## **Supporting information for**

A highly efficient and selective coumarin based fluorescent probe for colorimetric detection of Fe<sup>3+</sup> and fluorescence dual sensing of Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup>

## Nayan Roy, Surjatapa Nath, Abhijit Dutta, Paritosh Mondal, Pradip C. Paul, T. Sanjoy Singh\*

Department of Chemistry, Assam University, Silchar, Assam – 788 011, India

## **Figure Captions:**

Figure S1:	Plot of log ((I-I <sub>o</sub> ) / (I <sub>max</sub> -I)) versus log [M <sup>2+</sup> ] for titration of Zn <sup>2+</sup> (a) and Cu <sup>2+</sup> (b)
	with $H_{12}L$ in CH <sub>3</sub> OH/H <sub>2</sub> O (40:60, v/v) solution indicating 1:1 complex formation.
Figure S2:	Fluorescence emission spectra of $H_{12}L$ in complexes with different substituents; 1-
	ligand (free ion) and complexes (2-Zn(SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , 3-Zn(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , 4-Zn(CH <sub>3</sub> COO) <sub>2</sub> , 5-ZnCl <sub>2</sub>
	and $6-Zn(CO_3)$ in the solution phase at room temperature, respectively.
Figure S3:	Fluorescence emission spectra of $H_{12}L$ (1.5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> M) in presence of Zn <sup>2+</sup> ion
C	(45µM) or EDTA (35µM) in CH <sub>3</sub> OH/H <sub>2</sub> O (40:60, v/v) solution at room
	temperature. Excitation was done at $\lambda_{exc}$ = 370 nm.
Figure S4:	Reversible changes in fluorescence intensity of $H_{12}L$ at 484 nm after subsequent
C	addition of Cu <sup>2+</sup> and EDTA
Figure S5:	<sup>1</sup> H NMR titration plot of $H_{12}L$ (1) with $Zn^{2+}$ (2 = 0.25 eq., 3 = 0.50 eq. and 4 = 1.0
	eq. of $Zn^{2+}$ ) ion in DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> solvent.
Figure S6:	<sup>1</sup> H NMR titration plot of $H_{12}L$ in different pH. Different pH values are: (1) 5.5, (2)
C	6.0, ( <b>3</b> ) 7.0, ( <b>4</b> ) 8.0, ( <b>5</b> ) 9.0, ( <b>6</b> ) 9.5 and ( <b>7</b> ) 10.0.
Figure S7:	Fluorescence response of $H_{12}L$ in presence of $Cu^{2+}$ with different concentrations at
_	different time. The concentrations of Cu <sup>2+</sup> (µM) are: (i) 10.0, (ii) 20.0 and (iii) 35.0,
	respectively.
Figure S8:	Truth table and the monomolecular circuit based on (a) $Zn^{2+}$ and $Cu^{2+}$ and (b) $Zn^{2+}$
U	with EDTA and EDTA with $Cu^{2+}$ .
Figure S9:	DFT evaluated 3D isosurface HOMO and LUMO diagrams of $H_{12}L$ , $H_{12}L$ - $Zn^{2+}$ and
5	$H_{12}L$ -Cu <sup>2+</sup> complexes, respectively.

Figure S1: Plot of log ((I-I<sub>o</sub>) / (I<sub>max</sub>-I)) versus log [M<sup>2+</sup>] for titration of Zn<sup>2+</sup> (a) and Cu<sup>2+</sup> (b) with  $H_{12}L$  in CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O (40:60, v/v) solution indicating 1:1 complex formation.



Figure S2: Fluorescence emission spectra of  $H_{12}L$  in complexes with different substituents; 1ligand (free ion) and complexes  $(2-Zn(SO_4)_2, 3-Zn(NO_3)_2, 4-Zn(CH_3COO)_2, 5-ZnCl_2$ and  $6-Zn(CO_3)$ ) in the solution phase at room temperature, respectively.



**Figure S3:** Fluorescence emission spectra of  $H_{12}L$  (1.5 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M) in presence of Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion (45µM) or EDTA (35µM) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O (40:60, v/v) solution at room temperature. Excitation was done at  $\lambda_{exc}$ = 370 nm.



Figure S4: Reversible changes in fluorescence intensity of  $H_{12}L$  at 484 nm after subsequent addition of  $Cu^{2+}$  and EDTA



Figure S5: <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration plot of  $H_{12}L$  (1) with  $Zn^{2+}$  (2 = 0.25 eq., 3 = 0.50 eq. and 4 = 1.0 eq. of  $Zn^{2+}$ ) ion in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> solvent.



Figure S6: <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration plot of  $H_{12}L$  in different pH. Different pH values are: (1) 5.5, (2) 6.0, (3) 7.0, (4) 8.0, (5) 9.0, (6) 9.5 and (7) 10.0.



Figure S7: Fluorescence response of  $H_{12}L$  in presence of  $Cu^{2+}$  with different concentrations at different time. The concentrations of  $Cu^{2+}$  ( $\mu$ M) are: (i) 10.0, (ii) 20.0 and (iii) 35.0, respectively.



**Figure S8:** Truth table and the monomolecular circuit based on (a)  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  and (b)  $Zn^{2+}$  with EDTA and EDTA with  $Cu^{2+}$ .

Ι	OUTPUT	
IN1	IN2	OUT
Zn <sup>2+</sup>	Cu <sup>2+</sup>	Emission at 484 nm
0	0	0 (low)
0	1	0 (low)
1	0	1 (high)
1	1	0 (low)



(a)  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$ 

INPUT		OUTPUT
IN1	IN2	OUT
Zn <sup>2+</sup>	EDTA	Emission at 484 nm
0	0	0 (low)
0	1	0 (low)
1	0	1 (high)
1	1	0 (low)
INPUT		OUTPUT
INPUT IN1	IN2	OUTPUT OUT
INPUT IN1 Cu <sup>2+</sup>	IN2 EDTA	OUTPUT   OUT   OUT   Emission at 484 nm
INPUT IN1 Cu <sup>2+</sup> 0	<b>IN2</b> <b>EDT</b> A 0	OUTPUT   OUT   Emission at 484 nm   1 (high)
INPUT IN1 Cu <sup>2+</sup> 0 0	<b>IN2</b> <b>EDTA</b> 0 1	OUTPUT   OUT   OUT   Emission at 484 nm   1 (high)   1 (high)
INPUT       IN1       Cu <sup>2+</sup> 0       1	<b>IN2</b> <b>EDTA</b> 0 1 0	OUTPUT   OUT   Emission at 484 nm   1 (high)   1 (high)   0 (low)



(b)  $Zn^{2+}$  with EDTA and EDTA with  $Cu^{2+}$ 

**Figure S9:** DFT evaluated 3D isosurface HOMO and LUMO diagrams of  $H_{12}L$ ,  $H_{12}L$ - $Zn^{2+}$  and  $H_{12}L$ - $Cu^{2+}$  complexes, respectively.

