Supporting information

Ordered R_{TiO2}@A_{TiO2} Architectures for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells Applications

Yuliang Liu^b, Dandan Wang^a, Jing Ni^a, Shaofeng Zhang^a, Xiujuan Jin^a, Shijie Lv^a, Zhaowu Wang^{ac} and Jianfei Lei^{*a}

^a School of Physics and Engineering, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471023, China

^b School of Materials and Engineering, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471023, China

^c National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China.

Table of contents:

1. Effects of the substrate on the R_{TiO2} films

Figure S1 FE-SEM images of the R_{TiO2} rods growing on the FTO substrate covered (a, b) and uncovered (c, d) with TiO_2 compact layer, and the reaction time is 3 hours.

2. Length growth investigation

Figure S2 cross-view images of the R_{TiO2} rods: length growth (a) 1 time, (b) 2 times and (c) 3 times. Scale bar: 1 μ m

3. Evaluation of the efficiency deviation

Figure S3 *J-V* curves of the arrayed R_{TiO2} DSSC (a) and the arrayed $R_{TiO2}@A_{TiO2}$ DSSC (b) fabricated in the same conditions at different times.

Table S1 Photovoltaic performance data and average deviation for the DSSCs basedon arrayed R_{TiO2} and arrayed $R_{TiO2}@A_{TiO2}$.

^{*} Corresponding author: School of Physics and Engineering, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471023, China.

E-mail address: leijianfei9966@163.com (J. F. Lei).

1. Effects of the substrate on the R_{TiO2} films



Figure S1 FE-SEM images of the R_{TiO2} rods growing on the FTO substrate covered (a, b) and uncovered (c, d) with TiO₂ compact layer, and the reaction time is 3 hours.



2. Length growth investigation

Figure S2 cross-view images of the R_{TiO2} rods: length growth (a) 1 time, (b) 2 times and (c) 3 times. Scale bar: 1 μ m



3. Evaluation of the efficiency deviation

Figure S3 *J-V* curves of the arrayed R_{TiO2} DSSC (a) and the arrayed $R_{TiO2}@A_{TiO2}$ DSSC (b) fabricated in the same conditions at different times.

Table S1 Photovoltaic performance data and average deviation for the DSSCs basedon arrayed R_{TiO2} and arrayed $R_{TiO2}@A_{TiO2}$.

cell	$J_{\rm sc}({\rm mA/cm}^2)$	Voc (V)	η (%)	average deviation
$R_{TiO2}(1)$	5.01	0.75	2.02	
$R_{TiO2}(2)$	5.02	0.76	1.99	0.09
R_{TiO2} (3)	5.18	0.80	2.22	
$R_{TiO2}@A_{TiO2}(1)$	13.41	0.80	5.68	
$R_{TiO2}@A_{TiO2}(2)$	12.63	0.78	5.69	0.21
$R_{TiO2}@A_{TiO2}(3)$	13.12	0.77	6.15	