

Fig. S1 (a-b) the cross sectional SEM images of MoS₂/VACNTs-2, (c) TEM image of MoS₂/VACNTs-2, (d) pore size distribution curves of VACNTs



Fig. S2. The SEM of the composites after cycling: (a) MoS₂/VACNTs-1, (b-c) MoS₂/VACNTs-2, (d) MoS₂/VACNTs-3.

	Active materials	Rate capacity at 1000 mA g ⁻¹	Capacity after 50 cycle at 100 mA g ⁻¹	Capacity after 1000 cycle at 5000 mA g ⁻¹
Ref. [1]	CNT@MoS ₂ NSs	369 mAh g ⁻¹	698 mAh g ⁻¹	
Ref. [2]	Carbon–CNT–MoS ₂		522 mAh g ⁻¹	
Ref. [3]	MoS ₂ /3D graphene networks	597 mAh g-1	665 mAh g ⁻¹ (100 mA g ⁻¹)	
Ref. [4]	Layered MoS ₂ /graphene	900 mAh g ⁻¹	1187 mAh g ⁻¹ (100 cycle)	
Ref. [5]	MoS ₂ /3D porous carbon nanosheet	880 mAh g ⁻¹	1127 mAh g ⁻¹ (200 cycle)	
Ref. [6]	$\frac{MoSx/CNTs}{(2 \le x \le 3)}$	358 mAh g ⁻¹	1000 mAh g ⁻¹ (45 cycle, 100 mA g ⁻¹)	
This work	MoS ₂ /VACNTs	864 mAh g ⁻¹	969 mAh g ⁻¹ (100 cycle)	497 mAh g ⁻¹

Table S1. Comparison of the electrochemical performance of this work with related literature

CNT: carbon nanotubes, VACNTs: vertically aligned carbon nanotube arrays, NSs: nanosheets

- Ref. [1] Chem. Eur. J., 2011, 17, 13142–13145
- Ref. [2] Materials Letters, 130(2014)240-244
- Ref. [3] small, 2013, 9, No. 20, 3433-3438
- Ref. [4] Nano, 2011, 5 (6), 4720-4728
- Ref. [5] Nano, 2015, 9 (4), 3837-3848
- Ref. [6] Scientific Reports, 2013, 3, 2169