Supplementary Electronic Information

Nanorings with Copper(II) and Zinc(II) Centers: Forcing Copper Porphyrins to Bind Axial Ligands in Heterometallated Oligomers

Jonathan Cremers,[†] Sabine Richert, [‡] Dmitry V. Kondratuk,[†] Tim D. W. Claridge,[†] Christiane R. Timmel,[‡] and Harry L. Anderson^{*,†}

⁺ Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Chemistry Research Laboratory, Oxford, OX1 3TA

[‡] Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Centre for Advanced Electron Spin Resonance, Oxford, OX1 3QR

* harry.anderson@chem.ox.ac.uk

Table of Contents

S1.	UV-vis-NIR Titrations	S2
S1.1	Titrations of Porphyrin Monomer $\mathbf{P1}_{Zn}$ and Monodentate Ligands	S2
S1.2	2 Denaturation Titrations with Pyridine on Heterometallated Oligomers	S4
S1. 3	B Denaturation of P5_{zn}·T5 with Pyridine	S9
S2.	Calculation of Statistical Factors	S11
S3.	¹ H NMR Spectra of Linear Pentamers	S14
S4.	DFT Calculations	S14
S5.	T ₁ and T ₂ Relaxation Time Constant Measurements	S16
S 5.1	T_1 Measurements on P3_{2H}	S16
S5.2	T_2 Measurements on P3 _{2H}	S18
S5 .3	T ₁ Measurements on P3_{Cu}	S20
S5.4	T_2 Measurements on P3 _{Cu}	S22
S5.5	T_1 and T_2 decay rate versus distance plots	S24
S6.	Experimental Procedures	S25
S7.	Spectra Confirming Identity of New Compounds	\$32
S8.	^1H NMR spectra of the 1:1 complexes of P5_{Cu} and P5_{2H} with T4 and T5	S43
S9.	References	S44

Section S1. UV-vis-NIR Titrations

Section S1.1. Titrations of Porphyrin Monomer $P1_{Zn}$ and Monodentate Ligands



Figure S1: Structures of reference porphyrin monomer P1_{zn} and monodentate ligands.

Titrations with porphyrin monomer $P1_{zn}$ and pyridine or 4-phenylpyridine were performed in order to determine reference binding constants (K_{py} and K_{Ph}).

All titrations were performed in chloroform (containing ca. 0.5% ethanol as stabilizer) at 298 K. Care was taking to keep the porphyrin concentration constant throughout the entire titration by adding porphyrin to the ligand solution before titrations were started. The binding curves were fitted using a 1:1 binding isotherm using the equation:

$$\frac{A - A_{initial}}{A_{\infty} - A_{initial}} = \left(\frac{(K_a([L] + [P]_0) + 1) - \sqrt{(K_a([L] + [P]_0) + 1)^2 - 4K_a^2[P]_0[L]}}{2K_a[P]_0}\right)$$

where A is the observed absorption at a specific wavelength or the difference of absorbance between two wavelengths; $A_{initial}$ is the starting absorption at this wavelength; A_{∞} is the asymptotic final absorption at this wavelength; K_a is the association constant between ligand and porphyrin host; [L] is the concentration of ligand; [P]₀ is the concentration of porphyrin host. The free variables which were adjusted to optimize the fit to the experimental data during the fitting procedure are $A_{initial}$, A_{∞} , and K_a . Fitting analysis was carried out using the Origin software (Figures S2–S7) giving $K_{py} = (3.26 \pm 0.25) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $K_{Ph} = (4.25 \pm 0.12) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$.



Figure S2: UV-vis titration of P1_{zn} and pyridine, $R^2 = 0.9992$. (Run 1, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P1_{zn}] = 3.21 μ M, $K = 3.05 \times 10^3$ M⁻¹).



Figure S3: UV-vis titration of P1_{zn} and pyridine, $R^2 = 0.9992$. (Run 2, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P1_{zn}] = 2.98 μ M, $K = 3.51 \times 10^3$ M⁻¹).



Figure S4: UV-vis titration of P1_{zn} and pyridine, $R^2 = 0.9995$. (Run 3, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P1_{zn}] = 3.62 μ M, $K = 3.22 \times 10^3$ M⁻¹).



Figure S5: UV-vis titration of P1_{zn} and 4-phenylpyridine, R^2 = 0.9996. (Run 1, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P1_{zn}] = 6.37 μ M, K = 4.24 × 10³ M⁻¹).



Figure S6: UV-vis titration of P1_{zn} and 4-phenylpyridine, $R^2 = 0.9997$. (Run 2, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P1_{zn}] = 6.63 μ M, $K = 4.37 \times 10^3$ M⁻¹).



Figure S7: UV-vis titration of $P1_{z_n}$ and 4-phenylpyridine, $R^2 = 0.9996$. (Run 3, CHCl₃, 298 K, $[P1_{z_n}] = 6.25 \mu M$, $K = 4.13 \times 10^3 M^{-1}$).

Section 1.2. Denaturation Titrations with Pyridine on Heterometallated Oligomers

When binding strength increases, the binding curves become increasingly square, leading to greater uncertainty in the fit. In order to derive a trustworthy binding constant, denaturation titrations (break-up titration) need to be performed with a competing ligand such as pyridine. Using the data from these break-up titrations (K_{dn} = denaturation constant) and the formation constant of the single site binding event of the competing ligand with a zinc-porphyrin monomer (K_{Py} = association constant for pyridine to **P1**_{2n}) allows us to derive the formation binding constant (K_f) between the oligomers (N = number of zinc porphyrin binding sites) and the template using the following equation:

$$K_{\rm f} = \frac{K_{\rm py}^N}{K_{\rm dn}}$$

via the thermodynamic cycle shown in Figure S8.



Figure S8: Thermodynamic cycle relating the formation constant of the template complex (K_f) to the denaturation constant (K_{dn}) and binding constant of each porphyrin unit for pyridine (K_{py}).

All the linear pentamers were found to interact strongly with the templates **T5** and **T4** and therefore denaturation titrations were performed on these complexes in order to determine binding constants.

Denaturation titrations were performed in chloroform at 298 K. The 1:1 complexes between the porphyrin oligomers and templates were prepared in the cuvette prior to the denaturation titration. All formation titrations were carried out at constant porphyrin concentrations by adding porphyrin to the ligand (**T5** or **T4**) stock solution and titrating until a 1:1 complex was formed according to UV. All denaturation titrations were carried out at constant porphyrin·template complex concentration by adding both porphyrin and template to the ligand (pyridine) stock solution before titrations started.

Data were fitted to the *N*-dentate denaturation binding isotherm described in the following equation:

$$\frac{A - A_{initial}}{A_{\infty} - A_{initial}} = \left(\frac{-K_{dn}[L]^{N} + \sqrt{K_{dn}^{2}[L]^{2N} + 4K_{dn}[L]^{N}[P]_{0}}}{2[P]_{0}}\right)$$

where A is the observed absorption at a specific wavelength or difference of absorption between two wavelengths; $A_{initial}$ is the starting absorption at a specific wavelength or difference between absorption in two wavelengths; A_{∞} is the terminal absorption at a specific wavelength or difference of absorption in two wavelengths; K_{dn} is the dissociation constant between ligand and porphyrin oligomer complex, [L] is the concentration of ligand; [P]₀ is the concentration of porphyrin oligomer complex, N is the number of binding sites in the complex (e.g. N = 4 in P5_{cu}·T4). The titration curves and fittings are shown below.

Table S1: Results from UV-vis-NIR titrations in Figures S9–S16

	$K_{dn} (M^{-3})$	K_{f} (M ⁻¹)	K _σ	K_{chem} (M ⁻¹)
P5 _{Cu} ·T5	$9.07 \pm 1.99 \times 10^{5}$	$1.10 \pm 0.29 \times 10^{8}$	64	$1.72 \pm 0.45 \times 10^5$
Р5 _{2Н} •Т5	$2.44 \pm 0.26 \times 10^7$	$4.10 \pm 0.71 \times 10^{6}$	32	$1.28 \pm 0.22 \times 10^5$
Р5 _{Cu} •T4	$8.08 \pm 0.27 \times 10^{6}$	$1.24 \pm 0.17 \times 10^7$	64	$1.93 \pm 0.27 \times 10^5$
Р5 _{2Н} •Т4	$8.95 \pm 0.26 \times 10^{6}$	$1.12 \pm 0.16 \times 10^7$	64	$1.74 \pm 0.25 \times 10^{5}$



Figure S9: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and P5_{cu}·T5. R^2 = 0.9980. (Run 1, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{cu}·T5] = 1.08 μ M, K = 1.10 × 10⁶ M⁻³).



Figure S10: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and P5_{cu}·T5. R^2 = 0.9983. (Run 2, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{cu}·T5] = 1.08 μ M, K = 7.07 × 10⁵ M⁻³).



Figure S11: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and P5_{2H}·T5. R^2 = 0.9971. (Run 1, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{2H}·T5] = 1.01 μ M, K = 2.69 × 10⁷ M⁻³).



Figure S12: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and P5_{2H}·T5. R^2 = 0.9967. (Run 2, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{2H}·T5] = 1.26 μ M, K = 2.18 × 10⁷ M⁻³).



Figure S13: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and P5_{cu}·T4. R^2 = 0.9994. (Run 1, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{cu}·T4] = 1.21 μ M, K = 8.05 × 10⁶ M⁻³).



Figure S14: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and P5_{cu}·T4. R^2 = 0.9991. (Run 2, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{cu}·T4] = 1.22 μ M, K = 8.11 × 10⁶ M⁻³).



Figure S15: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and P5_{2H}·T4. R^2 = 0.9986. (Run 1, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{2H}·T4] = 1.25 μ M, K = 9.21 × 10⁶ M⁻³).



Figure S16: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and P5_{2H}·T4. R^2 = 0.9984. (Run 2, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{2H}·T4] = 1.24 μ M, K = 8.70 × 10⁶ M⁻³).

Section 1.3. Denaturation of P5_{zn}·T5 with Pyridine

Denaturation titrations were performed on the complex $P5_{zn}$ ·T5 with pyridine under the same conditions as described in Section 1.2.



Figure S17: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and P5_{2n}·T5. R^2 = 0.9982. (Run 1, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{2n}·T5] = 1.71 μ M, K = 5.06 × 10³ M⁻⁴).



Figure S18: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and $P5_{2n}$ ·T5. $R^2 = 0.9983$. (Run 2, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{2n}·T5] = 1.69 μ M, K = 4.18 × 10³ M⁻⁴).



Figure S19: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and P5_{2n}·T5. R^2 = 0.9962. (Run 3, CHCl₃, 298 K, [P5_{2n}·T5] = 1.83 μ M, K = 4.49 × 10³ M⁻⁴).

The denaturation constant, $4.58 \pm 0.48 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-4}$, was translated into a statistically corrected association constant of $1.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{12} \text{ M}^{-1}$. To elucidate the effective molarity of the central porphyrin in the chain, we

look at a single mutation in which we compare the stability of $P5_{zn}$ ·T5 (1.1 ± 0.4 × 10¹² M⁻¹) to the stability of $P5_{zH}$ ·T5 (1.3 ± 0.2 × 10⁵ M⁻¹) according to the thermodynamic cycle below.



Figure S20: Complexes used to determine the interaction between the zinc center in the central porphyrin and the pyridine leg of the template T5 in CHCl₃ at 298 K. (Ar = 3,5-di-*tert*-butylphenyl) in order to determine the effective molarity of the central porphyrin.

The thermodynamic cycle shows the relationship between the individual species and allows us to determine the EM:

$$K_{\rm Ph} \rm EM = \frac{K_{\rm f}(P5_{Zn} \cdot T5)}{K_{\rm f}(P5_{2H} \cdot T5)}$$
$$EM = \frac{(1.1 \times 10^{12})}{(2.1 \times 10^3) \times (1.3 \times 10^5)} = 4.0 \times 10^3 \,\rm M$$

where K_{Ph} is the equilibrium constant of the porphyrin monomer $P1_{\text{zn}}$ binding to 4-phenylpyridine (see Section 1.1). We determined the effective molarity of the central porphyrin unit as $4 \pm 1 \times 10^3$ M.

Section S2. Calculation of Statistical Factors

To understand the stability constants of different complexes, it is useful to factor out statistical contributions. Thus, a measured equilibrium constant K_{eq} can be factorized into its statistical component K_{σ} and its statistically corrected value K_{chem} according to the following equation:

$$wA + xB \Rightarrow yC + zD$$

$$K_{eq} = \frac{Q_{\mathbf{C}}^{y} Q_{\mathbf{D}}^{z}}{Q_{\mathbf{A}}^{w} Q_{\mathbf{C}}^{z}} = \frac{Q_{\mathbf{A}}^{w} Q_{\mathbf{B}}^{x}}{Q_{\mathbf{C}}^{y} Q_{\mathbf{D}}^{z}} \frac{Q_{\mathbf{C}}^{'y} Q_{\mathbf{C}}^{'z}}{Q_{\mathbf{A}}^{w} Q_{\mathbf{C}}^{'z}} = K_{\sigma} K_{chem}$$

where for each species *i*, Q_i is the partition coefficient, Q'_i is the statistically corrected partition coefficient, and σ_i is the symmetry number.^{1,3}

Values of K_{σ} were calculated using Benson's symmetry number method.^{4,5} The symmetry number (σ) of each species is the product of its external symmetry number, σ_{ext} , (calculated from the point group of the molecule) and its internal symmetry number, σ_{int} , (calculated from the number of degenerate internal rotors). The values of σ for all the complexes involved are shown in Table S2. The external symmetry number is defined as the number of different but indistinguishable atomic arrangements that can be obtained by rotating a given molecule as a whole as a rigid object. The internal symmetry number is defined as the number of different but indistinguishable atomic arrangements that can be obtained by internal rotations around single bonds.

component	point group	σ_{int}	σ_{ext}	σ
pyridine	C _{2v}	1	2	2
4-phenylpyridine	C _{2v}	1	2	2
T5	C _{2v}	1	2	2
T4	D_{2h}	1	4	4
P1 _{Zn}	D_{2h}	1	4	4
Р5 _{2Н}	D_{2h}	2 ⁴ = 16	4	64
P5 _{Cu}	D _{2h}	2 ⁴ = 16	4	64
P5 _{zn}	D_{2h}	2 ⁴ = 16	4	64
Р5 _{2н} ∙ Т5	C _{2v}	2	2	4
Р5 _{си} . Т5	C _{2v}	1	2	2
Р5 _{zn} • Т5	C _{2v}	1	2	2
Р5 _{2н} • Т4	C _{2v}	2	2	4
Р5 _{си} · Т4	C _{2v}	2	2	4

Table S2: Internal, external and total symmetry numbers for each component.*

[* Note that when counting internal rotations and calculating σ_{int} , we do not include rotors which are unaffected by the binding process, such as the *para*-phenylene links in **T5** because if a rotor is unaffected by the binding process it has no influence on K_{σ} .]



Figure S21: Statistical factors involved in the formation and denaturation (pyridine) of the P5_{cu}·T5 complex. K_{σ} = 64.



Figure S22: Statistical factors involved in the formation and denaturation (pyridine) of the P5_{2H}·T5 complex. K_{σ} = 32.



Figure S23: Statistical factors involved in the formation and denaturation (pyridine) of the P5_{cu}·T4 complex. K_{σ} = 64.



Figure S24: Statistical factors involved in the formation and denaturation (pyridine) of the P5_{2H}-T4 complex. K_{σ} = 64.

Section S3. ¹H NMR Spectra of Linear Pentamers

The Figure below shows the aromatic region of the proton NMR spectra corresponding to the linear pentamers containing either a central copper ($P5_{cu}$) or a zinc porphyrin ($P5_{zn}$). Due to the large similarity in the chemical environments of many of the signals, much overlap is observed. The overlap prevents us from being able to determine the T_1 and T_2 relaxation times but we can clearly see that the fine structure of the signals in $P5_{cu}$ which are spatially far removed from the paramagnetic copper center is retained (e.g. signals a and b) in $P5_{cu}$.



Figure S25: The ¹H NMR spectra (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 298 K) of P5_{Cu} and P5_{zn} and the general signal assignment for the oligomer. Only the aromatic part of the spectrum is shown. The signals assigned with the red dots correspond to d₅-pyridine.

Section S4. DFT Calculations

Constrained DFT geometry optimizations were carried out for several fixed separation distances between pyridine and the central metal of the porphyrin unit to explore the binding strength of pyridine to $P1_{cu}$ in comparison to $P1_{zn}$. The optimizations were carried out in Turbomole V6.1⁶ under C_2 symmetry using DFT/B3LYP in combination with the TZVP basis set,⁷ RI-approximation⁸ and an empirical dispersion correction to the energies.^{9,10}

The SCF energy differences with respect to the minimum structure were then plotted as a function of the metal-pyridine separation distance and are shown in Figure S26. From these calculations, the following conclusions can be drawn: The metal-pyridine equilibrium separation distance (d_1) is calculated to be 2.18 Å for P1_{zn}, whereas it is found to be 2.35 Å for P1_{cu}. The potential energy gain for P1_{cu} is considerably less than for P1_{zn}, indicating that the binding of pyridine is weaker in the case of copper as the central metal. However,

since we are only comparing SCF energies, no relative numbers shall be given here. Without dispersion correction equilibrium $M \cdots N_{Py}$ separation distances of 2.25 Å and 2.59 Å are obtained for $P1_{zn}$ and $P1_{cu}$, respectively.



Figure S26: Total SCF energy differences with respect to the minimum structure as a function of the metal…pyridine separation distance for P1_{Cu} (green line) and P1_{zn} (red line) obtained from a constraint geometry optimization in Turbomole using DFT/B3LYP in combination with the TZVP basis set and including dispersion correction for the energies.

Regarding the geometry of $P1_{zn}$ ···Py, experimental data are available from X-ray crystallography in the CSD database. A statistical analysis of all available experimental data was found to yield a value of 2.16 ± 0.03 Å as the mean zinc-pyridine separation distance,¹¹ which is in very good agreement with the presented DFT results. A similar analysis based on X-ray data for the distance between the zinc atom and the porphyrin plane results in a mean value of 0.24 ± 0.06 Å.¹¹ This result compares favourably with the distance of 0.28 Å obtained for P1_{zn} from the DFT calculations in this work. In the equilibrium geometry, the zinc atom is thus markedly pulled out of the porphyrin plane as is also shown in graphical form in Figure S27. For P1_{cu}···Py a distance of 0.12 Å is found by DFT, indicating that the copper atom roughly remains in the centre of the porphyrin plane even when a pyridine ligand is bound.



Figure S27: Side-view of the optimized minimum geometries of P1_{2n}…Py (left) and P1_{Cu}…Py (right). The zinc center is pulled further out of the plane of the porphyrin upon binding pyridine and a smaller seperation distance is found.

Figure S27 shows a comparison of the optimized structures for $P1_{zn}$...Py and $P1_{Cu}$...Py obtained from DFT to illustrate the different equilibrium metal...pyridine separation distances and the different locations of the metal atom with respect to the porphyrin plane. The calculated equilibrium metal...pyridine separation distance is increased in $P1_{cu}$...Py (2.35 Å) as compared to $P1_{zn}$...Py (2.18 Å). Upon binding of a pyridine ligand, the zinc atom is markedly pulled out of the porphyrin plane, whereas the position of the copper atom with respect to the porphyrin plane is much less affected.

Section S5. T_1 and T_2 Relaxation Time Constant Measurements

Section S5.1. T₁ Measurements on P3_{2H}



Figure S28: The ¹H NMR spectrum of P3_{2H} (CDCl₃, 700 MHz, 298 K). Only the aromatic part of the spectrum is shown. The graph below shows the cross signals between chemical shift of the proton signals with respect to the corresponding T_1 relaxation time constants.

Table S3. Summary of the data describing the chemical shift of the aromatic proton signal of P3_{2H} with the accompanying T_1 relaxation time constants.

Peak name	δ (ppm)	<i>T</i> ₁ (s)	error (s)
f	9.987	3.33	0.098
g	9.928	3.32	0.10
а	9.749	2.53	0.048
e	9.106	2.68	0.024
h	9.031	2.78	0.041
b	8.992	2.51	0.026
i	8.178	2.24	0.16
С	8.121	2.22	0.015
j	7.901	2.15	0.020
d	7.872	2.08	0.020



Figure S29: Inversion recovery curves and exponential fits for the T_1 's of P3_{2H} peaks a-j.





Figure S30: The ¹H NMR spectrum of P3_{2H} (CDCl₃, 700 MHz, 298 K). Only the aromatic part of the spectrum is shown. The graph below shows the cross signals between chemical shift of the proton signals with respect to the corresponding T_2 relaxation time constants.

Table S4. Summary of the data describing the chemical shift of the aromatic proton signal of P3 _{2H} with	n the
accompanying T_2 relaxation time constants.	

Peak name	δ (ppm)	<i>T</i> ₂ (s)	error (s)
f	9.987	0.0564	0.0019
g	9.927	0.0520	0.0017
а	9.750	0.0599	0.0058
е	9.106	0.190	0.0209
h	9.031	0.0729	0.0036
b	8.991	0.177	0.0281
i	8.178	0.639	0.0092
С	8.122	0.698	0.0165
j	7.901	0.775	0.0321
d	7.872	0.748	0.0135



Figure S31: CPMG relaxation curves and exponential fits for the T₂'s of P3_{2H} peaks a–j.

Section S5.3. T₁ Measurements on P3_{Cu}



Figure S32: The ¹H NMR spectrum of P3_{cu} (CDCl₃, 700 MHz, 298 K). Only the aromatic part of the spectrum is shown. The graph below shows the cross signals between chemical shift of the proton signals with respect to the corresponding T_1 relaxation time constants.

Table S5. Summary of the data describing the chemical shift of the aromatic proton signal of $P3_{Cu}$ with the accompanying T_1 relaxation time constant.

Peak name	δ (ppm)	<i>T</i> ₁ (s)	error (s)
f	9.878	0.146	0.033
а	9.753	0.880	0.024
е	9.063	0.346	0.019
b	8.983	1.12	0.022
С	8.110	0.813	0.004
d	7.871	1.10	0.003
j	7.767	0.099	0.020



Figure S33: Inversion recovery curves and exponential fits for the T_1 's of P3_{cu} peaks a-j.





Figure S34: The ¹H NMR spectrum of P3_{cu} (CDCl₃, 700 MHz, 298 K). Only the aromatic part of the spectrum is shown. The graph below shows the cross signals between chemical shift of the proton signals with respect to the corresponding *T*₂ relaxation time constants.

Table S6. Summary of the data describing the chemical shift of the aromatic proton signal of $P3_{cu}$ with the accompanying T_2 relaxation time constants.

Peak name	δ (ppm)	<i>T</i> ₂ (s)	error (s)
f	9.887	0.00474	0.00018
а	9.756	0.0116	0.0003
е	9.060	0.00867	0.00040
b	8.980	0.0128	0.0004
C	8.114	0.0318	0.0012
d	7.875	0.0831	0.0028
j	7.774	0.0106	0.0009



Figure S35: CPMG relaxation curves and exponential fits for the T₂'s of P3_{cu} peaks a–j.

Section S5.5. R₁ and R₂ decay rate versus distance plots

The dipolar relaxation rate is expected to depend on the inverse of the 6th power of the distance between a nucleus and an electron. We have taken the experimental T_1 and T_2 relaxation time constants and plotted the change in the corresponding rates ($R_1 = 1/T_1$ and $R_2 = 1/T_2$) between **P3**_{cu} and **P3**_{2H} to the distance between the copper center and the corresponding proton. The distance is estimated from crystal structures of similar oligomers. The experimental data were fitted to equation (S1):

$$y = \frac{A}{r^6} \tag{S1}$$

where y is the change in relaxation rate $\left(\frac{1}{T_1(\mathbf{P3_{Cu}})} - \frac{1}{T_1(\mathbf{P3_{2H}})} \text{ or } \frac{1}{T_2(\mathbf{P3_{Cu}})} - \frac{1}{T_2(\mathbf{P3_{2H}})}\right)$, r is the distance between the proton and metal center and A is a free fitting parameter. The T_1 data fit with relatively good accuracy, confirming the expected distance dependence. The trend can also be observed for the T_2 data but the variation in the points in much larger and only an overall trend can be observed relating dipolar relaxation and distance between the two nuclei.



Figure S36: Changes in relaxation rates from comparing R₁ and R₂ values in P3_{Cu} and P3_{2H} for peaks a–j.

distance / Å

distance / Å

Section S6. Experimental Procedures

General Experimental

Dry toluene and THF were obtained by passing the solvents through columns of alumina, under nitrogen. Diisopropylamine (DIPA) was distilled from CaH₂ and kept over activated molecular sieves (3 Å, 8–12 mesh). Unless specified otherwise, all other solvents were used as commercially supplied. Flash chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 under positive pressure. Analytical thin-layer chromatography was carried out on aluminum-backed silica gel 60 F254 plates. Visualization was achieved using UV light when necessary.

All UV-vis-NIR spectra were recorded in solution using a Perkin-Lambda 20 spectrometer (1 cm path length quartz cell). Chloroform (containing ca. 0.5% ethanol as stabilizer) was used for all titrations without any further purification.

Unless stated otherwise, 1 H/ 13 C NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K using Bruker AV400 (400/100 MHz), Bruker AV500 (500/125 MHz), Bruker AV600 (600/150 MHz), Bruker AV700 (700/175 MHz) instruments. 1 H, and 13 C NMR spectra are reported in ppm; coupling constants are given in Hertz, to the nearest 0.1 Hz. The solvent used were CDCl₃ or a mixture of CDCl₃ and pyridine-*d*₅ (99:1 by volume).

MALDI-TOF mass spectra were carried out using Waters MALDI Micro MX spectrometer.

Free-base 10,20-di-trihexyl(ethylnyl)silane-5,15-bis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)porphyrin (P1_{2H})

 $P1_{zn}$ (955 mg, 0.7 mmol) was dissolved in CHCl₃ (250 mL). Trifluoroacetic acid (2.7 mL) was mixed with CHCl₃ (24 mL) to give a 10% solution). Both solutions were degassed. The TFA solution was added dropwise to the porphyrin solution and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 15 min. UV and TLC indicated the completion of the reaction and the mixture was passed immediately through a short plug of silica gel (CHCl₃). Column chromatography (50:1:1, 40-60 petroleum ether : ethyl acetate : pyridine) gave the title compound as a dark solid (622 mg, 68%).



¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_{H} (ppm) 9.62 (4H, d, J

= 4.7 Hz, H2), 8.85 (4H, d, J = 4.7 Hz, H3), 8.04 (4H, bd, J = 1.5 Hz, H4), 7.82 (2H, bt, H6), 1.55 (36H, s, H5), 1.75–0.61 (78H, m, H1), -2.12 (2H, s, H7).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_c (ppm) 149.0, 140.3, 129.7, 123.1, 121.3, 108.1, 101.0, 100.8, 35.0, 33.3, 31.7, 24.4, 22.7, 14.2, 13.8.

MALDI-TOF: m/z = 1299 (C₈₈H₁₃₀N₄Si₂, M⁺ requires 1300).

Free-base 10,20-di-ethynyl-5,15-bis-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)porphyrin (P1"2H)

P1_{2H} (100 mg, 0.077 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL) under a flow of nitrogen. Tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride solution (1.0 M in THF, 1.31 mL, 1.31 mmol) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. The crude reaction mixture was immediately passed through a short plug of silica gel (CHCl₃). The product was recrystallized by layer addition (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH) to give the title compound as a purple powder (42.4 mg, 76%).

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_{H} (ppm) 9.66 (4H, d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, H2), 8.91 (4H, d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, H3), 8.05 (4H, bd, *J* = 1.6 Hz, H4), 7.78 (2H, bt, H6), 4.20 (2H, s, H1), 1.56 (36H, s, H5), -2.26 (2H, s, H7).

¹³**C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_c (ppm) 149.2, 140.4, 130.0, 123.3, 121.5, 99.4, 85.7, 84.5, 35.2, 31.9, 29.9.

MALDI-TOF: m/z = 734 (C₅₂H₅₄N₄, M⁺ requires 735).



Copper 5,15-(3,5-bis-tert-butyl-phenyl)-10,20-bis-trihexylsilanylethynyl-porphyrin (P1cu)

P1_{2H} (47.6 mg, 0.036 mmol) was dissolved in CHCl₃ (15 mL). Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (333 mg, 1.67 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred at reflux for 2 h under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature after which MeOH was added to the reaction mixture to precipitate the product. The product was filtered and washed with MeOH to give a green solid (38.6 mg, 77%).

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm) broad. **MALDI-TOF:** m/z = 1361 (C₈₈H₁₂₈CuN₄Si₂, M⁺ requires 1362).

 $λ_{max}$ (CHCl₃) / nm log(ε): 610 (4.59), 567 (4.25), 434 (5.66).





The dimer **P2** (0.54 g, 0.25 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2CI_2 (60 mL), $CHCI_3$ (60 mL) and pyridine (1.2 mL). Tetra-*n*butylammonium fluoride (0.375 mL, 1.0 M solution in THF, 0.375 mmol) was added to the stirred solution dropwise. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC until an optimal product mixture was reached. The mixture immediately was passed through a short plug of silica gel ($CHCI_3 + 1\%$ pyridine). Column chromatography (30:1:1, 40-60 petroleum ether : ethyl acetate : pyridine) gave:

P2' (179.0 mg):

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃ + 1% pyridine-*d*₅, 298 K): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm) 9.93 (2H, d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, H8 or H9), 9.91 (2H, d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, H8 or H9), 9.69 (2H, d, H2 or H12), 9.68 (2H, d, H2 or H12), 9.02 (2H, d, H7 or H10), 9.01 (2H, d, H7 or H10), 8.94 (2H, d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, H3 or H11), 8.91 (2H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H3 or H11), 8.07 (8H, m, H4), 7.83 (4H, m, H6), 4.20 (1H, s, H13), 1.79–0.92 (39H, m, H1), 1.58 (72H, s, H5).

P2" (36.5 mg):

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_{H} (ppm) 9.93 (4H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H8), 9.66 (4H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H2), 8.98 (4H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H7), 8.91 (4H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H3), 8.04 (8H, m, H4), 7.80 (4H, m, H6), 4.16 (2H, s, H1), 1.55 (72H, s, H5).

P2 Recovered starting material (131.5 mg).

P3_{2H}¹²



P1"_{2H} (10.0 mg, 0.013 mmol), **P1'** (73.5 mg, 0.068 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (4.36 mg, 0.0047 mmol), tri-2-furylphosphine (8.84 mg, 0.038 mmol) and 1,4-benzoquinone (13.2 mg, 0.122 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of toluene : Et₃N (5 : 1) (16 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C overnight. The solvents were removed and the mixture was purified over a plug of silica gel (CHCl₃ + 1% pyridine). A small SEC column (CHCl₃ + 1% pyridine) was used to remove the 1,4-benzoquinone. A large SEC column (toluene + 1% pyridine) was used for separating of the trimer species (15.4 mg, 39%).

¹**H NMR** (700 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_{H} (ppm) 9.89 (4H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H8), 9.88 (4H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H9), 9.66 (4H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H2), 9.00 (4H, d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, H7), 8.98 (4H, d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, H10), 8.89 (4H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H3), 8.14 (4H, d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H11), 8.05 (8H, d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H4), 7.87 (2H, t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H13), 7.81 (4H, t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H6), 1.77–0.90 (78H, m, H1), 1.59 (36H, s, H12), 1.56 (72H, s, H5), -1.51 (2H, s, H14).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_c (ppm) 153.1, 152.4, 151.0, 150.5, 149.3, 149.1, 141.2, 133.7, 133.2, 131.5, 131.0, 130.2, 129.9, 124.8, 124.4, 121.7, 121.3, 35.3, 35.3, 33.5, 32.0, 31.9, 24.5, 22.9, 14.3, 14.0.

MALDI-TOF: m/z = 2893 (C₁₉₂H₂₃₀N₁₂Si₂Zn₂, M⁺ requires 2894).

λ_{max} (CHCl₃) / nm log(ε): 752 (5.15), 661 (4.96), 485 (5.29), 455 (5.70).

P3_{Cu}



P3_{2H} (4.5 mg, 1.6 µmol) was dissolved in chloroform (4 mL). Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (12.2 mg, 67 mmol) was added as a solid and the reaction was stirred at 60°C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature after which MeOH was added to the reaction mixture to crash out the product. The precipitate was filtered and washed with MeOH to give a red/brown solid (4.8 mg, 100%).

¹**H NMR** (700 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_H (ppm) 9.87 (4H, m, H8), 9.74 (4H, m, H2), 9.05 (4H, m, H7), 8.96 (4H, m, H3), 8.09 (8H, m, H4), 7.86 (4H, m, H6), 7.75 (2H, m, H13), 1.59 (108H, m, H5 + H12), 1.89–0.80 (78H, m, H1). **MALDI-TOF:** m/z = 2953 (C₁₉₂H₂₂₈CuN₁₂Si₂Zn₂, M⁺ requires 2954).

 λ_{max} (CHCl₃) / nm log(ϵ): 726 (5.20), 666 (4.89), 486 (5.37), 454 (5.65).

P5_{2H}¹²



P1"_{2H} (20.0 mg, 0.027 mmol), **P2'** (254.1 mg, 0.14 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (12.3 mg, 0.014 mmol), tri-2-furylphosphine (25.2 mg, 0.11 mmol) and 1,4-benzoquinone (37.7 mg, 0.35 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of toluene and triethylamine (5:1) (37 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C overnight. The solvents were removed and the mixture was purified over a plug of silica gel (CHCl₃ + 1% pyridine). A small SEC column (CHCl₃ + 1% pyridine) was used to remove the 1,4-benzoquinone. Recycling GPC (1% pyridine in toluene) was used for true separation (**P5**_{2H}: 49.3 mg, 41%, **P4**: 124.8 mg, 33%).

¹**H NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_{H} (ppm) 9.92 (16H, m, H8 + H9 + H15 + H16), 9.68 (4H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H2), 9.02 (16H, m, H7 + H10 + H14 +H17), 8.91 (4H, d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, H3), 8.16 (4H, d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H18), 8.12 (8H, d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H11), 8.07 (8H, d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H4), 7.89 (2H, t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H20), 7.86 (4H, t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H13), 7.83 (4H, t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H6), 1.77–0.91 (78H, m, H1), 1.62 (36H, s, H19), 1.60 (72H, s, H5 or 12), 1.59 (72H, s, H5 or H12), -1.48 (2H, s, H21).

MALDI-TOF: m/z = 4485 (C₂₉₆H₃₃₀N₂₀Si₂Zn₄, M⁺ requires 4486). λ_{max} (CHCl₃) / nm log(ϵ): 783 (5.43), 682 (5.09), 489 (5.64), 458 (5.79).

P5_{Cu}



P5_{2H} (40 mg, 10.2 µmol) was dissolved in CHCl₃ (10 mL). Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (12.7 mg, 0.383 mmol) was added as a solid and the reaction was stirred at 60°C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature after which MeOH was added to the reaction mixture to crash out the product. The precipitate was filtered and washed with MeOH to give a red/brown solid (29.5 mg, 73%).

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_{H} (ppm) 9.90 (m, -Ar H_{β}), 9.67 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, -Ar H_{β}), 9.00 (m, -Ar H_{β}), 8.90 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, -Ar H_{β}), 7.82 (m, -Ar H_{ortho}), 8.08 (m, -Ar H_{para}), 1.57 (m, -tBuH), 1.77 (m, -C₆H₁₃), 1.39 (m, -C₆H₁₃), 1.02 (m, -C₆H₁₃), 0.90 (m, -C₆H₁₃).

MALDI-TOF: m/z = 4545 (C₂₉₆H₃₂₈N₂₀CuSi₂Zn₄, M⁺ requires 4547).

λ_{max} (CHCl₃) / nm log(ε): 764 (5.45), 693 (5.07), 492 (5.63), 457 (5.75).

P5"_{Cu}



 PS_{cu} (28 mg, 6.16 µmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (9 mL) and pyridine (9 µL). Tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride solution (1.0 M in THF) (124 µL, 0.123 mmol) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. After the completion of the reaction, MeOH was added to the reaction mixture to crash out the product. The precipitate was filtered and washed with MeOH to give a red/brown solid (21.1 mg, 86%).

MALDI-TOF: m/z = 3976 (C₂₆₀H₂₅₂CuN₂₀Zn₄, M⁺ requires 3982).

с-Р6_{Си2}

Hexadentate template **T6** (81 mg, 81.6 μ mol) and **P3"**_{cu} (65 mg, 27.2 μ mol) were dissolved in CHCl₃ (150 mL). A solution of PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (9.5 mg, 13.6 μ mol), Cul (9.5 mg, 50.3 μ mol), 1,4-benzoquinone (29.4 mg, 272 μ mol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) and diisopropylamine (0.5 mL) was added to the porphyrin solution and stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was passed through a plug of alumina using CHCl₃ as eluent. The solvent was evaporated and redissolved in 1% pyridine in chloroform and passed over a SEC column (1% pyridine in chloroform). Lastly, the ring was purified by recycling GPC (1% pyridine in toluene) to give the title compound (1.8 mg, 2%).

¹**H NMR** (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_H (ppm) 9.71–9.31 (m, -Ar H_β), 8.93–8.68 (m, -Ar H_β), 8.05 (s, -Ar H_{ortho}), 7.83 (s, -Ar H_{para}), 7.78 (s, -Ar H_{para}), 5.77 (m, T6), 5.68 (m, T6), 5.14 (m, T6), 2.34 (m, T6), 2.02 (m, T6), 1.59 (s, -*t*BuH). **MALDI-TOF:** m/z = 4787 (C₃₂₀H₃₀₀Cu₂N₂₄Zn₄, M⁺ requires 4774).

 $λ_{max}$ (CHCl₃) / nm log(ε): 480 (5.67), 761 (5.47), 797 (5.58), 838 (5.55).

c-P10_{Cu2}

Pentadentate template **T5** (5.10 mg, 6.04 μ mol) and **P5"**_{cu} (8.02 mg, 2.01 μ mol) were dissolved in CHCl₃ (8 mL). A solution of PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (0.71 mg, 1.01 μ mol), Cul (0.71 mg, 3.73 μ mol), 1,4-benzoquinone (2.18 mg, 20.1 μ mol) in CHCl₃ (0.7 mL) and diisopropylamine (35 μ L) was added to the porphyrin solution and stir at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was passed through a plug of alumina using CHCl₃ as eluent. The solvent was evaporated and redissolved in 20% pyridine in chloroform and passed over a SEC column (20% pyridine in chloroform) to remove the template. Lastly, the ring was purified by recycling GPC (1% pyridine in toluene) to give the title compound (1.33 mg, 17%).

¹**H NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm) 9.87–9.77 (m, -Ar H_{β}), 9.02–8.85 (m, -Ar H_{β}), 8.08–7.98 (m, -Ar $H_{\rm ortho}$), 7.84–7.77 (m, -Ar $H_{\rm para}$), 6.58 (s, bound pyridine), 5.86 (s, bound pyridine), 3.77 (s, bound pyridine), 1.55 (s, -tBuH_(Cu)), 1.54 (s, -tBuH_(Zn)).

MALDI-TOF: m/z = 7960 (C₅₂₀H₅₀₀Cu₂N₄₀Zn₈, M⁺ requires 7960).

λ_{max} (CHCl₃) / nm log(ε): 806 (5.67), 771 (5.67), 495 (5.91).

Tetradentate template T4

Under an argon atmosphere 1,2,4,5-tetrabromobenzene (52 mg, 0.13 mmol), 4-[4-(tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenyl]pyridine (370 mg, 1.04 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (6.0 mg, 0.03 mmol), SPhos (21.7 mg, 0.05 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (859 mg, 2.60 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (3.25 mL), EtOH (0.65 mL) and H₂O (0.65 mL). Oxygen was removed from the reaction mixture by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles after which the mixture was heated at 70 °C for 16 h. TLC (DCM:MeOH:Et₃N = 8:1:0.1) indicated the full consumption of the starting material, the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature after which



the product was extracted with $CHCl_3$ and washed with H_2O and brine. The organic layer was dried over $MgSO_4$ and concentrated in *vacuo*. The off-white solid was washed with MeOH and purified further by silica gel column chromatography (dry loading, DCM:MeOH:Et₃N = 100:1:0.25 \rightarrow 100:6:0.5) to give **T4** as a pale white powder (45 mg, 49%).

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ_{H} (ppm) 8.66 (8H, d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, H1), 7.66 (2H, s, H5), 7.60 (8H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, H3), 7.52 (8H, d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, H2), 7.41 (8H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, H4).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3, 298 K): δ_c (ppm) 150.7, 147.9, 141.8, 139.7, 137.0, 133.5, 131.0, 127.2, 121.7. MALDI-TOF: *m*/*z* = 691 (C₅₀H₃₄N₄, M⁺ requires 690). Section S7. Spectra Confirming Identity of New Compounds





Figure S38: The MALDI-MS spectrum of $P1_{2H}$ (m/z = 1299 ($C_{88}H_{130}N_4Si_2$, M^+ requires 1300), matrix: dithranol).



Figure S39: The MALDI-MS spectrum of $P1_{Cu}$ (m/z = 1361 ($C_{88}H_{128}CuN_4Si_2$, M^+ requires 1362), matrix: dithranol).

P2'





P1"2H





Figure S44: The MALDI-MS spectrum of $P3_{2H}$ (m/z = 2893 ($C_{192}H_{230}N_{12}Si_2Zn_2$, M^+ requires 2894), matrix: dithranol).







Figure S48: The MALDI-MS spectrum of $P5_{2H}$ (m/z = 4485 ($C_{296}H_{330}N_{20}Si_2Zn_4$, M^+ requires 4486), matrix: dithranol).

P5_{Cu}



Figure S50: The MALDI-MS spectrum of $P5_{Cu}$ (m/z = 4545 ($C_{296}H_{328}CuN_{20}Si_2Zn_4$, M^+ requires 4547), matrix: dithranol).



T4

Figure S51: The ¹H NMR spectrum of T4 (400 MHz, CDCl₃). The signals at δ 1.59 ppm and δ 1.26 ppm correspond to water and grease respectively.



Figure S52: The MALDI-MS spectrum of T4 (m/z = 691 (C₅₀H₃₄N₄, M⁺ requires 690), matrix: dithranol).





Figure S54: The MALDI-MS spectrum of *c*-P6_{Cu2} (m/z = 4787 (C₃₁₂H₃₀₀Cu₂N₂₄Zn₄, M⁺ requires 4774). matrix: DCTB).

c-P10_{Cu2}



Figure S55: The ¹H NMR spectrum of *c*-P10_{Cu2} (500 MHz, CDCl₃). The zinc porphyrins are coordinating an axial pyridine, the signals corresponding to pyridine are denoted as Py in the ¹H NMR spectrum.



Figure S56: The MALDI-MS spectrum of *c*-P10_{cu2} (*m*/*z* = 7960 ($C_{520}H_{500}Cu_2N_{40}Zn_8$, M⁺ requires 7960). matrix: DCTB).

Section S8. ¹H NMR spectra of 1:1 complexes of P5_{Cu} and P5_{2H} with T4 and T5

The ¹H NMR spectra shown below illustrate the chemically pure 1:1 complexes of the linear porphyrin oligomers $P5_{cu}$ and $P5_{2H}$ mixed with 1 equivalent T4 or T5. The spectrum of $P5_{2H}$ -T4 could be assigned and the spectrum for $P5_{cu}$ -T4 was assigned by analogy. Due to the presence of the paramagnetic copper(II) center, broadening is observed in both the porphyrin oligomer and the template signals in close proximity to the copper. The template complexes with T5 were found to be more complex and dynamic and could therefore not be assigned. We contemplate that the higher complexity is due to the extra template leg (reducing the symmetry of the template) and the fact that the central leg of the template can either be pointing towards the central porphyrin or point the other way (towards the trihexylsilyl protecting groups) resulting in a larger amount of signals leading to higher complexity in the NMR spectra.

Р5_{2н}•Т4



Figure S57: The ¹H NMR spectrum of P5_{2H}·T4 (500 MHz, CDCl₃).

P5_{Cu}·T4



Figure S58: The ¹H NMR spectrum of P5_{cu}·T4 (500 MHz, CDCl₃).

Section S9. References

- (1) H. J. Hogben, J. K. Sprafke, M. Hoffmann, M. Pawlicki and H. L. Anderson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 20962.
- (2) P. Liu, P. Neuhaus, D. V. Kondratuk, T. S. Balaban and H. L. Anderson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 7770.
- (3) C. A. Hunter and H. L. Anderson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 7488.
- (4) S. W. Benson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1958, 80, 5151.
- (5) G. Ercolani, C. Piguet, M. Borkovec and J. Hamacek, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2007, 111, 12195.
- (6) R. Ahlrichs, M. Bär, M. Häser, H. Horn and C. Kölmel, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1989, **162**, 165.
- (7) A. Schäfer, C. Huber and R. Ahlrichs, J. Chem. Phys., 1994, 100, 5829.
- (8) K. Eichkorn, F. Weigend, O. Treutler and R. Ahlrichs, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 1997, 97, 119.
- (9) S. Grimme, J. Comput. Chem, 2004, **25**, 1463.
- (10) S. Grimme, J. Comput. Chem., 2006, 27, 1787.
- (11) J. K. Sprafke, D. V. Kondratuk, M. Wykes, A. L. Thompson, M. Hoffmann, R. Drevinskas, W.-H. Chen, C. K. Yong, J. Kärnbratt, J. E. Bullock, M. Malfois, M. R. Wasielewski, B. Albinsson, L. M. Herz, D. Zigmantas, D. Beljonne and H. L. Anderson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 17262.
- (12) R. W. Wagner, T. E. Johnson, F. Li and J. S. Lindsey, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 5266.