Supporting information

Enhanced upconversion luminescence and tuned red-to-green emission ratio of LiGdF4 nanocrystals via Ca<sup>2+</sup> doping



Figure S1. Result of Rietveld refinement of sample S0. The broad peak belonging to the background at ca. 24° could be attributed to the amorphrous phase. The background was modeled with a 5-order Chebyschev polynomial and a Gaussian function. The weighted residual was 6.83% and the godness of fit was 1.22. The phase parameters were listed in Table S1.

Name	$SG^1$	а	b	С		Atom Position	
LiGdF <sub>4</sub>	l 41/a	5.17	5.17	10.81	Li(0, 1/4, 1/8)	Gd(1/2, 3/4, 1/8)	F(0.31, 0.17, 0.03)
$Gd_{\scriptscriptstyle 0.67}F_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$	Fm-3m	5.56	5.56	5.56	Gd(0, 0, 0) <sup>2</sup>	F(1/4, 1/4, 1/4)	
GdF₃	Pnma	6.50	6.95	4.39	Gd(0.37, 1/4, 0.05)	F1(0.16, 0.06, 0.37)	F2(0.03, 1/4, 0.90)

1. Space Group



Figure S2. Result of Rietveld refinement of sample S1. The background was modeled with a 6-order Chebyschev polynomial. The weighted residual was 5.64% and the godness of fit was 1.17. The phase parameters were listed in Table S2.

Table S2. Phase parameters of sample S1	

Name	$SG^1$	а	b	С		Atom Position	
LiGdF <sub>4</sub>	l 41/a	5.20	5.20	10.87	Li(0, 1/4, 1/8)	Gd(1/2, 3/4, 1/8)	F(0.25, 0.10, 0.05)
$Gd_{\rm 0.67}F_{\rm 2}$	Fm-3m	5.56	5.56	5.56	Gd(0, 0, 0) <sup>2</sup>	F(1/4, 1/4, 1/4)	
GdF₃	Pnma	6.50	6.95	4.42	Gd(0.37, 1/4, 0.05)	F1(0.16, 0.06, 0.37)	F2(0.03, 1/4, 0.90)



Figure S3. Result of Rietveld refinement of sample S2. The background was modeled with a 6-order Chebyschev polynomial. The weighted residual was 6.23% and the godness of fit was 1.23. The phase parameters were listed in Table S3.

Table S3. Phase parameters of sample S2

Ν	Jame	$SG^1$	а	b	С		Atom Position	
L	iGdF4	l 41/a	5.20	5.20	10.87	Li(0, 1/4, 1/8)	Gd(1/2, 3/4, 1/8)	F(0.25, 0.11, 0.05)
G	id <sub>0.67</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	Fm-3m	5.55	5.55	5.55	Gd(0, 0, 0) <sup>2</sup>	F(1/4, 1/4, 1/4)	



Figure S4. Result of Rietveld refinement of sample S3. The background was modeled with a 6-order Chebyschev polynomial. The weighted residual was 6.00% and the godness of fit was 1.17. The phase parameters were listed in Table S4.

Name	$SG^1$	а	b	С		Atom Position	
LiGdF <sub>4</sub>	l 41/a	5.20	5.20	10.87	Li(0, 1/4, 1/8)	Gd(1/2, 3/4, 1/8)	F(0.25, 0.10, 0.05)
$Gd_{0.67}F_2$	Fm-3m	5.55	5.55	5.55	Gd(0, 0, 0) <sup>2</sup>	F(1/4, 1/4, 1/4)	
GdF₃	Pnma	6.50	6.94	4.40	Gd(0.37, 1/4, 0.05)	F1(0.16, 0.06, 0.37)	F2(0.03, 1/4, 0.90)

Table S4. Phase parameters of sample S3



Figure S5. Result of Rietveld refinement of sample S4. The weighted residual was 5.62% and the godness of fit was 1.19. The phase parameters were listed in Table S5.

Table S5. Phase parameters of sample S4

Name	SG <sup>1</sup>	а	b	С		Atom Position	
LiGdF4	l 41/a	5.19	5.19	10.85	Li(0, 1/4, 1/8)	Gd(1/2, 3/4, 1/8)	F(0.26, 0.10, 0.04)
$Gd_{\scriptscriptstyle 0.67}F_2$	Fm-3m	5.55	5.55	5.55	Gd(0, 0, 0) <sup>2</sup>	F(1/4, 1/4, 1/4)	



Figure S6. Result of Rietveld refinement of sample S5. The weighted residual was 5.81% and the godness of fit was 1.14. The phase parameters were listed in Table S6.

Table 56. Phase parameters of sample 5	Table S6.	. Phase	parameters	of samp	le S5
--	-----------	---------	------------	---------	-------

Name	$SG^1$	а	b	С		Atom Position	
LiGdF <sub>4</sub>	l 41/a	5.19	5.19	10.85	Li(0, 1/4, 1/8)	Gd(1/2, 3/4, 1/8)	F(0.25, 0.10, 0.05)
$Gd_{\scriptscriptstyle 0.67}F_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$	Fm-3m	5.53	5.53	5.53	Gd(0, 0, 0) <sup>2</sup>	F(1/4, 1/4, 1/4)	



Figure S7. TEM images of sample S1, S3, S4, and S5. The bigger rhombic particles were LiGdF<sub>4</sub> and the smaller particles were the impurties.

Figure	Equation		Parameters	
		а	b	С
		4.1784	535.40	20.000
	$\begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}^2$	18.944	557.90	19.511
4	$\left  -ln2 \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} x - 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \right $	203.49	662.60	13.973
a	$a \cdot e \begin{bmatrix} c \end{bmatrix}$	273.54	676.60	12.849
		19.119	691.80	16.177
		8.6477	711.30	14.778
		а		b
5a		37756		-21585
5b		2004.8		-1035.1
5c	$a \cdot x + b$	18.059		0.4595
		1.8274		-1.8491
6b		2 1221		-38913

Talbe S7. Fitting parameters and equations for Fig. 4–6.