Electronic Supplementary Information for

Hairy cylinders based on a coil-comb-coil copolymer

Bo Yang,^a Qiutong Huang,^a Huanhuan Liu,^c Youliang Zhao, *^c and Jianzhong Du*^{ab}

^a Department of Polymeric Materials, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Key Laboratory of Advanced Civil Engineering Materials of Ministry of Education, Tongji University, 4800 Caoan Road, Shanghai 201804, China. E-mail: jzdu@tongji.edu.cn

^b Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200072, China.

^c Suzhou Key Laboratory of Macromolecular Design and Precision Synthesis, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Functional Polymer Design and Application, State and Local Joint Engineering Laboratory for Novel Functional Polymeric Materials, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, China.

Email: jzdu@tongji.edu.cn; Fax: +86-21-6958 4723; Tel: +86-21-6958 0239

Contents

1. Figures and Scheme
Fig. S1 IR spectra of P1, C1 and C2
Fig. S2 ¹ H NMR spectrum of PTMA ₄₂ -b-[(St-PEG ₁₆)-alt-(MI-PCL ₂₁)] ₄ -S-S-[(St-PEG ₁₆)-alt-
(MI-PCL ₂₁)] ₄ - <i>b</i> -PTMA ₄₂ copolymer (C1)
Fig. S3 Additional TEM (a-b) and AFM (c-d) images of C1 hairy nanoparticles at $r = 2:14$
Fig. S4 The turbidity studies in the mixture solution of H ₂ O/DMF (r, v/v) of C1 coil-comb-
coil copolymer and corresponding homopolymers of St-PEG, MI-PCL and PTMA with the
same concentration as that of C1 during self-assembly
Fig. S5 ¹ H NMR spectrum of PEG ₄₃ - <i>b</i> -PCL ₆₀ - <i>b</i> -PTMA ₃₀ (L1)
Fig. S6 ¹ H NMR spectrum of PMEMA ₄₄ -b-[(St-PEG ₁₆)-alt-(MI-PCL ₂₁)] ₄ -S-S-[(St-PEG ₁₆)-
alt-(MI-PCL ₂₁)] ₄ -b-PMEMA ₄₄ copolymer (C2)
Fig. S7 GPC traces of C3 after reduction of C1 to cleave the disulfide linkage7
Scheme S1 Structure-dependent cylinders arrangement
2. Calculations
2.1 Calculation of the contour length of the main chain and side chains of C1
2.2 Weight fractions of St-PEG, MI-PCL and PTMA in the C1 coil-comb-coil copolymers. 11
3. References

1. Figures and Scheme



Fig. S1 IR spectra of P1, C1 and C2.



Fig. S2 ¹H NMR spectrum of $PTMA_{42}$ -*b*-[(St-PEG₁₆)-*alt*-(MI-PCL₂₁)]₄-S-S-[(St-PEG₁₆)-*alt*-(MI-PCL₂₁)]₄-*b*-PTMA₄₂ copolymer (C1).



Fig. S3 Additional TEM (a-b) and AFM (c-d) images of C1 hairy nanoparticles at r = 2:1.



Fig. S4 The turbidity studies in the mixture solution of H_2O/DMF (r, v/v) of C1 coilcomb-coil copolymer and corresponding homopolymers of St-PEG, MI-PCL and PTMA with the same concentration as that of C1 during self-assembly.

The turbidity studies^{1, 2} of C1 coil-comb-coil copolymer and corresponding homopolymers of St-PEG, MI-PCL and PTMA with the same concentration as that of C1 during self-assembly were performed to explore the possible transition pathway of different morphologies with different water contents (r). The absorbance at 650 nm were recorded. As one may expected, the absorbance of St-PEG homopolymer kept constant with increasing water content. For the case of MI-PCL homopolymer, adding a very small amount of water caused a fast increase in the absorbance. The maximum absorbance appeared when r is up to 0.625. Similarly, the turbidity of PTMA homopolymer presented analogous pathway with the maximum absorbance at r = 1. The turbidity showed different pathways when the three homopolymers formed a copolymer. When r is less than 0.5, the absorbance increased quickly, similar to that of MI-PCL and PTMA. Interestingly, the absorbance decreased quickly after r is up to 0.75, which are corresponding to the morphology transition detected by TEM when cylinders turned into hairy cylinders at r from 0.5 to 0.7. The morphology transition is also reflected in the increase of cylinders diameter at different r (Table 2). With more and more water adding, PTMA and MI-PCL becomes more and more insoluble, and the less hydrophobic PTMA joins the core of the cylinders, leading to the increase of cylinders diameter, and essentially promoting the formation of hairy cylinders due to the synergic effect with comb-like structure which will be discussed later.



Fig. S5 ¹H NMR spectrum of PEG_{43} -*b*-PCL₆₀-*b*-PTMA₃₀ (L1).



Fig. S6 ¹H NMR spectrum of PMEMA₄₄–b–[(St-PEG₁₆)-alt-(MI-PCL₂₁)]₄–S–S–[(St-PEG₁₆)-alt-(MI-PCL₂₁)]₄–b–PMEMA₄₄ copolymer (C2).



Fig. S7 GPC traces of C3 after reduction of C1 to cleave the disulfide linkage.

Scheme S1 Structure-dependent cylinders arrangement.

Coil-Comb-Coil copolymer C1



Coil-Comb copolymer C3





Cylinders ending is more difficult than cylinders elongation and branching.





Molecule is more flexible and can easily adjust its conformation to end cylinders elongation.

2. Calculations

2.1 Calculation of the contour length of the main chain and side chains of C1.

The calculation by accumulating the length of carbon-carbon and carbon-oxygen covalent bonds at a specific angle was based on our previous method.³ The main process is showing below:

The angle of carbon-carbon covalent bonds is 109.28°.

The angle of carbon-oxygen covalent bonds is 120° .

$$l_{PEG} = \left(l_{c-c} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{c-c}}{2}\right) \times n_{c-c} + l_{c-o} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{c-o}}{2}\right) \times n_{c-c} + l_{c-o} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{c-o}}{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(l_{c-c} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^\circ - \theta_{c-c}}{2}\right) \times n_{c-c} + l_{c-o} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^\circ - \theta_{c-o}}{2}\right) \times n_{c-c} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^\circ - \theta_{c-o}}{2}\right)$$

 $= l_{c-c} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{c-c}}{2}\right) \times DP = 0.154 \ nm \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - 109.28}{2}\right)$

 $l_{PMEMA} = l_{c-c} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{c-c}}{2}\right) \times DP = 0.154 \ nm \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - 109.28^{\circ}}{2}\right) \times 44 \approx 5.5 \ nm$

The contour length of the main chain is calculated by accumulating the length of carbon-carbon, carbon-oxygen and carbon-sulfur covalent bonds at a specific angle:

The angle of carbon-sulfur covalent bonds is 120°.

 l_{PCL}

 l_{PTMA}

 $l_{main chain} = l_{spacer} + 2 \times l_{half brush} + 2 \times l_{end group} + 2 \times l_{PTMA}$

l_{spacer}

$$= \left(l_{c-c} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{c-c}}{2}\right) \times n_{c-c} + l_{c-o} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{c-o}}{2}\right) \times n_{c-c}\right)$$
$$\times 2 + l_{s-s} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{s-s}}{2}\right) \times n_{s-s}$$
$$= \left(0.154 \ nm \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - 109.28^{\circ}}{2}\right) \times 4 + 0.143 \ nm \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ}}{2}\right) \times 2 + 0.207 \ nm \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - 120^{\circ}}{2}\right) \times 1 \approx 2.0 \ nm$$

l_{half brush}

$$= l_{c-c} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{c-c}}{2}\right) \times n_{c-c} \times DP = 0.154 \ nm \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ}}{2}\right) \times 4 \approx 2.0 \ nm$$

l_{end group}

$$= l_{c-c} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{c-c}}{2}\right) \times n_{c-c} + l_{c-s} \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - \theta_{c-s}}{2}\right) \times n_{c-c}$$

=
$$0.154 nm \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - 109.28^{\circ}}{2}\right) \times 1 + 0.182 nm \times \cos\left(\frac{180^{\circ} - 12}{2}\right) \times 1 + 0.266 nm \approx 0.7 nm$$

 $l_{main \ chain} = l_{spacer} + 2 \times l_{half \ brush} + 2 \times l_{end \ group} + 2 \times l_{PTMA}$

 $= 2.0 nm + 2 \times 2.0 nm + 2 \times 0.7 nm + 2 \times 5.3 = 18 nm$

2.2 Weight fractions of St-PEG, MI-PCL and PTMA in the C1 coil-comb-coil copolymers.

The M_n value of P1 determined by ¹H NMR was $M_{n,P1} = 30900 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, and the M_n value of C1 determined by ¹H NMR was $M_{n,C1} = 47600 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$. The numbers of St-PEG ($M_{n,PEG} = 870 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, PDI = 1.04)⁴ and MI-PCL ($M_{n,PCL} = 2560 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$)⁵ grafts per comb were determined to $n_{PEG} = 8.9$ and $n_{PCL} = 8.8 \text{ by }^{1}\text{H NMR}$ analysis. Thus the weight fractions were calculated as below:

 $w_{\text{PEG}} = m_{\text{PEG}} / m_{\text{C1}} = n_{\text{PEG}} \times M_{n,\text{PEG}} / M_{n,\text{C1}} = 8.9 \times 870 / 47600 = 16.2\%$

 $w_{\text{PCL}} = m_{\text{PCL}} / m_{\text{C1}} = n_{\text{PCL}} \times M_{n,\text{PCL}} / M_{n,\text{C1}} = 8.8 \times 2560 / 47600 = 47.3\%$

 $w_{\text{PTMA}} = m_{\text{PTMA}} / m_{\text{C1}} = (M_{n,\text{C1}} - M_{n,\text{P1}}) / M_{n,\text{C1}} = (47600 - 30900) / 30900 = 35.1\%$

 $w_{\text{main chain}} = 1 - w_{\text{PEG}} - w_{\text{PCL}} - w_{\text{PTMA}} = 1.4\%$

3. References

- 1 L. Zhang and A. Eisenberg, *Science*, 1995, **268**, 1728-1731.
- 2 J. Z. Du and Y. M. Chen, *Macromolecules*, 2004, **37**, 5710-5716.
- 3 Q. T. Huang, B. Yang, H. H. Liu, Y. L. Zhao and J. Z. Du, *Polym. Chem.*, 2015, 6, 886-890.
- 4 H. Zhu, G. Deng and Y. Chen, *Polymer*, 2008, **49**, 405-411.
- 5 M. J. Zhang, H. H. Liu, W. Shao, K. Miao and Y. L. Zhao, *Macromolecules*, 2013, **46**, 1325-1336.