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Scheme S1. General synthesis of cationic-amphiphilic polymers.

Table S1. Antibacterial activity, toxicity and selectivity profiles of cationic polymers

| Polymer | $\mathrm{MIC}^{\text {a }}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu g ~ m L}{ }^{-1}\right)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{H C}_{50}{ }^{\mathbf{b}} \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Selectivity ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E. coli | S. aureus |  | E. coli | S. aureus |
| AC3P | 31 | 31 | >1000 | >32 | >32 |
| EC3P | 125 | 250 | >1000 | >8 | >4 |
| HexP | 7 | 20 | 30 | 4.3 | 1.5 |

${ }^{a}$ MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration in cation adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CAMHB); ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{HC}_{50}$, concentration required to cause $50 \%$ hemolysis; ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Selectivity, is defined as $\mathrm{HC}_{50} / \mathrm{MIC}$.


Figure S1. (A) Membrane depolarization and (B) Membrane permeabilization of polymers against $E$. coli.


Figure S2. Effect on the bacterial cytoskeleton protein MreB and the cell division protein, FtsZ in B. subtilis. Strain carrying a GFP-MreB and FtsZ-GFP fusion were used. Distorted Nile red fluorescence shows alteration in membrane lipid staining after treatment with the polymers. Bacteria were treated for 10 min in the presence of polymers ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ ) and positive control (CCCP, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Scale bar, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


Figure S3. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) thermograms of polymers with DPPG:DPPE(88:12) and DPPC. The lipid suspensions ( 1 mM ) were injected into $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}$-1 of polymers at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 10 mM HEPES and 0.14 M NaCl buffer.

Table S2. Number of hydrogen bonds formed calculated over the last 20 ns (130-150 ns) of simulations.

| Polymer | No. of hydrogen <br> Bonds | No. of side arms involved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC3P | 34280 | 25537 |
| EC3P | 8343 | 7709 |
| HexP | 4035 | 4000 |



Figure S4. Atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of the polymers and POPE:POPG (7:3) model lipid bilayer. (A) X-Y (lateral) and (B) X-Z (top) view of the polymers and POPE:POPG lipid bilayer after 150 ns simulations. The POPE and POPG lipid molecules are colored in light grey and green respectively. The polymer chains (four) of amide and ester polymers are shown in red, yellow, orange and dark grey.


Figure S5. (A) End-to-end distance of all the polymers during the last $30 \mathrm{~ns}(120-150) \mathrm{ns}$ of simulations with the bacterial lipid bilayers. End-to-end distance of polymer was calculated by comparing the initial conformation (in aqueous phase, without the bilayer) and final conformation (upon interaction with the bilayer).


Figure S6. g(r) plots reflecting hydrogen bonding interactions of $-\mathrm{NH}-$ and $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of the amide moiety of the amide polymer with the lipid bilayer (POPG:POPE) after 150 ns simulations.


Figure S7. $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r})$ plots reflecting absence of hydrogen bonding interactions of the $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of the ester moiety in the ester polymer with the lipid bilayer (POPG:POPE) after 150 ns simulations.


Figure S8. 2-D number density plots of polymer and POPG molecules in the upper leaflet of the lipid bilayer (POPG:POPE).


Figure S9. Full region Raman spectra of DPPG alone, polymer alone and DPPG + polymer.


Figure S10. Temperature dependent Raman spectra of DPPG alone and DPPG + polymer.

Table S3. Parameters used for MD simulations.

| System | N(polymers) | N(POPE)/ <br> Leaflet | N(POPG)/ <br> Leaflet | N(atoms) | Simulation <br> time (ns) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | 0 | 90 | 38 | 62945 | 300 |
| Bilayer-AC3P | 4 | 90 | 38 | 86138 | 150 |
| Bilayer-EC3P | 4 | 90 | 38 | 87672 | 150 |

## Synthesis and Characterization

## Synthesis of amide and ester based alkylating agents

N-alkyl-1-bromoethanamide: Alkylamine (118 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (55 $\mathrm{mL})$. Potassium carbonate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(24.55 \mathrm{~g}, 178 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 60 mL of distilled water and the solution was added to the organic solution. The resulting two phase solution was cooled to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of bromoacetyl bromide ( $35.85 \mathrm{~g}, 178 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 55 mL ) was carefully added drop wise to the cooled solution while maintaining the temperature at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about 30 min . Then the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The aqueous solution was separated and washed with dichloromethane $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic solution was washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and passed over the anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to yield a white solid quantitatively.

N-propyl-1-bromoethanamide: FT-IR: $3250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (amide N-H str.), 2950-2850 (C-H str.), 1680 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Amide I, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ str.), $1560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Amide II, N-H ben.), $1470-1410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (C-C str.), 1290-1110 (C-O str.); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm} 0.878$ (t, terminal $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.543 (m, $\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.278\left(\mathrm{t},-\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2}-2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.881\left(\mathrm{~s},-\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.475(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, amide $-\mathrm{NHCO}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.195,22.768,26.904,29.324,29.423$, 29.588, 29.646, 29.708, 31.995, 40.403, 165.589; HR-MS: $m / z 180.00$ (observed): 179.99 (calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

Alkyl-1-bromoethanoate: Alcohol ( 116.5 mmol ) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(55 \mathrm{~mL})$. Potassium carbonate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(19.32 \mathrm{~g}, 140 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 60 mL of distilled water and the solution was added to the organic solution. The resulting two phase solution was cooled to 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of bromoacetyl bromide ( $28.21 \mathrm{~g}, 140 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 55 mL ) was carefully added drop wise to the cooled solution while maintaining the temperature at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for
about 30 min . Then the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The aqueous solution was separated and washed with dichloromethane $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic solution was washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and passed over the anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to yield an oily liquid quantitatively.

Propyl-1-bromoethanoate: FT-IR: 2950-2850 (C-H str.), $1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (C=O str.), 1470-1410 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (C-C str.), 1290-1110 (C-O str.); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm} 0.85$ (t, terminal $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57\left(\mathrm{~m},-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.0\left(\mathrm{t},-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}-2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.7\left(\mathrm{~s},-\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.195,22.768,26.904,29.324,29.423,29.588,29.646,29.708$, 31.995, 40.403, 171.19; HR-MS: $m / z 180.10$ (observed): 179.98 (calculated for $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).

## Synthesis and characterization of polymeric derivatives

## Poly(isobutylene-alt- $N$-( $N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-dimethylaminopropyl)-maleimide) (PIBMI)

To a solution of 10 g of poly(isobutylene-alt-maleic anhydride) (PIBMA) (Avg. $\mathrm{Mw}=6000$ $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) in 60 mL of DMF, 7.96 g of 3-aminopropyldimethylamine (1.2 equivalents with respect to the monomer weight of the polymer ( $154 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ ) was added and stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h in a screw-top pressure tube. The reaction mixture was cooled, precipitated with 200 mL of distilled water and was centrifuged at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 15 min . The polymer was dried at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h under vacuum to give a pale yellow solid with $100 \%$ yield (complete conversion of the anhydride to imide was confirmed by complete disappearance of peaks at $1850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ asym. str.) and $1785\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right.$ sym. str.) for the anhydride ring and appearance of peaks $1767 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ asym. str.), $1696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ sym. str.) for the imide ring by FT-IR).

PIBMI: FT-IR: 2950-2850 (C-H str.), $1767 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ asym. str.), $1696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ sym. str.), $1470-1410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (C-C str.), 1290-1110 (C-O str.); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm} 0.7-1.2$ (br $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.7\left(\right.$ br $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.86\left(\right.$ br $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.2-2.5$ (br $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.7-3.1$ (br, $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.6\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 179.9, 179.7, 179.4, 177.4, 177.3, 177.2, 55.5, 45.9, 45.5, 44.1, 40.8, 40.6, 40.2, 40.0, 37.4, 26.2, 25.5, 24.8, 24.7, and 24.6.

## Synthesis of polymeric quaternized derivatives

To a solution of 0.5 g of PIBMI in 20 mL of dry $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{dry}^{\mathrm{CHCl}}{ }_{3}$ (1:1), 2 equivalents (with respect to the monomer weight of PIBMI) of alkyl-1-bromoethanoate or $N$-alkyl-1bromoethanamide was added and stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (for ester) or $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (for amide) for 96 h in a screw top pressure tube. The solution was cooled, precipitated with 40 mL of diethylether and filtered. The white solid was washed with diethylether $(4 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h under vacuum. The percentage of conversion given by the degree of quaternization was calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and was found to be in the range of $90-95 \%$ for all the derivatives.

EC3P: FT-IR: 2950-2850 (C-H str.), $1767 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (imide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ asym. str.), $1696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (imide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ sym. str.), $1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ str.) $1470-1410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (C-C str.), 1290-1110 (C-O str.); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ (400 MHz, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm} 0.85$ (br, terminal $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.95-1.2\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.57$ (br,$\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.7 (br, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.0\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.7-3.1$ (br, $\mathrm{CHCH}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.1-3.3 (br, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.6\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, 3.7 (br, $\left.-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) 4.0\left(\mathrm{br},-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}-, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$.

AC3P: FT-IR: $3250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (amide N-H str.), 2950-2850 (C-H str.), $1767 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (imide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ asym. str.), $1696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (imide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ sym. str.) $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (amide I, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ str.), $1560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Amide II, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$
ben.), 1470-1410 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (C-C str.), 1290-1110 (C-O str.); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \delta / \mathrm{ppm} 0.878$ (br, terminal $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.95-1.2 (br, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.543 (br, $-\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.7 (br, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.0\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.7-3.1$ (br, $\mathrm{CHCH}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.1-3.3 (br, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.5$ (br, $-\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2}-, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.6 (br, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.8$ (br, $\left.-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$.

