## **Supporting Information**

## **Esterase-Responsive Polymeric Prodrug-Based Tumor Targeting Nanoparticles**

## for Improved Anti-Tumor Performance against Colon Cancer

Gang Pan<sup>1, #</sup>, Yi-jie Bao<sup>1, #</sup>, Jie Xu<sup>1, #</sup>, Tao Liu<sup>2</sup>, Cheng Liu<sup>2</sup>, Yan-yan Qiu<sup>2</sup>, Xiao-jing Shi<sup>1</sup>, Hui Yu<sup>1</sup>, Ting-ting Jia<sup>3</sup>, Xia Yuan<sup>3</sup>, Ze-ting Yuan<sup>2</sup>, Pei-hao Yin<sup>1,4\*</sup>, and Yi-jun Cao<sup>1,\*</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Department of General Surgery, Putuo Hospital, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, 200062, China
 <sup>2</sup>Centralab, Putuo Hospital, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, 200062, China
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Putuo Hospital, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, 200062, China
 <sup>4</sup>Interventional Cancer Institute of Chinese Integrative Medicine, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, 200062, China

<sup>#</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.E-mail: yinpeihao1975@hotmail.com

caoyjxw@126.com

Sample	$M_{\rm n}$ (g/mol) <sup>a</sup>	$\partial_M a$	$M_{\rm n}$ (g/mol) <sup>b</sup>
$P(OEGMA_{0.45}\text{-}co\text{-}BSMA_{0.55})_{72}$	25,000	1.29	24,800
P(OEGMA <sub>0.45</sub> -co-BUF <sub>0.46</sub> -co-NHS <sub>0.09</sub> ) <sub>72</sub>	37,700	1.21	37,700
P(OEGMA <sub>0.45</sub> -co-BUF <sub>0.46</sub> -co-NHS <sub>0.062</sub> -co-RGD <sub>0.028</sub> ) <sub>72</sub>	38,900	1.32	38,900
$P(OEGMA_{0.45}\text{-}co\text{-}BUF_{0.46}\text{-}co\text{-}NHS_{0.048}\text{-}co\text{-}RGD_{0.028}\text{-}co\text{-}Cy5_{0.014})_{72}$	39,000	1.31	39,500
P(OEGMA <sub>0.45</sub> -co-BUF <sub>0.46</sub> -co-NHS <sub>0.076</sub> -co-Cy5 <sub>0.014</sub> ) <sub>72</sub>	39,100	1.30	38,400

 Table S1. Molecular parameters of polymers used in this study.

<sup>*a*</sup> Determined by GPC using DMF as the eluent (1.0 mL/min). <sup>*b*</sup> Calculated from <sup>1</sup>H NMR results.



Fig. S1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of *tert*-butyl-3-((2-hydroxyethyl)thio)propanoate in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2-((3-(*tert*-butoxy)-3-oxopropyl)thio)ethyl methacrylate in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S3. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 2-((3-(*tert*-butoxy)-3-oxopropyl)thio)ethyl methacrylate in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Fig. S4**. ESI-Mass spectra of (a) 2-((3-(*tert*-butoxy)-3-oxopropyl)thio)ethyl methacrylate (m/z 188, calculated for  $C_9H_{10}O_2S$ : 188) and (b) 3-((2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl)thio)propanoic acid (BSMA) (m/z 132, calculated for  $C_5H_8O_2S$ : 132).



Fig. S5. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of BSMA in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S6. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of BSMA in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S7. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of P(OEGMA-*co*-BSMA) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S8. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of P(OEGMA-*co*-BUF) in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Fig. S9. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of P(OEGMA-co-BUF-co-RGD) in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>.



**Fig. S10.** Fluorescence emission spectrum recorded for the aqueous micellar solution of P(OEGMA*co*-BUF-*co*-RGD-*co*-Cy5) ([Cy5] =  $3.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M;  $\lambda_{ex} = 633$  nm; slit widths: Ex. 5 nm, Em. 5 nm).



**Fig. S11.** Typical confocal microscopy fluorescence images recorded for LoVo cells after incubating at 37 °C with P(OEGMA-*co*-BUF-*co*-RGD-*co*-Cy5) ([Cy5] =  $3.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M) for 4 h (a) without and (b) with an excess of free RGD (20-fold equivalent of RGD in P(OEGMA-*co*-BUF-*co*-RGD-*co*-Cy5)) to block RGD-mediated endocytosis of nanoparticles.