Electronic Supplementary Information

# A low-crystalline ruthenium nano-layer supported on praseodymium oxide as an active catalyst for ammonia synthesis

Katsutoshi Sato,\*<sup>[a,b]</sup> Kazuya Imamura,<sup>[b]</sup> Yukiko Kawano,<sup>[b]</sup> Shin-ichiro Miyahara,<sup>[a]</sup> Tomokazu Yamamoto,<sup>[c]</sup> Syo Matsumura,<sup>[c]</sup> and Katsutoshi Nagaoka\*<sup>[b]</sup>

- [a] Elements Strategy Initiative for Catalysts and Batteries, Kyoto University. 1-30 Goryo-Ohara, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8245 (Japan)
- [b] Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Oita University. 700 Dannoharu, Oita 870-1192 (Japan)
- [c] Department of Applied Quantum Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Kyushu University. 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395 (Japan)

#### 1. Details of experimental methods

#### 1.1 Catalyst preparation

The  $Pr_6O_{11}$  support was prepared by precipitation at room temperature from a suspension formed by gradual addition of a solution of  $Pr(NO_3) \cdot 6H_2O$  (Kanto Chemical, Japan) to a 25 wt% NH<sub>3</sub> solution (Wako Pure Chemical, Japan). The precipitate was kept in suspension overnight with stirring, washed with distilled water, dried at 70 °C for more than 12 h, and calcined at 700 °C in static air for 5 h. CeO<sub>2</sub> prepared by the same precipitation methods using Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Wako Pure Chemical, Japan) and MgO (the reference catalyst of the Catalysis Society of Japan, JRC-MgO-500) were also calcined at 700 °C. The supports were then impregnated with Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> (Tanaka Kikinzoku Kogyo, Japan) in a tetrahydrofuran (THF, Wako Pure Chemical, Japan) solution. The Ru loading was fixed at 5 wt% for each catalyst. The Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>-THF-support suspension was stirred for 12 h and dried in a rotary evaporator. The obtained powder was kept at 70 °C for 4 h under air. It was heated to 350 °C under a Ar stream and kept at 350 °C for 5 h to remove the CO ligand from the Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>.

#### 1.2 Activity tests

The NH<sub>3</sub> synthesis rate was measured using a conventional flow system under either atmospheric pressure or high pressure. Powders of catalysts were pressed into pellets at 2.0 MPa for 5 min, crushed, and sieved to grains with diameters of 250–500  $\mu$ m. Quartz wool was packed into a tubular Inconel reactor (i.d. = 7 mm), and 200 mg of catalyst was loaded. Research-grade gas was supplied from high-pressure gas cylinders. The catalysts were reduced in pure H<sub>2</sub> flow at 400 or 500 °C for 1 h at 0.1 MPa and then cooled to 310 °C in an Ar stream, and the pressure was then adjusted to 0.1, 0.9, or 1.0 MPa at 310 °C. An H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> gas mixture with an H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> molar ratio of 3 (gas hourly space velocity = 18,000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) was then fed to the catalyst. The temperature of the catalyst was kept constant for 0.5 h to facilitate measurement of NH<sub>3</sub> synthesis rates. The catalyst was then heated in 20 °C increments to 390 °C. The NH<sub>3</sub> synthesis rate was determined from the rate of decrease of electron conductivity (CM-30R, DKK-TOA, Japan) of the dilute sulfuric acid solution that trapped the NH<sub>3</sub> produced under the experimental conditions. NH<sub>3</sub> yield was calculated as described below:

$$\mathrm{NH}_3 \text{ yield } (\%) = \frac{F_{NH_3}}{inF_{N_2} \times 2} \times 100$$

where  $F_{NH_3}$  is molar flow rate of synthesized ammonia in effluent gas and  $inF_{N_2}$  is molar flow rate of N<sub>2</sub> which is supplied to catalyst. HSC Chemistry 6 software (ver. 6.12, Outotec Research, Finland) was used to calculate thermodynamic equilibrium.

### 1.3 Characterization of the catalysts

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed using a SmartLab x-ray diffractometer (Rigaku, Japan) equipped with a Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation source. For *in situ* XRD measurements, the sample was placed in a reactor chamber (XRK 900, Anton Parr) and treated at 400 °C for 1 h under a stream of

 $H_2$ . After treatment, the gas was switched from  $H_2$  to  $N_2$ , the sample was cooled to room temperature, and diffraction patterns were obtained. PDXL2 software (Rigaku) with ICDD, COD,<sup>[S1]</sup> and AtomWork<sup>[S2]</sup> databases was used to analyze the XRD patterns.

High-angle, annular, dark-field, scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) and high-resolution STEM (HR-STEM) images were obtained on a JEM-ARM200F electron microscope (JEOL, Japan) operated at 200 kV. The samples were dispersed in ethanol, dropped onto a carbon-coated copper grid, and dried under vacuum at ambient temperature for 24 h.

The specific surface areas of the catalysts after  $N_2$  treatment at 300 °C were determined by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller method using a BEL-mini instrument (BEL Japan Inc., Japan).

The H<sub>2</sub> chemisorption capacity was measured to estimate the Ru dispersion of the catalysts. H<sub>2</sub> was fed to each sample at 30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, and the temperature was increased to 400 °C. The sample was maintained at 400 °C for 1 h, purged in a stream of Ar at 500 °C for 30 min, cooled to -78 °C, and flushed with Ar for 60 min. After this pretreatment, H<sub>2</sub> chemisorption was carried out at -78 °C in an Ar stream (30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) using a pulsed-chemisorption technique.

Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> were performed in a TPD-1-AT apparatus (BEL Japan, Japan). Catalyst (100 mg) was loaded into a quartz reactor, reduced in a stream of H<sub>2</sub> at 400 °C for 1 h, purged in a stream of He for 30 min, and cooled to 50 °C. After 1% CO<sub>2</sub> in He gas (30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) was fed to the catalyst for 30 min at 50 °C, the oven temperature was increased at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 900 °C. The CO<sub>2</sub> desorption profile was monitored by quadrupole mass spectrometer at m/e = 44. CO<sub>2</sub>-TPD of catalysts not exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> at 50 °C was also measured.

The infrared spectra of adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> were collected by spectrometer (FT/IR-6600, Jasco, Japan) equipped with a mercury–cadmium–tellurium detector at a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Samples were pressed into self-supporting disks (10 mm diameter, about 20 mg). A disk was placed in a silica-glass cell equipped with CaF<sub>2</sub> windows and connected to a closed gas-circulation system. The disk was pretreated with circulated H<sub>2</sub> (26 kPa) passed through a liquid-nitrogen trap. The sample was heated from room temperature to 500 °C over 1 h and kept at that temperature for 3 h. Following reduction, the sample was evacuated at the same temperature for 2 h to remove the hydrogen. After this pretreatment, the disk was cooled to room temperature under vacuum. Pure N<sub>2</sub> (>99.9995%) was supplied to the system through a liquid-nitrogen trap. Isotopic nitrogen (<sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub>, 98%) was used without purification. The infrared spectrum of the sample at room temperature before N<sub>2</sub> adsorption was used as the background, and difference spectra were obtained by subtracting the backgrounds from the spectra of N<sub>2</sub>-adsorbed samples.

## 2. Supporting Results

2.1. Ammonia synthesis performance



*Fig. S1* Catalytic performance of supported Ru catalysts at low temperature. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 200 mg; reactant gas,  $H_2/N_2 = 3$  with a flow rate of 60 mL min<sup>-1</sup>; pressure, 0.1 MPa; reaction temperature, 310 °C. Ammonia synthesis rates of electride-supported catalysts were reproduced from Ref. [S3]. Kitano *et al.* improved the method of Ru/C12A7:e<sup>-</sup> preparation <sup>[S4]</sup> and developed a highly active Ru/HT-C12A7:e<sup>-</sup>.<sup>[S3]</sup>

## 2.2. In-situ XRD measurement



*Fig. S2 In-situ* XRD patterns of supported Ru catalysts. Samples were treated under a stream of  $H_2$  at 400 °C and cooled to room temperature under a stream of  $N_2$ . Diffraction patterns were obtained at room temperature.  $\circ$  MgO (CSD:9013270(COD));  $\Box$  CeO<sub>2</sub> (CSD:028709(ICDD));  $\triangle$  C-type  $Pr_2O_3$  (CSD:NIMS\_MatNavi\_4295510869\_1\_2).

2.3. Time course of  $NH_3$  formation over  $Ru/Pr_2O_3$ 



*Fig. S3* Time course of ammonia formation over 5 wt% Ru/Pr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 200 mg; synthesis gas,  $H_2/N_2 = 3$  with a flow rate of 60 mL min<sup>-1</sup>; pressure, 0.9 MPa; reaction temperature, 390 °C.

# 2.4. STEM-EDX observation



*Fig. S4* HAADF-STEM image, Pr-L, Ru-K, and O-K STEM-EDX maps, and reconstructed overlay image of Pr, Ru, and O of Ru/Pr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> after H<sub>2</sub> reduction.



*Fig. S5* HAADF-STEM image, Ce-L, Ru-K, and O-K STEM-EDX maps, and reconstructed overlay image of Ce, Ru, and O of Ru/CeO<sub>2</sub> after H<sub>2</sub> reduction.



*Fig. S6* HAADF-STEM image, Mg-K, Ru-L, and O-K STEM-EDX maps, and reconstructed overlay image of Mg, Ru, and O of Ru/MgO after H<sub>2</sub> reduction.

2.5. High-resolution TEM observations



Fig. S7 High-resolution STEM images of 5 wt% Ru/Pr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3.</sub>



Fig. S8 High-resolution STEM images of 5 wt% Ru/CeO2.



Fig. S9 High-resolution STEM images of 5 wt% Ru/MgO

2.6. Characterization of  $Ru/Pr_2O_3$  in different preparation stages.



*Fig. S10* XRD patterns of Ru/Pr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in different preparation stages and bare  $Pr_6O_{11}$ .  $\circ Pr_6O_{11}$ (CSD:00-042-1121(ICDD));  $\diamond Pr(OH)_3$  (CSD:200487(ICDD));  $\Box$  PrOOH (CSD:00-027-0478(ICDD)).



*Fig. S11* BF, HAADF-STEM image, Pr-L, Ru-K, and O-K STEM-EDX maps, and reconstructed overlay image of Pr, Ru, and O of 5 wt% Ru/Pr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> after Ar treatment at 350 °C.



*Fig. S12* HAADF-STEM image, Pr-L, Ru-K, and O-K STEM-EDX maps, and reconstructed overlay image of Pr, Ru, and O of 5 wt% Ru/Pr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> after a long-term durability test (results of activity test are shown in Fig. S3).



*Fig. S13* High-resolution STEM images of 5 wt%  $Ru/Pr_2O_3$  after a long-term durability test (results of activity test are shown in Fig. S3).

2.7. Characterization for basicity of the support.



*Fig. S14* CO<sub>2</sub>-TPD profiles of supported Ru catalysts. Lines indicate desorption profiles before (---) and after (---) CO<sub>2</sub> absorption after H<sub>2</sub> reduction. Intensities were normalized to the specific surface area of the catalysts. Figure 5 shows the difference between profiles.

# 3. References

- [S1] S. Grazulis, D. Chateigner, R. T. Downs, A. F. Yokochi, M. Quiros, L. Lutterotti, E. Manakova, J. Butkus, P. Moeck and A. Le Bail, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, 42, 726-729.
- [S2] Y. Xu, M. Yamazaki, and P. Villars, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 2011, 50, 11RH02.
- [S3] Y. Inoue, M. Kitano, S.-W. Kim, T. Yokoyama, M. Hara, and H. Hosono, ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 674-680.
- [S4] M. Kitano, Y. Inoue, Y. Yamazaki, F. Hayashi, S. Kanbara, S. Matsuishi, T. Yokoyama, S. W. Kim, M. Hara, H. Hosono, *Nat. Chem.* 2012, 4, 934-940.