Electronic Supporting Information (ESI)

Electrochemical reduction of hydrogen peroxide by nanostructured hematite

modified electrodes

Chia-Ting Chang and Chia-Yu Lin*

Department of Chemical Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan City 70101, Taiwan

* Corresponding authors: cyl44@mail.ncku.edu.tw

Contents

Table S1

Supporting Figures S1–S7

page S2

page S3

	Sample			
	FTO	α -Fe ₂ O _{3NR}	α -Fe ₂ O _{3NS}	α -Fe ₂ O _{3NP}
J _{pc} ^ª (mA cm⁻²)	-0.933	-0.900	-1.027	-1.102
E _{pc} ^b (V vs. Ag/AgCl)	-0.558	-0.424	-0.404	-0.374

Table S1 Summary of values of I_{pc} and E_{pc} of the FTO and nanostructured $\alpha\text{-}Fe_2O_3$ electrodes.

^a: cathodic peak current density; ^b: cathodic peak potential. All parameters are determined in Na_2SO_4 solution (pH 7) containing 4.95 mM H_2O_2 .



Figure S1 XRD patterns of (a) α -Fe₂O_{3NR}, (b) α -Fe₂O_{3NS}, and (c) α -Fe₂O_{3NP}.



Figure S2 CVs of (a) α -Fe₂O_{3NR}, (b) α -Fe₂O_{3NS}, and (c) α -Fe₂O_{3NP} modified electrodes recorded at various scan rates (v), including 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 mV s⁻¹, in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ electrolyte (pH 7). The plots of \Box current density *vs.* v, where the \Box current density is the sum of the anodic current and cathodic current measured at 0.3 V *vs.* Ag/AgCl from (a), (b), and (c), are shown in (d). The capacitances of the nanostructured α -Fe₂O₃ were then estimated from the slope of the curve of charging current density *vs.* v (c), where the slope is equal to 2C_{dl}. However, since the area-averaged capacitance of α -Fe₂O₃ is unknown, the relative effective surface area, i.e., the normalized slopes of curves in (d) with respective to curve (i), is calculated instead of actual effective surface area. As revealed in (d), the relative surface area of α -Fe₂O_{3NR}, α -Fe₂O_{3NS}, and α -Fe₂O_{3NP} is found as 1.00: 1.58: 1.74.



Figure S3 Plots of peak current density (J_p) vs. scan rate for the pretreated (a) α -Fe₂O_{3NR}, (b) α -Fe₂O_{3NS}, and (c) α -Fe₂O_{3NP} in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7) under N₂ atmosphere.



Figure S4 I-t transient of the α -Fe₂O_{3NS}|FePO₄ electrode recorded at an applied potential of -0.3 V vs. Ag/AgCl on successive additions of the H₂O₂ solution of different concentrations into the deaerated 0.1 M PBS (pH 6). Inset: calibration curve for current density versus concentration of H₂O₂.



Figure S5 Cyclic voltammetry, recorded at a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹, of (a) FTO, (b) α -Fe₂O_{3NR}, (c) α -Fe₂O_{3NS}, and (d) α -Fe₂O_{3NP} in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ solution (pH 7) containing H₂O₂ of various concentrations (0, 1.66, 3.31, and 4.95 mM).



Figure S6 CVs, recorded at a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹, of (a) α -Fe₂O_{3NR}, (b) α -Fe₂O_{3NS}, and (c) α -Fe₂O_{3NP} in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ electrolyte containing 1.66 mM H₂O₂ at various pHs ranging from 4 to 7.



Figure S7 Sensitivities of α -Fe₂O_{3NR}|FePO₄, α -Fe₂O_{3NS}|FePO₄, and α -Fe₂O_{3NP}|FePO₄ towards the electrochemical reduction of H₂O₂ in 0.1 M PBS (pH 6) under N₂ and air atmospheres. The sensitivity was obtained from Figure 7.