Supplementary Information for

[Ag₁₁₅S₃₄(SCH₂C₆H₄^{*t*}Bu)₄₇(dpph)₆]: Synthesis, crystal structure and NMR investigations of a soluble silver chalcogenide nanocluster

Sebastian Bestgen,*^a Olaf Fuhr,^{bf} Ben Breitung,^b Venkata Sei Kiran Chakravadhanula,^{bd} Gisela Guthausen,^e Frank Hennrich,^b Wen Yu,^g Manfred M. Kappes,^{bc} Peter W. Roesky,^a and Dieter Fenske^{*abf}

[a] Dr. Sebastian Bestgen, Prof. Dr. Peter W.
Roesky, Prof. Dr. Dieter Fenske
Institute of Inorganic Chemistry
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)
Engesserstraße 15, 76131 Karlsruhe (Germany).
E-Mail: <u>sebastian.bestgen@kit.edu</u>
E-Mail: <u>dieter.fenske@kit.edu</u>

[b] Dr. Olaf Fuhr, Dr. Ben Breitung, Dr. Venkata Sai Kiran Chakravadhanula Dr. Frank Hennrich, Prof. Dr. Dieter Fenske Institute of Nanotechnology (INT) and Karlsruhe Nano Micro Facility (KNMF) Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Mailbox 3640, 76021 Karlsruhe (Germany).

[c] Prof. Dr. Manfred M. Kappes Institute of Physical Chemistry, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Kaiserstr. 12, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany.

[d] Dr. Venkata Sai Kiran Chakravadhanula Helmholtz-Institute UIm for Electrochemical Energy Storage (HIU), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 89081 UIm, Germany.

[e] Prof. Dr. Gisela Guthausen Institute for Water Chemistry and Water Technology and Institute for Mechanical Process Engineering and Mechanics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Adenauerring 20b, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

[f] Dr. Olaf Fuhr, Prof. Dr. Dieter Fenske Lehn-Institute for Functional Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China.

[g] Dr. Wen Yu Provincial Key Laboratory for Chemical and Biochemical Processing Technology of Farm Products, School of Biological and Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Science and Technology, No. 318 Liuhe Road, Hangzhou, 310023, People's Republic of China.

Experimental Section

General procedures: All manipulations were performed under rigorous exclusion of moisture and oxygen in Schlenk-type glassware. Hydrocarbon solvents (toluene, *n*-pentane, *n*-heptane) were dried using an MBraun solvent purification system (SPS-800). Elemental analyses were carried out with an ElementarVario EL or Micro Cube. [AgSCH₂C₆H₄^tBu] was synthesized following known procedures for silver thiolates from ${}^{t}BuC_{6}H_{4}CH_{2}SH$ and AgNO₃.¹ Bis(diphenylphosphino)hexane (dpph) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received.

Synthesis of $[Ag_{115}S_{34}(SCH_2C_6H_4^tBu)_{47}(dpph)_6]$ (1):

The silver thiolate [AgSCH₂C₆H₄^tBu] (0.35 g, 1.21 mmol, 1.00 eq.) and dpph (0.30 g, 0.66 mmol, 0.55 eq.) were suspended in toluene (30 mL). To the suspension, S(SiMe₃)₂ (0.09 mL, 76 mg, 0.43 mmol, 0.35 eq.) was added dropwise and a colour change from yellow to dark red was observed. The resulting clear solution was stirred for 7 days yielding a dark green solution. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the dark residue was extracted with *n*-heptane. After filtration, the formation of dark green needle-shaped crystals was observed on the wall of the Schlenk tube, which were separated from the mother liquor by decantation and finally dried under high vacuum. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ [ppm] = 0.50 – 1.85 (m, 511H, dpph-CH₂ and CH₃), 3.38 - 5.00 (m, 94H, thiolate- CH_2), 5.80 – 7.80 (m, 316H, CH). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 160 MHz): δ [ppm] = 0.9 (dd, J = 540.0 Hz, J = 38.3 Hz), 8.3 (dd, J = 560.0 Hz, J = 39.9 Hz), ³¹P/¹⁰⁹Ag-HSQC-crosspeaks: ³¹P dd @ 0.88 ppm and δ (¹⁰⁹Ag) = 979 ppm, ³¹P dd @ 8.3 ppm and δ (¹⁰⁹Ag) = 1018 ppm. IR (ATR): \tilde{v} (cm⁻¹) = 3050 (w), 3022 (w), 2952 (vs), 2903 (s), 2863 (s), 1511 (m), 1476 (m), 1461 (m), 1434 (m), 1410 (m), 1391 (m), 1361 (m), 1267 (m), 1228 (m), 1201 (m), 1104 (m), 1018 (m), 998 (w), 877 (w), 831 (m), 737 (m), 692 (s), 656 (m), 554 (m), 511 (m), 479 (w). UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_{max} (nm) = 565 (broad). Elemental analysis calcd (%) for [C₆₉₇H₈₉₇Ag₁₁₅P₁₂S₈₁] (24688.60): C 33.96, H 3.67, S 10.54; found C 34.02, H 3.442, S 9.91.



Figure S1: Representative picture of dried single crystals of 1 under air in a weighing boat.

UV-Vis measurements

UV-Vis spectra were recorded in CH_2Cl_2 using freshly prepared samples on a Varian Cary 50 spectrophotometer. UV-Vis measurements in the solid state were performed with a LAMBDA 900 spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer) from a suspension of grinded crystals in mineral oil between two quartz plates under air at room temperature. The absorption wavelength of the HOMO-LUMO gap was calculated by laying the tangent line through the inflection point of the first increase of the curve. The intersection point of the tangent with the abscissa was considered as wavelength of the HOMO-LUMO gap.



Figure S2: UV-Vis spectrum of 1 in CH₂Cl₂.



Figure S3: UV-Vis spectrum of 1 in the solid state.

IR-Spectra



IR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Tensor 37.

Figure S4: IR spectrum of cluster 1.

NMR measurements

NMR spectra were recorded on a BrukerAvance II 300 MHz or Avance 400 MHz. Chemical shifts are referenced to the residual ¹H and ¹³C resonances of the deuterated solvents and are reported relative to tetramethylsilane, relative to 85% phosphoric acid (³¹P NMR) and to AgNO₃ in D₂O (¹⁰⁹Ag{¹H} NMR).



Figure S5: Stacked ¹H NMR spectra of bis(diphenylphosphino)hexane (blue), $[AgSCH_2C_6H_4'Bu]$ (green), and **1** (red) in CDCI₃.



Figure S6: Stacked ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of **1** measured every hour over a period of 21 hours in CDCI₃.



Figure S7: Stacked ¹H NMR spectra of **1** measured every hour over a period of 21 hours.



Figure S8: ¹⁰⁹Ag{¹H} NMR spectrum of **1** between -100 ppm ad +1900 ppm in CDCl₃.



Figure S9: ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** in CD₂Cl₂.



Figure S10: Superimposed ¹H NMR spectra of **1** in CD_2CI_2 (red) and $CDCI_3$ (blue).

Diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy

¹H-NMR DOSY spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance HD III WB 500 MHz spectrometer equipped with a broadband diffusion probe at Bruker, Rheinstetten. Pulsed field gradient echo was applied with a gradient duration of 2 ms, a diffusion time of 40 ms while varying the gradient amplitude. Both, ³¹P and ¹H diffusion show same order of diffusion coefficients within the experimental error. Due to the better signal-to-noise ratio ¹H diffusion is shown here.



Fitted function:	f(x) = lo * exp(-D * x)	
used gamma:	26752 rad/(s*Gauss)	
used B values:	variable (gradient strength varied)	
used little delta:	0.0020000 s	
used big delta:	0.040000 s	
Random error estimation of data:	RMS per spectrum (or trace/plane)	
Systematic error estimation of data:	worst case per peak scenario	
Fit parameter Error estimation method:	from fit using arbitray y uncertainties	
Confidence level:	95%	
Used peaks:	automatically picked peaks	
Used integrals:	peak intensities	
Used Gradient strength:	all values (including replicates) used	

Peak name	F2 [ppm]	D [m2/s]	error
1	7.030	2.11e-10	1.658e-12
2	6.880	2.10e-10	1.773e-12
3	1.491	2.22e-10	1.506e-12
4	1.231	2.11e-10	1.303e-12
5	1.132	2.16e-10	1.164e-12
6	0.809	2.07e-10	9.615e-13
7	0.618	2.13e-10	1.138e-12
10	7.223	2.33e-10	4.588e-12
11	0.866	2.13e-10	1.100e-12
12	6.982	2.13e-10	2.396e-12
13	6.967	2.12e-10	3.115e-12
14	6.857	2.12e-10	2.018e-12
15	6.362	2.12e-10	2.439e-12
16	1.234	2.19e-10	1.452e-12
17	7.001	2.14e-10	2.763e-12
19	7.041	2.12e-10	1.715e-12
20	7.331	2.30e-10	5.532e-12
23	1.131	2.14e-10	1.650e-12
24	1.490	2.20e-10	1.620e-12

The viscosity η used in the Stokes–Einstein equation for CDCl₃ is 0.5559 x 10⁻³ kgm⁻¹s⁻¹. The viscosity was taken from www.knovel.com.









Analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC)

For all AUC experiments we used a Beckman Coulter (Proteomelab XL-A/XL-I) analytical ultracentrifuge equipped with an AN-50 rotor and an optical detection system. Equal aliquots (440 ml) of $[Ag_{115}S_{34}(SCH_2C_6H_4^{t}Bu)_{47}(dpph)_6]$ in toluene and appropriate reference solvent were injected into a two-sector cell (12 mm optical path length) comprising sapphire windows and an Epon charcoal-filled centerpiece. Data were collected in sedimentation velocity mode with the absorption optics set to a detection wavelength of 320 nm where the sedimentation process is monitored by scanning the concentration profile, c(r, t), with respect to the radial distance from the rotor (r) and time (t). All experiments were performed at 40,000 rpm with a radial resolution of 0.001 cm; scans were recorded every 10 min for 4 hrs. The numerical fitting software SEDFIT was used to fit the absorbance profiles with Lamm's equation solutions to calculate the distribution of sedimentation and diffusion coefficients.^{2, 3} A typical 2D SEDFIT screen Size capture is shown in Fig. S9. distribution and molar mass of $[Ag_{115}S_{34}(SCH_2C_6H_4^tBu)_{47}(dpph)_6]$ cluster was then calculated according to the procedure described by Carney et al.



Figure S11: Screenshot 2-D sedimentation and diffusion coefficient distribution as fitted by SEDFIT.

We have analyzed our sedimentation velocity data to yield distributions of diffusion (D) and sedimentation (s) coefficients – using the method recently applied by Carney *et al.* to characterize dissolved gold nanoparticles.⁴ This method numerically fits the experimental data using Lamm's equation and a two-dimensional (2D) model for s and D coefficient

distributions. The approach does not require a priori knowledge of particle density but instead offers an independent way of estimating it in addition to the particle molecular mass and its hydrodynamic radius. This is particularly useful for the characterization of core-shell nanoparticles because particle composition (i.e. shell thickness) can be estimated from these three numbers by mass conservation. There are specific assumptions inherent in this approach: (i) diffusion and sedimentation coefficient distributions are independent and (ii) diffusion contributions are fully resolved experimentally.

Figure S9 shows the resulting best fit to the AUC data of diffusion (D) and sedimentation (s) coefficient distributions. From these we have determined the average mass of the species present in solution. The mass distribution is dominated by a single peak centered at 22790 \pm 500 Da. Hence, the particle has a diffusion coefficient of 2.2 x 10⁻¹⁰ m²s⁻¹, which corresponds to a hydrodynamic diameter of 3.8 nm. Note: AUC using methylene chloride or chloroform was not possible because of centrifugation tube incompatibility

Electron microscopy

All the transmission electron microscopy measurements were performed using an aberration corrected FEI Titan 80-300 operated at 80 kV. Samples were prepared by dissolving them in toluene and adding one drop (1.5 μ L) onto a Cu 200 mesh TEM grid. The grid was washed three times with toluene (2 μ L), dried on air and inserted into the microscope.

Thermogravimetric analysis

Thermogravimetric analysis was performed using a Netzsch STA 409 C/CD device. The sample (20.900 mg) was heated under N₂ (25 l/min) from 300 K to 1000K with a heating rate of 2 K/min.



Figure S12: TGA analysis of **1**. At 1000°C, the residual mass is 53.07% of the mass at the beginning of the measurement.

Crystallographic information

Low temperature single crystal X-ray diffraction was performed on a STOE STADIVARI diffractometer using Cu-K α -radiation (λ = 1.54178). Using Olex2,⁵ the structures were solved with the ShelXD⁶ structure solution program the using Dual Space method and refined with the ShelXL⁷ refinement package using Least Squares minimization. Hydrogen atoms were calculated on idealized positions. CCDC 1507868 contains detailed crystallographic data of this article, which can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Cristallographic Data Centre, www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data-request/cif.

Identification code	1	
Empirical formula	$C_{697}H_{897}Ag_{115}P_{12}S_{81}$	
Formula weight	24648.64	
Temperature/K	150.15	
Crystal system	triclinic	
Space group	<i>P</i> 1	
a/Å	29.4745(4)	
b/Å	35.8121(5)	
c/Å	48.4263(7)	
α/°	86.3790(10)	
β/°	75.6370(10)	
٧/°	74.1640(10)	
Volume/Å ³	47638.4(12)	
Z	2	
ρ _{calc} g/cm ³	1.718	
µ/mm ⁻¹	20.594	
F(000)	23920.0	
Crystal size/mm ³	0.25 × 0.19 × 0.12	
Radiation	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)	
2O range for data collection/°	3.174 to 110.698	
Index ranges	-14 ≤ h ≤ 31, -37 ≤ k ≤ 38, -51 ≤ l ≤ 51	
Reflections collected	294599	
Independent reflections	117059 [R_{int} = 0.1068, R_{σ} = 0.1127]	
Independent reflections with $I \ge 2\sigma$ (I)	55411	
Data/restraints/parameters	117059/326/4246	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.158	
Final R indexes [I ≥ 2σ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.1217, wR_2 = 0.3358$	
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1860, wR_2 = 0.3793$	
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	5.63/-3.47	
CCDC number	1507868	

Though many crystals of **1** were X-rayed, refinement of the datasets was problematic due to significant disorder in the cluster core (especially the Ag positions) as well as in the ligand shell. The positions of all non-carbon were found in the differential Fourier map but

refinement was only possible with a significant number of constraints and restraints. Still there are high peaks of remaining electron density inside the cluster core indicating additional disorder of the silver atoms which was not further modelled. The contribution of the electron density of lattice bound toluene molecules in the voids between the cluster molecules was calculated using the SQUEEZE algorithm⁸ and the hkl file was modified. GOF and R values in the table base on the modified data.



Figure S13: Extended solid state structure of **1**. The cluster molecules are illustrated as dummy atoms with r = 1.3 nm which were positioned in the center of the original cluster. Their arrangement corresponds to a distorted *hcp* with ABAB... layers highlighted in red and blue.



Figure S14: Complete S-substructure of **1**. S^{2-} (light brown), S in RS⁻ (yellow). Lines between S atoms are nonbinding and solely illustrate the geometric arrangement.

References

- 1. I. G. Dance, L. J. Fitzpatrick, A. D. Rae and M. L. Scudder, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, **22**, 3785-3788.
- 2. P. Schuck, *Biophys. J.*, **78**, 1606-1619.
- 3. J. Dam and P. Schuck, in *Methods Enzymol.*, Academic Press, 2004, vol. Volume 384, pp. 185-212.
- 4. R. P. Carney, J. Y. Kim, H. Qian, R. Jin, H. Mehenni, F. Stellacci and O. M. Bakr, *Nat. Commun*, 2011, **2**, 335.
- 5. O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 339-341.
- 6. G. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, 2008, 64, 112-122.
- 7. G. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C, 2015, 71, 3-8.
- 8. A. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2003, 36, 7-13.