288	50	-	3
Pd@ED-MIL-	3.04	363	57	1.02 M sodium formate	9
101					9
AuPd@ED-	3.04	363	106	1.02 M sodium formate	
MIL-101					_
$PdAu@C-CeO_2$	9.94	365	113	3.33 M sodium formate	7
$Pd-S-SiO_2$	4	318	16	0.44 M sodium formate	<b>S</b> 3
Pd@C	1	323	9		S4
Pd@C	1.06	298	64	0.84 M sodium formate,	S5
				0.03 M citric acid	_
Ag@Pd	1	293	17	Core-shell NPs	8
Ag@Pd/C	1	293	20	Core-shell NPs	8

<sup>a</sup>TOF values were calculated based on the amount of released  $H_2$  at 10 min, <sup>b</sup>TOF values were calculated at the initial stages of the reactions. (unit: mol  $H_2$  mol Pd<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>)

## References

- [S1] S. Zhang, Ö. Metin, D. Su and S. Sun, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 3681.
- [S2] Z.-L. Wang, J.-M. Yan, Y. Ping, H.-L. Wang, W.-T. Zheng and Q. Jiang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4406.
- [S3] Y. Zhao, L. Deng, S.-Y. Tang, D.-M. Lai, B. Liao, Y. Fu and Q.-X. Guo, *Energ. Fuel.* 2011, 25, 3693.
- [S4] O. Metin, X. Sun and S. Sun, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 910.
- [S5] Z.-L. Wang, J.-M. Yan, H.-L. Wang, Y. Ping and Q. Jiang, Sci. Rep. 2012, 2, 598.