Supporting Information for

Degradation of the active species in the catalytic system $Pd(OAc)_2/NEt_3$

Jesús Sanmartín-Matalobos,^{*a} Concepcion González-Bello,^b Lucía Briones-Miguéns,^a Matilde Fondo^a and Ana M. García-Deibe^a

Table of Contents

1.	Characterization of $Pd(OAc)_2(HNEt_2)_2$	
	Fig. S1. Dependence of Pd(OAc) ₂ (HNEt ₂) ₂ yield with the used molar ratio	S2
	Fig. S2. ¹ H NMR spectrum of an aliquot of the reaction crude previous to the hydrolysis	S2
	Fig. S3. ¹ H NMR spectrum of an aliquot of the reaction crude after hydrolysis	S3
	Fig. S4. COSY spectrum of Pd(OAc) ₂ (HNEt ₂) ₂	S3
	Fig. S5. HSQC spectrum of Pd(OAc) ₂ (HNEt ₂) ₂	S4
	Fig. S6. IR spectrum of Pd(OAc) ₂ (HNEt ₂) ₂	S4
	Fig. S7. Molecular structure of Pd(OAc) ₂ (HNEt ₂) ₂	S5
	Fig. S8. COSY spectrum of Pd(OAc) ₂ (HNEt ₂)	S6
	Fig. S9. ¹ H NMR spectrum of HNEt ₂	S6
	Fig. S10. ¹ H NMR spectra of Pd(OAc) ₂ (HNEt ₂) ₂	S7
2.	Characterization of acetaldehyde derivatives	
	Fig. S11. ¹³ C NMR spectrum of 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline	S8
	Fig. S12. IR spectrum of 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline	S8
	Fig. S13. Selective 1D NOE spectrum of methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline and	
	DFT-calculated anti conformation of the compound	S9
3.	Details of the theoretical studies on 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline	
	Fig. S14. Sticks representation of the most stable conformations	S11
	Table S1. Enthalpy and free Gibbs energy calculated for the most stable conformers	. S 11
	Energy details and coordinates for all the compounds studied	S12
4.	Characterization of 2 tosylaminomethylaniline derivatives	
	Fig. S15. Mass spectrum of Pd(A ^{Ts}) ₂	S16
	Fig. S16. IR spectrum of Pd(A ^{Ts}) ₂	S16
	Fig. S17. COSY spectrum of $Pd(A^{Ts})_2$	S17
	Fig. S18. HMQC spectrum of Pd(A ^{Ts}) ₂	S17
	Fig. S19. ¹ H NMR spectrum of Pd(OAc)(A ^{Ts})(NEt ₃)	S18

1. Characterization of Pd(OAc)₂(HNEt₂)₂



Fig. S1. Dependence of $Pd(OAc)_2(HNEt_2)_2$ yield with the used molar ratio (1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:7 and 1:9).



Fig. S2. ¹H NMR spectrum (in dmso- d_6) of an aliquot of the reaction crude previous to the hydrolysis



Fig. S3. ¹H NMR spectrum (in dmso- d_6) of an aliquot of the reaction crude after hydrolysis



Fig. S4. COSY spectrum of Pd(OAc)₂(HNEt₂)₂







Fig. S6. IR spectrum of Pd(OAc)₂(HNEt₂)₂



Fig. S7. Molecular structure of $Pd(OAc)_2(HNEt_2)_2$. Ellipsoids have been represented at 50% probability level. Intramolecular hydrogen bonds are shown as dotted lines.



Fig. S8.COSY spectrum of Pd(OAc)₂(HNEt₂)



Fig. S9. ¹H NMR spectrum of HNEt₂ in dmso- d_6 .



Fig. S10. 1D ¹H NMR spectrum (top) and selective 1D NOE spectrum (bottom) of $Pd(OAc)_2(HNEt_2)_2$ in dmso- d_6 (selective band centre: 5.56 ppm). H-H NOE interaction values have been placed under the peaks on the spectrum. The molecular structure of $Pd(OAc)_2(HNEt_2)_2$ with selected distances (in Å) demonstrates a good agreement between the molecular structure in solid state and in solution.

2. Characterization of acetaldehyde derivatives



Fig. S11. ¹³C NMR spectrum of 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline in chloroform-*d*.



Fig. S12. IR spectrum of 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline.

Fig. S13 shows the calculated most stable conformer of 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinazoline, which displays an anti-disposition of methyl and tosyl groups. This was obtained by geometry optimizations and energy calculations using the Gaussian 09W program package¹ at density functional theory (DFT) level by means of the B3LYP functional using the 6-31G** basis set, and using as starting point the crystallographic structure of 3-tosyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinazoline. First, the latter crystallographic structure was minimized at a DFT level. The two possible isomers were studied and their relative energies were compared. On the optimized geometries performed in vacuum a DFT minimization in THF solution by means of the polarizable continuum solvation model was carried out.² Harmonic frequencies were calculated at the same level of theory to characterize the stationary points and to determine the zero-point energies (ZPE). The results of the Gibbs free energy calculations in THF showed that the isomer with an anti-disposition of methyl and tosyl groups was 1.2 kcal mol-1 more stable than the syn isomer. These findings were further supported by NOE experiments. Saturation by a selective 180 degree pulse of methanetriyl H-2 (5.25 ppm) led to enhancement of the signals for the aromatic H-2['] (2.75%), the aminic H-1 (8.14%) and the methyl H-2 (6.37%).



Fig. S13. DFT-calculated *anti* conformation of 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline, with selected distances (in Å) is shown (top). Selective 1D NOE spectrum (in dmso- d_6 , selective band centre: 5.25 ppm) is also shown (bottom). H-H NOE interaction values have been placed under the peaks on the spectrum. Besides, the full assignment of ¹H NMR spectrum of tetradeuterated 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline (in dmso- d_6) is shown. The inset shows missing H-signals for deuterated carbons at 2-position (CD₃ and CD) as well as original multiplicity of the NH proton for easier comparison.

References

1. Gaussian 09, Revision A.2, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P.

Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, N. J. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.

2 J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, and R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2999.

3. Details of the theoretical studies on 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline



Figure S14. Sticks representation of the most stable conformations of 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline: (A) *anti*-disposition and (B) *syn*-disposition, and 3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline (C) derived from the theoretical studies carried at DFT level and in THF solution.

Table S1. Enthalpy and free Gibbs energy (in Hartrees) calculated for the most stable conformers of 2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline (*anti* and *syn*) and 3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline using B3LYP/6-31G** in THF solution.

Ligand		Н	G
2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-	anti	-1278.355458	-1278.425311
tetranyuroquinazonne	syn	-1278.354180	-1278.423390
3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline		-1239.067361	-1239.134149

Energy details and coordinates for all the compounds studied:

A) anti-2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline



Zero-poi	nt correction	=	0.31	5020 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal	correction to	Energy=	0.33	5254	
Thermal	correction to	Enthalpy=	0.33	5198	
Thermal	correction to	Gibbs Free Energy	<i>r</i> = 0.26	5345	
Sum of e	electronic and	zero-point Energi	les= -	1278.3	75636
Sum of e	electronic and	thermal Energies=	:	1278.3	56402
Sum of e	electronic and	thermal Enthalpie	es= -:	1278.3	55458
Sum of e	electronic and	thermal Free Ener	rgies= -:	1278.4	25311
Center	Atomic	For	ces (Hartrees/	3ohr)	
Number	Number	X	Y		Z
1	7	0.000775686	0.000259659	0.0	01784452
2	б	-0.000704910	-0.001599842	-0.0	02643966
3	7	-0.000428859	-0.001045642	0.0	04629166
4	6	-0.000987167	-0.000583869	-0.0	00257731
5	6	0.000783505	-0.001190933	-0.0	00151436
б	1	-0.000193335	0.000178999	-0.0	00139450
7	6	0.000720368	-0.000120547	-0.0	00095022
8	1	0.000046920	-0.000063026	-0.0	00049414
9	б	0.000409548	0.000632255	-0.0	00009254
10	1	-0.00001058	0.000123832	-0.0	00018412
11	б	-0.000864345	0.001051485	0.0	00521903
12	1	-0.000009263	-0.000103000	-0.0	00085853
13	б	-0.000260064	-0.000242333	0.0	00394557
14	б	-0.000841908	0.002092699	-0.0	00645905
15	1	0.000207325	0.000544455	-0.0	00708965
16	1	0.000348437	-0.000421004	0.0	00729508
17	16	-0.001724170	0.004434922	-0.0	14387687
18	8	0.001172005	0.000592940	0.0	06206301
19	8	0.000707379	-0.003633284	0.0	04425561
20	б	-0.000393887	-0.000501177	0.0	01482400
21	б	0.000717684	0.000576216	0.0	00868185
22	1	-0.000185025	0.000587960	-0.0	00679549
23	б	0.000197729	0.000864126	0.0	00298177
24	1	0.000228236	-0.000247833	0.0	00081837
25	б	-0.000765098	0.000240733	-0.0	00350813
26	б	0.000037021	0.000060895	-0.0	00637976
27	1	0.000119835	-0.000120657	0.0	00299576
28	1	0.000122656	-0.000028673	0.0	00357811
29	1	0.000275531	-0.000035940	0.0	00120413
30	6	-0.000085646	-0.000905062	-0.0	00147394
31	1	0.000303594	0.000071377	0.0	00153201
32	6	0.000362580	-0.001037061	0.0	00413665
33	1	-0.000372588	0.000037771	-0.0	00869261
34	6	0.000066549	-0.000238609	-0.0	00006495
35	1	0.000017306	0.000187353	0.0	00706972

36	1	0.000202760	0.00000384	0.000107814
37	1	-0.000159066	0.000080996	0.000121414
38	1	0.000373007	0.000077135	-0.001047013
39	1	-0.000219274	-0.000577701	-0.000771319

B) syn-2-methyl-3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline



Zero-po	oint correction:	=	0.31	.6312 (Hartree/Pa)	cticle)
Thermal	L correction to	Energy=	0.33	5266	
Thermal	L correction to	Enthalpy=	0.33	6210	
Thermal	L correction to	Gibbs Free Energy	y= 0.26	7000	
Sum of	electronic and	zero-point Energ	ies= -	1278.374078	
Sum of	electronic and	thermal Energies:	= -	1278.355124	
Sum of	electronic and	thermal Enthalpie	es= -	1278.354180	
Sum of	electronic and	thermal Free Ener	rgies= -	1278.423390	
Center	Atomic	Fo:	rces (Hartrees/	'Bohr)	
Number	Number	Х	Y	Ζ	
1	7	-0.000585024	-0.000085858	0.000502017	
2	6	0.001174874	0.000491905	-0.002164170	
3	1	0.000415559	-0.000192793	0.000486156	
4	7	-0.000425386	-0.000353578	0.002910136	
5	6	0.000359382	0.000132445	0.000069309	
б	6	0.000048007	0.000808251	-0.000116509	
7	1	-0.000077605	0.000073947	0.000255815	
8	б	-0.000919951	0.000363463	0.000264142	
9	1	-0.000021628	0.000032894	-0.000020286	
10	6	-0.000592043	-0.000362705	0.000309171	
11	1	-0.000045199	-0.000034572	-0.000029480	
12	6	0.000360289	-0.001057563	0.000066323	
13	1	-0.000015484	0.000097634	-0.000182081	
14	6	0.000758160	-0.000067296	0.000032746	
15	6	-0.000251389	-0.001008742	-0.000678598	
16	1	-0.000406251	-0.000179843	-0.000821265	
17	1	0.000113042	0.000160311	0.000719426	
18	16	0.005069248	0.002666007	-0.011661154	
19	8	-0.001779567	-0.003292734	0.005027334	
20	8	-0.002028338	0.000862541	0.004295284	
21	6	-0.000329141	0.000034988	0.001157686	
22	6	-0.000921797	-0.0008/504/	-0.000206648	
23		0.000307099	-0.000234639	-0.000464037	
24	6	-0.000010686	-0.000771964	-0.000360021	
25		-0.000265781	0.000172036	0.000115256	
26	6	0.000910814	-0.000211941	-0.000244650	
27	0	0.000290/86	0.00053/324	-0.000083219	
28	1	-0.000258983	-0.000059598	0.000175044	
29	1		-0.000225349	U.UUU1/5844	
30			-0.0000/9686	-0.000113327	
31	6	0.0000115/3	0.000933778	0.00052/835	

32 1 -0.000282747 -0.000093666 -0.00004618 33 6 -0.000476388 0.000636394 0.00061157 34 1 0.000769465 0.000301048 -0.00024713 35 1 -0.000346496 0.000766039 -0.00025365 36 6 0.000263494 -0.000108418 0.00035872 37 1 0.000263494 -0.000158699 0.00003364 38 1 0.000012148 0.000285367 -0.00016974 39 1 -0.000301746 0.000098320 -0.00017687					
33 6 -0.000476388 0.000636394 0.00061157 34 1 0.000769465 0.000301048 -0.00024713 35 1 -0.000346496 0.000766039 -0.00025365 36 6 0.000068709 -0.000108418 0.00035872 37 1 0.000263494 -0.000158699 0.00003364 38 1 0.000012148 0.000285367 -0.00016974 39 1 -0.000301746 0.000098320 -0.00017687	32	1	-0.000282747	-0.000093666	-0.000046185
34 1 0.000769465 0.000301048 -0.00024713 35 1 -0.000346496 0.000766039 -0.00025365 36 6 0.000068709 -0.000108418 0.00035872 37 1 0.000263494 -0.000158699 0.00003364 38 1 0.000012148 0.000285367 -0.00016974 39 1 -0.000301746 0.000098320 -0.00017687	33	6	-0.000476388	0.000636394	0.000611572
35 1 -0.000346496 0.000766039 -0.00025365 36 6 0.000068709 -0.000108418 0.00035872 37 1 0.000263494 -0.000158699 0.00003364 38 1 0.000012148 0.000285367 -0.00016974 39 1 -0.000301746 0.000098320 -0.00017687	34	1	0.000769465	0.000301048	-0.000247130
36 6 0.000068709 -0.000108418 0.00035872 37 1 0.000263494 -0.000158699 0.00003364 38 1 0.000012148 0.000285367 -0.00016974 39 1 -0.000301746 0.000098320 -0.00017687	35	1	-0.000346496	0.000766039	-0.000253653
37 1 0.000263494 -0.000158699 0.00003364 38 1 0.000012148 0.000285367 -0.00016974 39 1 -0.000301746 0.000098320 -0.00017687	36	б	0.000068709	-0.000108418	0.000358720
38 1 0.000012148 0.000285367 -0.00016974 39 1 -0.000301746 0.000098320 -0.00017687	37	1	0.000263494	-0.000158699	0.000033645
39 1 -0.000301746 0.000098320 -0.00017687	38	1	0.000012148	0.000285367	-0.000169745
	39	1	-0.000301746	0.000098320	-0.000176873

C) 3-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazoline



Zero-point correction Thermal correction to Thermal correction to Sum of electronic and Sum of electronic and Sum of electronic and Sum of electronic and	= Energy= Enthalpy= Gibbs Free Energy zero-point Energi thermal Energies= thermal Enthalpie thermal Free Ener	0.288 0.307 (0.307) 0.240 (es= -1) (s= -1) (s= -1) (s= -1) (s= -1)	538 (Hartree/Pa 221 165 377 239.085988 239.068305 239.067361 239.134149	rticle)
Center Atomic Number Number	For X	ces (Hartrees/E Y	sohr) Z	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.000140592 -0.00030836 -0.000748627 0.000616436 0.002892541 0.000471004 -0.000025249 0.000023790 -0.0000678984 -0.000006859 -0.0000442189 -0.000004595 0.000338701 -0.000031548 0.000573570 -0.000576386 -0.000728457 0.000198426 -0.009470253 0.002697424	0.000153069 -0.002480521 -0.000448265 0.000599305 0.001737901 0.00006045 -0.000817891 0.000171037 -0.000245576 -0.000041233 0.000389325 0.000029491 0.001103593 -0.000147755 -0.000201912 0.001236355 -0.000032244 0.000136389 -0.005732002 0.004265441	0.000113126 0.001038558 0.000024366 -0.002599327 0.000120744 -0.000260006 -0.000279175 -0.000024974 0.000011445 0.000011445 0.000042321 0.000090352 -0.00000130 -0.000227888 0.001939901 0.000316224 -0.000828650 0.010201708 -0.003468020	
21 8 22 6 23 6 24 1 25 6 26 1	0.003932653 0.001332807 -0.000029415 -0.000494781 -0.000223439 0.000005437	0.000038754 0.000675719 0.001017482 0.000028911 0.000913486 -0.000120329	-0.004135767 -0.000639440 -0.000592223 0.000822574 0.000106147 -0.000318057	

27	6	0.000047148	-0.000045504	0.000896444
28	б	-0.000216702	-0.000509886	0.000196232
29	1	0.000083094	0.000118120	-0.000301224
30	1	0.000158122	0.000260184	-0.000264216
31	1	-0.000140768	0.000168372	-0.000273172
32	б	0.000242129	-0.000810351	-0.000310947
33	1	-0.000062830	0.000163758	-0.000272460
34	б	0.000457585	-0.000656549	-0.001024573
35	1	-0.000300772	-0.000543176	0.000634759
36	1	0.00001232	-0.000379540	-0.000575766

4. Characterization of 2-tosylaminomethylaniline derivatives





Fig. S16. IR spectrum of $Pd(A^{Ts})_2$.



Fig. S17. COSY spectrum of $Pd(A^{Ts})_2$ in dmso- d_6 .



Fig. S18. HMQC spectrum of $Pd(A^{Ts})_2$ in dmso- d_6 .



Fig. S19. ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pd(OAc)(A^{Ts})(NEt_3)$ in dmso- d_6