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## 1. Materials and Instruments

All solvents (AR, analytical reagent grade) are commercially available and were used without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$; TMS as internal standard at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Bruker AV- 400 spectrometer. pH titration was carried out by using a pH -Meter $\mathrm{PB}-10$. All reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using UV-light (254 nm) and Flu-light (365nm). Mass spectra Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry was carried out in a HP 1100 LC-MS spectrometer. Deionized water was prepared with a Millipore Milli-Q A10 super-water system. Silica gel (300-400 mesh, Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd.) was used for column chromatography. All reagents were obtained commercially and used without further purification unless stated otherwise.

Bioassay: MCF-7 (human breast carcinoma) cells were obtained from Institute of Basic Medical Sciences (IBMS) of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (CAMS). Fluorescence imaging was acquired on an Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope.

## 2. Synthesis and characterization



Scheme S1. Synthetic routes toward compound FM1, FM2, and FM3. (a) n-butylamine, ethanol, reflux, 2 h ; (b) 2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethanol, ethanol, reflux, 2 h ; (c) 2-morpholinoethanamine, ethanol, reflux, 2 h ; (d) diethanolamine, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, reflux, 7 h .


## 6-Bromo-2-butyl-7-nitro-1H-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3(2H)-dione (M4-1) ${ }^{1}$

To a solution of compound M3 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added n-butylamine ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 5 mL ethanol dropwise under $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the reaction was stirred under reflux for 2 h . After that, the mixture was evaporated in vacuum to get residue, which was purified successively by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}=200 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and recrystallization in ethanol to give pale yellow solid M4-1 ( 51 mg , yield: $49 \%$ ). Melting point: $175.4-176.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.32(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 0.92 ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). HRMS (EI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 378.0038$; found: 378.0042 .

## 8-Butyl-4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3,4-dihydropyrido[3',4',5':4,5]naphtho[1,8-ef][1,4]oxazepine-7,9(2H,8H)-dione (FM1)

Compound M4-1 ( $377 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diethanolamine $(1.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.7$ mmol ) were mixed in 3.5 mL 2-methoxyethanol and refluxed for 7 h . The resulting reaction was cooled down to room temperature and extracted with dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layers were collected, washed with saturated brine (30 mL ) and dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under vacuum to give residue. The remaining residue was separated by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}=50 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield orange solid FM1 (103 mg, yield: 29\%). Melting point: 175.4-175.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.33$ (d, $J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.96(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{t}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{t}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.78-3.74 (m, 4H), 1.60-1.54 (m, 2H), 1.36-1.28 (m, 2H), $0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.1,162.8,161.6,153.7,132.9,132.9,115.8,115.2,114.7,109.4,108.4$, $73.8,57.7,55.3,54.4,38.8,29.7,19.8,13.7$. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 355.1658; found: 355.1653. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (354.40) calcd (\%): C 67.78, H 6.26, N 7.90; found: C 67.73, H 6.15, N 7.92 .

## 6-Bromo-2-(2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethyl)-7-nitro-1H-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3(2H)-dione (M42) ${ }^{2}$



To a solution of compound $\mathbf{M 3}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethanol ( $616 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 15 mL ethanol dropwise under $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the reaction was stirred under reflux for 2 h . After that, the mixture was evaporated in vacuum to get residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}=200 / 1$, v/v) to give pale yellow solid M4-2 ( 835 mg , yield: $33 \%$ ). Melting point: $176.4-176.9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Br}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 409.0035$; found: 409.0029.

## 8-(2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethyl)-4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3,4-dihydropyrido[3',4',5':4,5]naphtho[1,8-efl[1,4]oxazepine-7,9(2H,8H)-dione (FM2)

Compound M4-2 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.488 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diethanolamine ( $472 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were mixed in 3.5 mL of 2-methoxyethanol and refluxed for 7 h . The resulting reaction was cooled down to room temperature and extracted with dichloromethane ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were collected, washed with saturated brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and

evaporated under vacuum to give residue. The remaining residue was separated by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}=100 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield orange solid FM2 (102 mg, yield: 54\%). Melting point: 108.4-109.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.69(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 164.7,164.6,161.8,153.9,133.8$, 133.7, 133.3, 116.6, 115.9, 115.8, 110.8, 108.6, 73.7, 72.4, 68.6, 61.4, 58.8, 55.6, 55.2, 39.4. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 387.1556$; found:

6-Bromo-2-(2-morpholinoethyl)-7-nitro-1H-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3(2H)-dione (M4-3)


To a solution of compound M3 ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 50 mL ) was added 2-morpholinoethanamine ( $808 \mathrm{mg}, 6.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 15 mL ethanol dropwise under $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the reaction was stirred under reflux for 2 h . After that, the mixture was evaporated in vacuum to get residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}=200 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give pale yellow solid M4-3 (1.05 g, yield: 39\%). Melting point: 200.7-201.1 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 2.57 (br, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 162.8,162.1,151.3,136.0,132.3,131.2$, 130.6, 125.7, 124.2, 123.6, 122.4, 121.2, 67.0, 55.9, 53.8, 37.7. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Br}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 434.0352$; found: 434.0344.

## 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-8-(2-morpholinoethyl)-3,4-dihydropyrido[3',4',5':4,5]naphtho[1,8-

 eff[1,4]oxazepine-7,9(2H,8H)-dione (FM3)

Compound M4-3 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.230 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diethanolamine ( $221 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.30$ mmol ) were mixed in 2.5 mL 2-methoxyethanol and refluxed for 7 h . The resulting reaction was cooled down to room temperature and extracted with dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layers were collected, washed with saturated brine ( 20 mL ) and dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under vacuum to give residue. The remaining residue was separated by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}=100 / 1, ~ v / v$ ) to yield orange solid $\mathbf{F M 3}$ ( 56 mg , yield: $59 \%$ ). Melting point: $213.2-215.7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 8.33 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 (br, 4H), 3.53 (br, 4H), 2.54-2.51 (m, 2H), 2.45 (bs, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.1,162.8,161.6,153.8,132.9,115.8,115.1,114.6,109.3,108.4,73.8,66.2$, 57.7, 55.7, 55.3, 54.4, 53.4, 36.3. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 412.1872$; found: 412.1881 .


FM1
Table S1. 1D and 2D NMR data of compound FM1 in DMSO- $d_{6}$

| No. | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}} \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$, multi. $(J / \mathrm{Hz})$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{b}} \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ | COSY | HMQC | HMBC | DEPT 135 | DEPT $90$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.91, 3H, t (6.0) | 13.7 | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1-3}$ | + |  |
| 2 | 1.28-1.36, 2H, m | 19.8 | $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1-4}$ | - |  |
| 3 | 1.54-1.60, 2H, m | 29.7 | $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1-4}$ | - |  |
| 4 | $3.99,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ (6.0) | 38.8 | $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{5} / \mathrm{C}_{15} \end{aligned}$ | - |  |
| 5 |  | 163.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | 114.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $8.33,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ (6.4) | 132.9 | $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7}, \quad \mathrm{C}_{9}$ | $+$ | $+$ |
| 8 | $7.10,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ (6.4) | 115.8 | $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{9}, \mathrm{C}_{16}$ | $+$ | + |
| 9 |  | $161.6$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | $109.4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | 153.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 7.02, 1H, d (7.2) | 108.4 | $\mathrm{H}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{14}$ | + | + |
| 13 | $8.21,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}(7.2)$ | 132.9 | $\mathrm{H}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11-13}, \mathrm{C}_{15}$ | $+$ | $+$ |
| 14 |  | $114.7$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | $162.8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | 115.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 4.64, 2H, t (3.8) | 73.8 | $\mathrm{H}_{18}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | - |  |
| 18 | $3.85,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}(3.8)$ | 54.4 | $\mathrm{H}_{17}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ | - |  |
| 19 | 3.74-3.78, 4H, m | $57.7$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{19}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11}, \quad \mathrm{C}_{20}$ | - |  |
| 20 | $3.74-3.78,4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ | 55.3 | OH | $\mathrm{C}_{20}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19}$ | - |  |
| OH | 4.96, 1H, t (4.0) |  | $\mathrm{H}_{20}$ |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ recorded at $400 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{b}$ recorded at 100 MHz


Fig. S1. HMQC spectrum of FM1 (DMSO- $d_{6}$ )


Fig. S2. HMBC spectrum of FM1 (DMSO- $d_{6}$ )


Fig. S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum of FM1 $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$


Fig. S4. DEPT 135 spectrum of FM1 (DMSO- $d_{6}$ )


Fig. S5. DEPT 90 spectrum of FM1 (DMSO- $d_{6}$ )

## 3. X-ray crystal structure determination of FM2

a)
c)

b)
(18)


Fig. S6. Crystal structure of FM2. a) Thermal ellipsoid drawing of top view at $30 \%$ probability level, those unlabeled balls represent hydrogen atoms. b) Thermal ellipsoid drawing of top view at $30 \%$ probability level without hydrogen atoms. c) Packing structure along b-axis. Therein colour coding is as follows: C (gray), H (green), O (red), N (blue).

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for FM2.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 386.39 |
| Temperature | 293(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pbca |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=11.177(4) \AA \quad a=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=10.727(4) \AA \quad \mathrm{d}=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=29.751(11) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 3567(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 8 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.439 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.107 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1632 |
| Crystal size | $0.160 \times 0.110 \times 0.060 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.279 to $24.998^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-13<=\mathrm{h}<=13,-12<=\mathrm{k}<=10,-35<=\mathrm{l}<=32$ |
| Reflections collected | 18539 |
| Independent reflections | $3143[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1857]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.242^{\circ}$ | 97.4 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.7456 and 0.6098 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3143 / 0 / 256 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.018 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{l}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0687, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1290$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1455, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1587$ |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.0042(14) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.335 and -0.238 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

## 4. Photophysical properties

### 4.1 Absorption and emission spectra

Absorption and emission spectra were performed at identical condition $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with a Varian Cary 100 spectrometer and a Varian Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrometer, respectively.

### 4.2 Fluorescence quantum yield

Fluorescein was utilized as a standard sample with known quantum yield $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}=0.79\right)$ in 0.1 M NaOH aqueous solution ${ }^{3}$. The relative fluorescence quantum yields were calculated according to the following equation ${ }^{432}$

$$
\Phi_{x}=\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\operatorname{Grad}_{x} / \operatorname{Grad}_{s}\right)\left(n_{x}^{2} / n_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}\right)
$$

Where the subscripts x and s represent test and standard respectively, $\Phi$ means fluorescence quantum yield, Grad denotes the gradient from the plot of integrated fluorescence intensity $v s$ absorbance, and $n$ is the refractive index of the selected solvent.

All the final compounds FM0, FM1, FM2, and FM3 were prepared into 1 mM DMSO stock solution for test. And Fluorescence quantum yields of each compound were measured in various solvents including deionized water, PBS buffer ( $0.01 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=7.4$ ), Tris- HCl buffer ( 0.1 $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=7.4$ ), analytically pure ethanol, acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran (Table S3). Fluorescence testing slit: $5 / 2.5 \mathrm{~nm}$

## 4.3 pH titration



Fig. S7. Absorption (a, c, e) and emission (b, d, f) changes of FM1, FM2, FM3 (5 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) as a function of pH values ranging from 2 to 12 in Water ( $0.5 \%$ DMSO). Excitation wavelength: 470 nm , slit: $5 / 2.5 \mathrm{~nm}$.

Table S3. Absorption and emission properties of FM0, FM1, FM2, and FM3 in selected solvents. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| compounds | Solvent | $\begin{gathered} \lambda^{\max _{\mathrm{abs}}} \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \lambda^{\max _{e \mathrm{em}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}} \\ (\mathrm{~nm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \lambda \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon \\ \left(\times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\Phi^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brightness }{ }^{\text {e }} \\ \left(\times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FM0 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 433 | 520 | 87 | 1.47 | 0.82 | 1.21 |
|  | EtOH | 442 | 530 | 88 | 1.50 | 0.86 | 1.29 |
|  | THF | 429 | 501 | 72 | 1.42 | 0.86 | 1.22 |
|  | water | 455 | 542 | 87 | 1.11 | 0.082 | 0.09 |
|  | PBS | 456 | 542 | 86 | 1.07 | 0.083 | 0.09 |
|  | Tris-HCl | 460 | 548 | 88 | 1.12 | 0.073 | 0.08 |
| FM1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 442 | 500 | 58 | 1.99 | 0.86 | 1.71 |
|  | EtOH | 450 | 502 | 52 | 1.94 | 0.97 | 1.88 |
|  | THF | 437 | 488 | 51 | 1.98 | 0.93 | 1.84 |
|  | water | 469 | 525 | 56 | 2.44 | 0.62 | 1.51 |
|  | PBS | 467 | 525 | 58 | 2.18 | 0.58 | 1.26 |
|  | Tris-HCl | 469 | 525 | 56 | 2.27 | 0.59 | 1.34 |
| FM2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 443 | 501 | 58 | 1.90 | 0.85 | 1.62 |
|  | EtOH | 450 | 505 | 55 | 1.68 | 0.92 | 1.55 |
|  | THF | 440 | 491 | 51 | 1.74 | 0.91 | 1.58 |
|  | water | 467 | 527 | 60 | 2.05 | 0.66 | 1.35 |
|  | PBS | 468 | 525 | 57 | 2.00 | 0.64 | 1.28 |
|  | Tris-HCl | 468 | 525 | 57 | 2.36 | 0.65 | 1.53 |
| FM3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 441 | 498 | 57 | 1.79 | 0.92 | 1.65 |
|  | EtOH | 453 | 502 | 49 | 1.98 | 0.99 | 1.96 |
|  | THF | 437 | 486 | 49 | 1.78 | 0.96 | 1.71 |
|  | water | 469 | 526 | 57 | 2.18 | 0.60 | 1.31 |
|  | PBS | 467 | 528 | 61 | 2.11 | 0.62 | 1.31 |
|  | Tris-HCl | 469 | 525 | 56 | 2.06 | 0.60 | 1.24 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Stokes shifts, $\Delta \lambda$; molar extinction coefficient at longest wavelength transition, $\varepsilon$; fluorescence quantum yields, $\Phi$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Control compound: N-butyl-4-butylamine-1,8-naphthalimide, usually used as reference ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}=0.81$ in alcohol).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Excited at maximum absorption wavelength.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Determined relative to fluorescein ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}=0.79$ in 0.1 M sodium hydroxide aqueous solution).
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Brightness was the product of the molar extinction coefficient at $\lambda^{\max }{ }_{\text {abs }}$ and the corresponding fluorescence quantum yield.

### 4.4 Photostability ${ }^{5}$

The photostability of FM0, FM1, FM2, and FM3, fluorescein and BODIPY were measured under irradiation of a 150 W Incandescent Lamp for 200 min . FM0: $10 \%$ DMSO (v/v) in water, exited at 455 nm , slit: $5 / 5 \mathrm{~nm}$; FM1, FM2, and FM3: 0.5\% DMSO (v/v) in water, exited at 470 nm , slit: $5 / 2.5 \mathrm{~nm}$; fluorescein: 0.1 M sodium hydroxide solution, exited at 480 nm , slit: $5 / 2.5 \mathrm{~nm}$; BODIPY: 10\% DMSO (v/v) in water, excited at 480 nm , slit: $5 / 2.5 \mathrm{~nm}$. These six samples were 10 cm from the light source, between which was placed a transparent capacity full of saturated potassium nitrate solution. Therein, saturated salt solution was utilized to avoid influence from strong heat of lamp.


Fig. S8. Photobleaching curves for FM0 (dark yellow), FM1 (black), FM2 (pink), FM3 (red), Fluorescein (blue), BODIPY (cyan). The plot was obtained by using a 150 W Incandescent Lamp in water ( $10 \% \mathrm{DMSO}$, v/v) for FM0 and BODIPY, water ( $0.5 \%$ DMSO, v/v) for FM1, FM2, and FM3, 0.1 M sodium hydroxide solution for fluorescein. BODIPY: 10- (4-carboxyphenyl) -5,5-difluoro-1,3,7,9-tetramethyl-5H-dipyrrolo [1,2c:2', 1'-f][1,3,2]diazaborinin-4-ium-5-uide.

## 5. Cell imaging

### 5.1 Two-photon action cross section

TPA spectra were measured through a femtosecond two-photon-excited fluorescence (TPEF) technique ${ }^{6}$.




Fig. S9. Two-photon action $(\delta \cdot \varphi)$ spectra of compounds FM1, FM2, and FM3 in EtOH.

### 5.2 General methods

MCF-7 (human breast carcinoma) cells were obtained from Institute of Basic Medical Sciences (IBMS) of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (CAMS). Fluorescent imaging was acquired on an Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope.

### 5.3 Fluorescence imaging

The cells were cultured in Dulbecoo's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) medium supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS (fetal bovine serum) and Penicillin-Streptomycin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $95 \%$ air with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells cultured in glass bottom dishes for 24 h and stained with $\mathbf{F M 0}(2 \mu \mathrm{M}, 20$ $\mathrm{min})$, FM1 $(1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~min})$, FM2 $(2 \mu \mathrm{M}, 20 \mathrm{~min})$ or $\mathbf{F M} 3(2 \mu \mathrm{M}, 20 \mathrm{~min})$. And then cells were washed with PBS for three times. FM3 stained cells were incubated for more 20 min .

Table S4. The laser intensities and gain settings of compounds FM0, FM1, FM2 and FM3 in cell imaging experiment

| compound | laser intensities |  | gain settings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OPM | TPM | OPM | TPM |
| FM0 | $2 \%$ | $9 \%$ | 600 V | 700 V |
| FM1 | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ | 600 V | 700 V |
| FM2 | $30 \%$ | $36 \%$ | 700 V | 700 V |
| FM3 | $16 \%$ | $20 \%$ | 650 V | 680 V |

### 5.4 MTT assay

MCF-7 cells in exponential phase of growth were used to assess the cytotoxic effect of FM1, FM2, and FM3. $3 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were seeded into 96 -well plates and allowed to grow for 24 h prior to treatment with FM1, FM2, and FM3. FM1, FM2, and FM3 at different concentrations ( $0,1,2,10,20 \mu \mathrm{M}$, dispersed in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ medium) were added into wells (final concentration $0,0.5,1,5,10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and incubated for 24 h . MTT is added (final concentration 0.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and incubate for more 4 h . The medium was removed and $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DMSO was added to dissolve the formazan crystals. Optical densities were measured at 490 nm by a Thermo Scientific Multiskan FC spectrophotometer.


Fig. S10. Cell viability of compounds FM1, FM2, and FM3 at different concentrations for 24 h .

## 6. NMR and HRMS spectra



Fig. S11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{F M 1}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ )


Fig. S12. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of FM1 $\left(\right.$ DMSO $\left.^{2} d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


Fig. S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of FM2 $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


Fig. S14. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{F M} 2\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


Fig. S15. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of FM3 (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


Fig. S16. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of FM3 $\left(\right.$ DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=50.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-1.5, \max =100.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=2$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
11 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 1 best isotopic matches for each mass) Elements Used:

```
C: 0-20 H: 0-23 N: 0-2 O: 0-4
```
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Fig. S17. ESI HRMS spectrum of FM1
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17 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 1 best isotopic matches for each mass) Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C: } 0-20 & \mathrm{H}: 0-23 & \mathrm{~N}: 0-2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0-6\end{array}$
WP-ZHU ECUST institute of Fine Chem


17-May-2015
19:13:47
ZWP-ZJW-59383 278 (1.808) Cm (262:279)
OH
1: TOF MS ES+


Fig. S18. ESI HRMS spectrum of FM2


Fig. S19. ESI HRMS spectrum of FM3
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