Supporting Information

Naphthalene diimide-based non-fullerene acceptors for simple, efficient, and solution-processable bulk-heterojunction devices

Doli Srivani,^a Akhil Gupta,^{*b,c} Aaron M. Raynor,^b Ante Bilic,^d Jingliang Li,^c Sheshanath V. Bhosale^{*b} and Sidhanath V. Bhosale^{*a}

^a Polymers and Functional Materials Division, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500007, Telangana, India. E-mail: <u>bhosale@iict.res.in</u>, <u>bhosale.iict@gov.in</u>

^b School of Applied Sciences, RMIT University, GPO Box 2476, Melbourne Victoria 3001 Australia. E-mail address: <u>sheshanath.bhosale@rmit.edu.au</u>

^c Institute for Frontier Materials, Deakin University, Geelong Victoria 3216 Australia. E-mail: <u>akhilgk15@gmail.com</u>

^d Virtual Nanoscience Lab, CSIRO Manufacturing, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

Supporting figures



Fig. S1 Photoelectron spectroscopy in air (PESA) curve of R1.



Fig. S2 Photoelectron spectroscopy in air (PESA) curve of R2.



Fig. S3 Theoretical HOMO-1 and LUMO+1 density distributions of **R1** and **R2** indicating the participation of terminal functionalities



Fig. S4 The computed absorption spectra of R1 (upper) and R2 (lower) showing the first transition peaks at 591.58 nm and 653.36 nm respectively.



Fig. S5 Thermogravimetric analyses traces of R1 and R2.



Fig. S6 Differential scanning calorimetry analyses traces of R1 (above) and R2 (below).

Material	Testing	$V_{ m oc}$	$J_{\rm sc}$	FF	Efficiency
	conditions	(mV)	(mA/cm^2)		(η%)
	(donor:				
	acceptor)				
R1	1:1.2 ^a	1020 ± 10	2.15 ±0.30	0.36 ± 0.03	0.79 ±0.20
R2	1:1.2ª	870 ±15	6.77 ±0.25	0.38 ±0.01	2.24 ±0.25
R1	1:1 ^a	720 ±20	2.01 ±0.20	0.31 ±0.02	0.46 ±0.20
R2	1:1 ^a	640 ±20	7.81 ±0.35	0.35 ±0.03	1.76 ±0.30
R2	1:2 ^{a,b}	700 ±20	6.20 ±0.25	0.32 ±0.04	1.40 ±0.20

 Table S1
 Comparative BHJ device performances

^a BHJ devices with specified weight ratio. Device structure is ITO/PEDOT: PSS (38 nm)/active layer/Ca (20 nm)/Al (100 nm) with an active layer thickness of about 60 nm.

^b BHJ devices with the weight ratio of 1:2 using **R1** didn't become feasible mainly due to very poor solubility and excess of **R1** in this combination.



Fig. S7 XRD patterns of R1 (red curve) and R2 (black curve).

Spectra of R1 and R2



Fig. S8 ¹H NMR spectrum of R1



Fig. S9¹³C NMR spectrum of R1



Fig. S10 ESI mass spectrum of R1



Fig. S11 HRMS of R1



Fig. S12 FT-IRspectrum of R1



Fig. S13 ¹H NMR spectrum of R2



Fig. S14 ¹³C NMR spectrum of R2



Fig. S15 Mass spectrum of R2







Fig. S17 FT-IR spectrum of R2