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Preparation of 3-(6-bromohexylthiophene) (S1)
Compound (1) was prepared from 3-bromothiophene according to the procedure reported in [27, 28]. 3-bromothiophene ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the dry, degassed hexane ( 50 $\mathrm{mL})$. The reaction was started by cooling the flask to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . n$-butylithium in hexane $(2.0 \mathrm{M}$, 10.16 mL ) was injected into the reaction flask using air tight gas syringes and stirred for 10 minutes. THF ( 5 mL ) was injected drop-wise for 15 minutes, and continuously stirred for 1 hour, resulting in a white precipitate and clear supernatant liquid. The supernatant liquid was removed and exchanged with hexane/THF ( $10: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 55 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). 1,6-dibromohexanes ( $32.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 213 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred for 2 hours. The reaction was stopped with the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diethyl ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to separate the organic and inorganic layers. The organic layer was washed with water ( 100 mL ), brine solution ( 100 mL ), dried with magnesium sulfate anhydrous, treated with decolorizing charcoal, filtered, and concentrated in vacuum to produce orange oil. The excess 1,6 -dibromohexane was removed by vacuum distillation ( 0.04 torr, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and purified silica gel column chromatography system (ethyl acetate/hexane, $1 / 99$ to $5 / 95 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) was used to obtain an oily product. Yield: $52 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.38-1.46(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 3.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 6.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 7.15-7.17 (1H, m). FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3005.47, 2959.71, 2933.71, 2857.26, 1551.4, 1459.60, $1437.01,1420,1246.85,1216,1031.13,859.61,773.32,643.35$ and 559.77.

Preparation of 4-((phenylimino)methyl)phenol (S2)
4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to $(112 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}) 2$ aminobenzenethiol in $50-\mathrm{mL}$ of ethanol. The mixture was refluxed for 3 hours. Yellow crystal was obtained after recrystallization with ethanol, resulting in a yield of $95 \%$. m.p: 203.8-205.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 6.7$ (2H-Ar), 7.5 (2H-Ar), 7.2 (2H-Ar), 7.8 (H-Ar-S), $8.9(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 9.7(\mathrm{OH})$. FT-IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1609,1588 \& 1504,749,3411$.


Figure S1: FT-IR for compounds (A) 4-((phenylimino)methyl)phenol, (B) 3-bromohexylthiophene and (C) N-(4-(6-(thiophen-3-yl)hexyloxy)benzylidene)benzenamine.


Figure S2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for 3-bromohexylthiophene (1)


Figure S3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for 4-((phenylimino)methyl)phenol (2)



Figure S4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for N -(4-(6-(thiophen-3-yl)hexyloxy)benzylidene)benzenamine(3)


Figure S5: BET profile (a) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and (b) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ P3TArH


Figure S6: Pseudo second-order kinetics model


Figure S7: Van't Hoff function


Figure S8: Adsorption Efficiency over time


Figure S9: Arrhenius function


