Dipicolylamine coupled rhodamine dyes: New clefts for highly selective naked eye sensing of Cu²⁺ and CN⁻ ions

Kumaresh Ghosh*^[a], Debojyoti Tarafdar^[a], Anupam Majumdar^[a], Constantin G. Daniliuc^[b], Asmita Samadder^[c] and Anisur Rahman Khuda- Bukhsh^[c]

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, India. Email: ghosh_k2003@yahoo.co.in, Fax: +913325828282; Tel: +913325828750.
^bOrganisch-Chemisches Institut, Universität Münster, Corrensstrasse 40, 48159 Münster, Germany.

^cDepartment of Zoology, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, India.

1. Packing diagram



Figure 1S. Packing plot for 1.







Figure 2S. Change in absorbance of 1 ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$) in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) upon addition of 10 equiv. of (a) AgClO₄, (b) Al(ClO₄)₃, (c) Cd(ClO₄)₂ (d) Co(ClO₄)₂, (e) Fe(ClO₄)₂, (f) Fe(ClO₄)₃, (g) Hg(ClO₄)₂, (h) Mg(ClO₄)₂ (i) Mn(ClO₄)₂, (j) NaClO₄, (k) Ni(ClO₄)₃, (l) Pb(ClO₄)₂, (m) Pd(ClO₄)₂, (n) Zn(ClO₄)₂ [concentration of metal salts were 1 x 10⁻³ M].

3. Detection limit of receptor 1 with Cu²⁺:



Figure 38. Detection limit of receptor 1 in CH₃CN-H₂O (4:1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).

4. Change in absorbance of 1 upon addition of $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ in EtOH-H₂O (7 : 3, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).



Figure 4S. Change in absorbance of $1(c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ upon addition of $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ ($c = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$) in EtOH–H₂O (7 : 3, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer). Inset shows the colour change of 1 ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$) in presence of 2 equiv. of $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ ($c = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$).

5. Change in absorbance of 1 upon addition of various metal ions in EtOH–H₂O (7 : 3, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).



Figure 5S. Bar plot showing change in absorbance for receptor **1** ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$) upon addition of 5 equiv. of various metal ions ($c = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$) in EtOH–H₂O (7 : 3, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).

6. Change in emission of receptor 1 with various metal ions in CH_3CN-H_2O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).





Figure 6S. Change in emission of 1 ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ M) in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) upon addition of 15 equiv. of (a) Cu(ClO₄)₂, (b) AgClO₄, (c) Al(ClO₄)₃, (d) Cd(ClO₄)₂ (e) Co(ClO₄)₂, (f) Fe(ClO₄)₂, (g) Fe(ClO₄)₃, (h) Hg(ClO₄)₂, (i) Mg(ClO₄)₂ (j) Mn(ClO₄)₂, (k) NaClO₄, (l) Ni(ClO₄)₃, (m) Pb(ClO₄)₂, (n) Pd(ClO₄)₂, (o) Zn(ClO₄)₂ [concentration of metal salts were 1 x 10⁻³ M] ($\lambda_{exc} = 370$ nm)..

7. Change in emission and absorbance with change of pH of the medium



Figure 7S. Change in (a) emission ($\lambda_{exc} = 370$ nm) and (b) absorbance of **1** at different pHs in CH₃CN–H₂O (4: 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).

8. Change in fluorescence spectra of 3 with pH in EtOH– H_2O (7 : 3, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).



Figure.8S. (a) Fluorescence spectra of receptor 1 (c = 2.5×10^{-5} M) in EtOH–H₂O (7 : 3, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) at different pH values (λ_{exc} = 370 nm), (b) the change of fluorescence emission ratios (I₅₈₃/I₄₁₆) by pH values.

9. Partial IR Spectra:



Figure 9S: Partial FT IR (v in cm⁻¹, KBr) Spectra of (a) Receptor 1; (b) 1+ Cu(ClO₄)₂.

10. Partial ¹H NMR spectra of 1 upon gradual addition of Cu²⁺:





Figure 10S. Partial ¹H NMR Spectra of receptor **1** in presence of various equiv. amounts of $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ in CD_3CN : (I) **1**, (II) **1** + 0.5 equiv. $Cu(ClO_4)_2$, (III) **1** + 1 equiv. $Cu(ClO_4)_2$, (IV) **1** + 1.5 equiv. $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ and (V) **1** + 2 equiv. $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ (* indicates some pyridyl ring protons).

11. Change in absorbance of receptor 3 with various metal ions in CH_3CN-H_2O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).







Figure 11S. Change in emission of **3** ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ M) in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) upon addition of 5 equiv. of (a) Cu(ClO₄)₂, (b) Hg(ClO₄)₂, (c) Al(ClO₄)₃, (d) Fe(ClO₄)₃, (e) AgClO₄, (f) Cd(ClO₄)₂, (g) Co(ClO₄)₂, (h) Fe(ClO₄)₂ (i) Ni(ClO₄)₂, (j) Pb(ClO₄)₂, (k) Zn(ClO₄)₂, (l) Mg(ClO₄)₂, (m) Pd(ClO₄)₂, (n) NaClO₄ [concentration of metal salts were 1 x 10⁻³ M], (o) Photographs shows the color change of the solution of **3** ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ M) in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) in presence of 5 equiv. amounts of metal ions studied.

12. Change in emission of receptor 3 with various metal ions in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).





Figure 12S. Change in emission of 1 ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$) in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) upon addition of 10 equiv. of (a) Cu(ClO₄)₂, (b) Hg(ClO₄)₂, (c) Al(ClO₄)₃, (d) Fe(ClO₄)₃, (e) AgClO₄, (f) Cd(ClO₄)₂, (g) Co(ClO₄)₂, (h) Fe(ClO₄)₂ (i) Ni(ClO₄)₂, (j) Pb(ClO₄)₂, (k) Zn(ClO₄)₂, (l) Mg(ClO₄)₂, (m) Pd(ClO₄)₂, (n) NaClO₄ [concentration of metal salts were 1 x 10⁻³ M] ($\lambda_{exc} = 500 \text{ nm}$).





Figure 13S. (a) Bar plot showing the change in absorbance ratio of 1 ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$) upon addition of various Cu^{2+} salts ($c = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$) and (b) Change in absorbance of 1 ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$) upon addition of 2 equiv. amounts of various Cu^{2+} salts ($c = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$) in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer); Change in absorbance of '1.Cu²⁺' (1+2 equiv. Cu²⁺) ensemble upon addition of 2 equiv. amounts of EDTA in (c) CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) and (d) EtOH–H₂O (7 : 3, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).



14. Change in absorbance of "1-Cu²⁺" ensemble upon addition of various anions in CH₃CN-H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).



Figure 14S. Absorption spectra of $1.Cu^{2+}$ complex in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) upon addition of 12 equiv. amounts of (a) F⁻, (b) Cl⁻, (c) Br⁻, (d) I⁻, (e) HSO₄⁻, (f) NO₃⁻, (g) OAc⁻, (h) PO₄³⁻, (i) P₂O₇⁴⁻, (j) HP₂O₇³⁻, (k) H₂PO₄⁻, (l) ClO₄⁻, (m) HPO₄²⁻, (n) ADP, (o) ATP, (p) AMP.

15. Change in absorbance of 2 with different metal ions



Figure 15S. (a) Change in absorbance of **2** ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ M) in CH₃CN–H₂O (4: 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) upon gradual addition of 2 equiv. of Cu²⁺ ($c = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ M), inset shows the colour change of **2** in presence of 2 equiv. amounts of Cu²⁺ (left); (b) Change in absorption ratio (A- A₀/A₀) of **2** ($c = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ M) at 554 nm upon addition of 2 equiv. amounts of various metal ions in CH₃CN–H₂O (4: 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).

16. Competitive selectivity and Job plot



Figure 16S. (a) Competitive selectivity of **2** (c = $2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$) towards Cu²⁺ (c = $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$) in presence of 2 equiv. amounts of other metal ions in CH₃CN–H₂O (4:1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer); (b) UV-Vis Job plot of receptor **2** with Cu²⁺ at 554 nm in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) where [H] = [G] = $2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$.

17. Binding constant curve of receptor 2 with Cu²⁺





18. Detection limit of receptor 2 with Cu²⁺



Figure 18S. Detection limit of receptor **2** in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) in sensing of Cu²⁺ ion.

19. Change in emission ratio (I- I_0/I_0) of 2 with some selected metal ions



Fig 19S. Change in emission ratio (I- I_0/I_0) of **2** (c = 2.5 x 10⁻⁵ M) at 580 nm upon addition of 2 equiv. amounts of various metal ions in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer)

20. Change in fluorescence spectra of receptor 2 with selective metal ions by excitation at 370 nm.



Figure 20S. Change in emission of **2** (c = 2.5 x 10^{-5} M) in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) upon addition of 10 equiv. of (a) Cd(ClO₄)₂, (b) Hg(ClO₄)₂, (c) Zn(ClO₄)₂ and (d) Cu(ClO₄)₂, [concentration of metal salts were 1 x 10^{-3} M] [λ_{ex} = 370 nm].

21. Change in emission and absorbance of 2 at different pHs



Figure 21S. (a) Change in emission of **2** at different pHs in CH₃CN/H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer) ($\lambda_{exc} = 500$ nm), (b) Change in absorbance of **2** at different pHs in CH₃CN/H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).





Figure 22S. (a) Absorption spectra for 2.Cu²⁺ ensemble on gradual addition of 15 equiv. amounts of CN⁻ in CH₃CN-H₂O (4: 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer), (b) Change in absorbance ratio at 552 nm for '2.Cu²⁺' ensemble upon addition of 15 equiv. of various anions (c = 1 x 10⁻³ M) in CH₃CN-H₂O (4: 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer).

23. Detection limit of various 2.Cu²⁺ ensemble



Fig. 23S. (a) Emission spectra for **2**.Cu²⁺ ensemble (receptor **2** + 1 equiv. of Cu²⁺) upon gradual addition of 12 equiv. amounts of CN⁻ (c = 1 x 10⁻³ M) in CH₃CN–H₂O (4 : 1, v/v, pH = 7.2, 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer); (b) change in detection limit towards CN⁻ ion for different stoichiometric ensembles of **2**.Cu²⁺.

24. MTT assay of receptors 1 and 2



Figure 24S. MTT assay of receptors 1 and 2.

General procedure for fluorescence and UV-vis titrations:

Stock solutions of the receptors were prepared in CH₃CN/aqueous Tris-HCl buffer (4: 1, v/v, pH = 7.2) (or in EtOH/aqueous Tris-HCl buffer (7: 3, v/v, pH = 7.2)) in the concentration range of ~10⁻⁵ M. An amount of 2 mL of the receptor solution was taken in a cuvette. Stock solutions of guests in the concentration range of ~10⁻³ M were prepared in the same solvent and were individually added in different amounts to the receptor solution. Upon addition of metal ions, the change in emission of the receptor was noted. The same stock solutions for receptor and guests were used to perform the UV-vis titration experiment.

Job's plot

The stoichiometry was determined by the continuous variation method (Job Plot).¹⁰ In this method, solutions of receptor and guest cations of equal concentrations were prepared in the solvent used in the experiment. Then receptor and guest solutions were mixed in different proportions maintaining a total volume of 3 mL of the mixture. All the prepared solutions were kept for 1 h with occasional shaking at room temperature. Then emission and absorbance of the solutions of different compositions were recorded. The concentration of the complex i.e., [HG] was calculated using the equation $[HG] = \Delta A/A_0 x$ [H] where $\Delta A/A_0$ indicates the relative absorbance intensities. [H] Corresponds to the concentration of the pure receptor. Mole fraction of the guest (X_G) was plotted against concentration of the complex [HG]. In the plot, the mole fraction of the guest at which the concentration of the receptor–guest complex [HG] is maximum, gives the stoichiometry of the complex.

¹H NMR of 1 (400 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹³C NMR of 1 (100 MHz, CDCl₃):



HRMS OF 1:



¹H NMR of 2 (400 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹³C NMR of 2 (100 MHz, CDCl₃):



HRMS of 2:



¹H NMR of 3 (400 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹³C NMR of 3 (100 MHz, CDCl₃):



HRMS OF 3:



¹H NMR of 4 (400 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹H NMR of 5 (400 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹H NMR of 6 (400 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹³C NMR of 6 (100 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹H NMR of 8 (400 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹H NMR of 9 (400 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹³C NMR of 9 (100 MHz, CDCl₃):





¹H NMR of 13 (400 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹³C NMR of 13 (100 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹H NMR of 14 (400 MHz, CDCl₃):



¹³C NMR of 14 (100 MHz, CDCl₃):

