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## 1. General Procedures

All reagents and deuterated solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich or Carl Roth and used as received. Silica gel (Silicycle, 230-400 mesh) was used for column chromatography. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 300 MHz NMR instrument. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to residual protic solvent resonances. Mestre Nova LITE v5.2.5-4119 software (Mestre lab Research S.L.) was used to analyze the NMR spectra. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) analyses were collected on a Bruker ultraflex TOF/TOF instrument. UV-vis and fluorescence spectra were acquired using a microplate reader (Tecan Infinite M200) and an Eppendorf biospectrometer kinetic device using quartz cuvettes (1 cm path length). HPLC analysis and separations were carried out on a Thermo scientific ultimate 3000 liquid chromatography using Ascentis® ${ }^{\circledR}$ C18 columns. The pH of samples solution was tested by Mettler Toledo FiveEasy ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ FE20pH bench meter. Fluorescent bio-distribution was conducted by using small animal imaging (PerkinElmer). IUPAC names of all compounds are provided and were determined using CS ChemBioDraw Ultra 12.0. Dynamic light scattering studies were conducted using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano S90 equipment. The near infrared transcutaneous devices are available from Mannheim Pharma \& Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany.

## 2. Synthesis and chemical characterization



Figure S1. Synthesis of three different charged cyanine dyes.

## Synthesis of compound 3 (Figure S1)

To a 50 mL round-bottom flask, glacial acetic acid ( 30 mL ) was added to a mixture of 4-hydrazino-benzenesulfonic acid (compound $1,3.76 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl isopropyl ketone ( 2.56 g , 30 mmol ) and sodium acetate ( $3.20 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The suspension was refluxed under $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for

24 hours, the hot solution was cooled to room temperature and concentrated, the residues were purified by silica gel column chromatography with methanol/ethyl acetate $=1 / 2$. A pink solid (compound 3, 4.20 g , yield $87.87 \%$ ) was obtained. TLC (silica gel, EtOAc/MeOH, 2:1) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.4$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.24$ (s, $6 H$ ), $2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 H), 7.36$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 H$ ), 7.57 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 H), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 15.1,22.4,53.2,118.1,125,145.1$, 153.6, 172.5, 188.9. LRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd: 238.05, found: 238.97.

## Synthesis of compound 4 (Figure S1)

To a 50 mL round-bottom flask, glacial acetic acid $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a mixture of phenylhydrazine (compound $2,2.16 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl isopropyl ketone ( $2.56 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium acetate ( $3.20 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The suspension was refluxed under $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, the hot solution was cooled to room temperature and concentrated, the residues were purified by silica gel column chromatography with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $=1 / 2$. A brown oil acetated salt (compound 4, 3.26 g , yield $75 \%$ ) was obtained. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.3(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 H), 7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 H), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.34$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 14.99,23.53,53.57,121.33,127.69,145.30,152.58$, 175.62, 188.64. LRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd: 159.10, found: 159.06.

## Synthesis of compound 5 (Figure S1)

A mixture of 2,3,3-trimethyl-3H-indole-5-sulfonic acid (compound 3, $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (3bromopropyl)trimethyl ammonium bromide ( $1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,2-dichlorobenzene ( 16 mL ) was heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours under argon. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and the solvent was decanted. The crude product was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dissolved in acetone and re-precipitated into a large volume of ethyl acetate to obtain a red solid (compound 5, 1.36 g , yield $80 \%$ ), which was used in the next step without further purification. NMR data was reported in a previous reference. ${ }^{[1 a]}$

## Synthesis of compound 6 (Figure S1)

A mixture of 2,3,3-trimethyl-3H-indole (compound 4, $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,2-oxathiane 2,2-dioxide ( $1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 11.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,2-dichlorobenzene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours under argon. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and the solvent was decanted. The crude product was extensively washed with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $=1 / 2$ to obtain a pink solid (compound 6), which was used in the next step without further purification. TLC (silica gel, EtOAc/MeOH, 2:1) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.5$. NMR data was reported in a previous reference. ${ }^{[1 b-c]}$

## Synthesis of compound 7 (Figure S1)

A mixture of 2,3,3-trimethyl-3H-indole (compound 4, $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (3-bromopropyl) trimethyl ammonium bromide ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,2-dichlorobenzene ( 20 mL ) was heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours under argon. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and the solvent was decanted. The crude product was extensively washed with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $=$ $1 / 2$ to obtain a pink solid (compound 7), which was used in the next step without further purification. NMR data was reported in a previous reference. ${ }^{[1 a]}$

## Synthesis of compound ZWCY (Figure S1)

A mixture of bromide salt (compound 5, $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Vilsmeier-Haack reagent $2(0.27$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous sodium acetate $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of absolute ethanol was refluxed for 6 hours under argon. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and
then concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a brownish green residue. The crude product was washed with dichloromethane. The residue was suspended in methanol/ dichloromethane $(1 / 4,200 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered and dried in vacuum to yield a golden-green solid (compound $\mathbf{Z W C Y}$, 505 mg , yield $84.9 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{m} 6.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.69 (d, J=6 Hz, 2H) 7.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.31 (d, J=15 Hz, 2H). LRMS ( $m / z$ ): calcd: 815.36, found: 815.30.

## Synthesis of compound ANCY (Figure S1)

A mixture of sodium salt (compound $\mathbf{6}, 0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, Vilsmeier-Haack reagent ( 0.36 g , $1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous sodium acetate $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL of absolute ethanol was refluxed for 6 hours under argon. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and then concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a brownish green residue. The crude product was washed with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $=1 / 2$. The residues were purified by silica gel column chromatography with methanol/ethyl acetate $=1 / 2$, a green solid (compound ANCY, 1.08 g , yield $75 \%$ ) was yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.87 (s, 4H), 4.12 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.12 (d, J=12 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (m, 4H), 7.40 (s, 4H) 7.87 (d, J=15 Hz, $2 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]$ calcd: 771.23, found: 771.16.

## Synthesis of compound CACY (Figure S1)

A mixture of bromide salt (compound 7, $0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Vilsmeier-Haack reagent ( 0.36 g , 1.0 mmol ) and anhydrous sodium acetate ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 mL of absolute ethanol was refluxed for 6 hours under argon. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and then concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a brownish green residue. The crude product was washed with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $=1 / 2$. The residues were suspended in dichloromethane, filtered and dried in vacuum to yield a green solid (compound CACY, 0.294 g , yield $20 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$, $3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd: 734.37, found: 734.96.

## Synthesis of compound ABZWCY (Figure S1)

A mixture of compound ZWCY ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-(4-aminophenyl)propanoic acid $(165 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and precipitated in dichloromethane. The crude product was purified by RP C18 chromatography to yield a blue solid (compound ABZWCY, 104 mg , yield 55\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd: 943.25, found: 943.12.

## Synthesis of compound AAZWCY (Figure S1)

A mixture of compound ZWCY ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-(2-azidoethyl) aniline ( 162 mg 1.0 mmol ) in DMSO was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and precipitated in 300 mL acetone. The crude product was filtered and purified by RP C18 chromatography to yield a blue solid (compound AAZWCY, 110 mg , yield $58 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd: 939.46, found: 939.21.

## Synthesis of compound ABANCY (Figure S1)

A mixture of compound ANCY ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-(4-aminophenyl) propanoic acid ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and precipitated in ethyl acetate and washed with dichloromethane. The residues were purified by silica gel column chromatography and washed with methanol/dichloromethane $=1 / 2$. A blue solid was obtained (compound ABANCY, 122 mg , yield $71 \%)$. LRMS $(m / z)$ : calcd: 856.37, found: 856.53.

## Synthesis of compound AAANCY (Figure S1)

A mixture of compound ANCY ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-(2-azidoethyl) aniline ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2$ mmol ) in DMSO was heated at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and precipitated in ethyl acetate and washed with dichloromethane. The product was dried to yield a blue solid (compound AAANY) without further purification ( 60 mg , yield $35.3 \%$ ). LRMS $(m / z)$ : $[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]$ calcd: 897.34 , found: 897.35 .

## Synthesis of compound ABCACY (Figure S1)

A mixture of compound CACY ( $215 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-(4-aminophenyl) propanoic acid $(139 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and precipitated in ethyl acetate and washed with dichloromethane. The product was dried to yield 145 mg (yield $58 \%$ ) of a blue solid (compound ABCACY) without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15$, $2 H), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd: 863.47, found: 863.71.

## Synthesis of compound AACACY (Figure S1)

A mixture of compound CACY ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-(2-azidoethyl) aniline ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 2$ mmol ) in DMSO was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and precipitated in ethyl acetate and washed with dichloromethane. The product was dried to yield 200 mg (yield $61 \%$ ) of a blue solid (compound AACACY) without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : calcd: 860.48, found: 860.91 .

## Synthesis of compound Propynyl-HP $\beta$ CD (Figure S2)



Figure S2. Schematic (A) and Synthesis route (B) of compound Propynyl-HPßCD.

A suspension of sodium hydride ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) in anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise to a solution of (2-hydroxypropyl)- $\beta$-cyclodextrin ( $3.08 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and tetra-tert-butylammonium iodide ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 15 mL ) at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred for 0.5 hour at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of propargyl bromide ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of anhydrous DMF was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 24 hours while the solution turned brown. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (Methanol/ethylacetate $=1 / 2$, Methanol $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=2 / 1$,) to obtain 3.1 g of a grey solid compound Propynyl-HP $\beta$ CD after freeze dry.

## Synthesis of compound 4-(2-azidoethyl) aniline (Figure S3)



Figure S3. Synthesis of precursor compound 4-(2-azidoethyl) aniline.

## Synthesis of compound 9 (Figure S3)

To a dry 50 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ were added: (BOC) $)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(4.4 \mathrm{~g}, 20.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-(4-aminophenyl)ethanol (compound 8, $2.74 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 20 mL $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent is concentrated under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator. The crude product was purified by gel silica chromatographic column with eluent (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $=1 / 2, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.3$ ), the eluent was collected concentrated under vacuum and freeze dried to yield a white solid (compound 9, 4.62 g , yield $97.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 28.35,38.50,63.70,80.49,118.97,129.52,133.10,136.80,152.89$.

## Synthesis of compound 10 (Figure S3)

To a solution of (compound 9, $2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), 4$-Toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $2.56 \mathrm{~g}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-Dimethylaminopyridine ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( 1.5 mL ) were added and stirred at room temperature for 48 hours. The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator. The residue was purified by gel silica chromatographic column with eluent (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $=1 / 3, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.5$ ), the eluent was collected and concentrated under vacuum to yield a colorless oil (compound 10, 3.82 g , yield $96.7 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ): $\delta 21.61,28.34,34.69,50.87,70.70,80.57,118.71,127.83,129.78,132.97$, 144.67, 152.73.

## Synthesis of compound 11 (Figure S3)

To a solution of (compound 10, $3.13 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 15 mL ), $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(0.676 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, was added and refluxed at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 hours. The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator. After cooling down, distilled water ( 75 mL ) was added and a white solid (crude compound 11) was precipitated. The mixture was extensively washed and extracted by using diethyl ether. The diethyl ether layer was dried with anhydrous magnesium sulphate and concentrated under reduced vacuum to yield purity product yellow oil (compound 11) without further purification ( 2.12 g , yield $96 \%$, ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $=1 / 3, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.67$ ).

## Synthesis of compound 4-(2-azidoethyl) aniline (Figure S3)

To a solution of compound $11(2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, trifluoroacetic acid (5 mL ) was added and stirred at room temperature for 6 hour. The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator. The residue was purified by gel silica chromatographic column with eluent (ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether $=2 / 1, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.3$ ), the eluent was collected concentrated under vacuum to yield a colorless oil compound 4-(2-azidoethyl) aniline. LRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): calcd: 162.09, found: 162.05.

## Synthesis of compounds cyanine-HPßCD (Figure S4)



Figure S4. Synthesis of near infrared Cyanine-HPßCD agents.

## Synthesis of compound ABZWCY-HPßCD (Figure S4)

A mixture of dye ABZWCY ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethyl- aminopropyl) carbodiimide ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{HP} \beta \mathrm{CD}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMSO ( 8 mL ) was stirred under argon at room temperature for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was then precipitated in 350 ml ethyl acetate. The crude product was filtered and further purified by Sephadex G-25 to yield a blue solid (compound ABZWCY$\mathbf{H P} \boldsymbol{\beta C D}, 1.6 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and Mass data are available in Figure S41-43. Another three batches were performed in the same procedure. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 838 \mathrm{H})$, $1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$,
3.26-4.0 (m, 3336H), $4.10(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1510 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 180 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-7.20$ (m, 6H), 7.50-7.70 (m, 4H), 7.84 (d, J=9 Hz, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 19.10,19.18$, 19.44, 24.37, 27.72, 30.10, 34.60, 43.75, 48.44, 54.09, 61.38, 67.31, 72.64, 72.91, 73.16, 74.01, $82.12,100.69,102.77,109.81,118.50,120.02,124.75,126.65,130.05,133.89,140.02,142.46$, 147.39, 160.70, 170.10, 173.97.

## Synthesis of compound AAZWCY-HPßCD (Figure S4)

A mixture of AAZWCY ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the appropriate propynyl cyclodextrin ( 2 g , 1.25 mmol ) was dissolved in a DMSO and water mixture ( $1: 1$ ). A solution of sodium ascorbate $(228 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water, followed by a solution $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under argon gas protection and exclusion of light. After 12 hours, this mixture was then precipitated in 350 ml acetone. The crude product was filtered and further purified by Sephadex G-25 to yield a blue solid (AAZWCY-HPBCD, 1.56 g ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and Mass data are available in Figure S44-46. The other batch was performed in the same procedure. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \delta 1.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $1103 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~s}, 55 \mathrm{H})$, $2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 3856 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{t}, 67 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 95 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1703 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-5.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $174 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): 19.13, 19.21, 19.48, 24.73, 26.82, 31.55, 34.12, 45.80, 54.06, $57.50,61.36,67.26,72.53,77.22,77.76,79.14,81.17,100.14,101.38,102.81,110.40,119.03$, 121.36, 123.57, 126.55, 131.51, 133.59, 141.84, 143.21, 147.23, 159.10, 170.07.

## Synthesis of compound ABANCY-HPßCD (Figure S4)

A mixture of dye ABANCY ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-ethyl-3- (3dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-dimethyl-amino pyridine ( 10 mg , 0.08 mmol ) and $\mathrm{HP} \beta \mathrm{CD}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMSO ( 6 mL ) was stirred at room temperature under argon gas protection and exclusion of light. After 12 hours the reaction mixture was then precipitated in ethyl acetate. The crude product was further purified by Sephadex G-25 to yield a blue solid (ABANCY-HPßCD, 350 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and Mass data are available in Figure S47-48. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.01$ (m, 420H), 1.21 (s, 12H), 1.72$1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.76(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1295 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 527 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 134 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Synthesis of compound AAANCY-HP $\beta$ CD (Figure S4)

A mixture of AAANCY ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the appropriate propynyl cyclodextrin ( 710 mg , 0.44 mmol ) was dissolved in a DMSO and water mixture (1:1). A solution of sodium ascorbate ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water, followed by a solution of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under argon gas protection and exclusion of light. After overnight this mixture was then precipitated in 300 mL acetone. The crude product was filtered and further purified by Sephadex G-25 to yield a blue solid (AAANCY-HPßCD, 500 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and Mass data are available in Figure S49-50. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 238 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 2.75-2.83 (m, 13H), 3.21-4.0 (m, 848H), 4.08-4.13 (m, 11H), $4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 460 \mathrm{H})$, 4.94-5.10 (m, 93H), 5.78 (s, 2H), 6.80-7.70 (m, 13H), 7.73 (d, J=9 Hz, 2H).

## Synthesis of compound ABCACY-HPßCD (Figure S4)

A mixture of dye ABCACY ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-ethyl-3- (3dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08$ mmol ) and $\mathrm{HP} \beta \mathrm{CD}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMSO $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature under argon gas protection and exclusion of light. The reaction mixture was then precipitated in ethyl acetate. The crude product was further purified by Sephadex G- 25 to yield a blue solid (ABCACY-HPßCD, 350 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and Mass data are available in Figure S51-52. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.74$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.9(\mathrm{~m}, 122 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 58 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-5.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $11 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Synthesis of compound AACACY-HP $\beta$ CD (Figure S4)

A mixture of AACACY ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the appropriate propynyl cyclodextrin $(1 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.625 mmol ) was dissolved in a DMSO and water mixture ( $1: 1$ ). A solution of sodium ascorbate $(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water, followed by a solution $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under argon gas protection and exclusion of light. After 12 hours, this mixture was then precipitated in 300 mL acetone. The crude product was filtered and further purified by Sephadex G-25 to yield a blue solid (AACACY-HPßCD, 440 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and Mass data are available in Figure S53-54.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 896 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 3510 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, 37 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 71 \mathrm{H})$, $4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2123 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 385 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 3. Optical properties characterization

Stock solutions of all the compounds were prepared and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All the spectroscopic measurements were conducted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). UV-vis and fluorescence spectra were acquired using a microplate reader (Tecan Infinite M200) or an Eppendorf biospectrometer kinetic device. All measurements were conducted at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Extinction coefficients of fluorophores were determined by using $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions in aqueous buffer and calculated based on the Lambert-Beer law. For fluorescence quantum yield (QY) measurements, oxazine 725 in ethylene glycol ( $\mathrm{QY}=19 \%$ ) and ICG in $\mathrm{DMSO}(\mathrm{QY}=13 \%)$ were used as a calibration standards, under conditions of matched absorbance at 655 and 765 nm .


Figure S5. Absorption and emission spectra of each of the markers in 1xPBS; ZWCY, ANCY and CACY ( $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ); ABZWCY, AAZWCY, ABANCY, AAANCY, ABCACY and AACACY ( $0.02 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ); ABZWCY-HP $\beta C D$, AAZWCYHP $\beta$ CD, ABANCY-HP $\beta$ CD, AAANCY-HP $\beta C D$, ABCACY-HP $\beta$ CD and AACACY-HP sized of fluorescence spectra are arranged by the peak values of absorbance spectra to show together as a single pair for clear observation of the Stokes-shift.


Figure S6. Absorption and emission spectra of ZWCY ( $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), ABZWCY ( $0.02 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and ABZWCY-HPßCD (1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in plasma. Note: the peak sized of fluorescence spectra are arranged by the peak values of absorbance spectra to show together as a single pair for clear observation of the Stokes-shift.

## 4. Degree of labeling (DOL) ${ }^{[2]}$

The degree of labeling (DOL) is the average number of dye molecules coupled to HP $\beta$ CD. The DOL can be determined from the absorption spectrum of a marker against the corresponding free dye standard solution of known concentration. ${ }^{[2]}$ The calibration curves were performed in a series of known concentrations of free dyes. Dye stock solution $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ABZWCY were prepared in PBS. It was diluted in a concentration of $0.01,0.009,0.008,0.007,0.006,0.005$, $0.004,0.003,0.002$ and $0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. For AAZWCY, a dye stock solution of $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ was prepared in PBS. It was diluted in a concentration of $0.015,0.0135,0.012,0.0105,0.009,0.0075$, $0.06,0.0045,0.003$ and $0.0015 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. Their corresponding UV absorption was measured and calibration curves were constructed based on the UV absorption values. Subsequently, absorbances of ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD and AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD with corresponding concentration were measured, and their degree of labeling was calculated based on the calibration curves and the equation S1.
DOL $=\frac{C 1}{M W 1} \times \frac{(M W 2+M W 1 \times D O L)}{C 2}$ (Equation S1)
Where C 1 is the concentration of the dye labeled in $\mathrm{HP} \beta \mathrm{CD}$, MW1 is the molecular weight of dye, MW2 is the average molecular weight of HP $\beta$ CD, C2 is the concentration of markers (dye conjugated with $\mathrm{HP} \beta \mathrm{CD}$ ), DOL is the value of degree of labeling.

Table S1. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{uv}}$ is the absorption value of free dye ABZWCY in the constant concentration.

| $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | 0.01 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{uv}}$ | 0.23 | 0.205 | 0.1857 | 0.1669 | 0.1476 | 0.1280 | 0.1093 | 0.0816 | 0.069 | 0.0479 |

Table S2. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{uv}}$ is the absorption value of free dye AAZWCY in the constant concentration.

| $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | 0.015 | 0.0135 | 0.012 | 0.0105 | 0.009 | 0.0075 | 0.006 | 0.0045 | 0.003 | 0.0015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{uv}}$ | 0.4184 | 0.3658 | 0.3304 | 0.2922 | 0.2678 | 0.236 | 0.1925 | 0.1590 | 0.1163 | 0.0795 |




Figure S7. Linear calibration curve of ABZWCY and AAZWCY.
Table S3a. Degree of labeling for different batches of ABZWCY-HPßCD and AAZWCY-HPßCD.

| Marker (1 mg/mL) | ABZWCY-HPßCD |  |  |  | AAZWCY-HPßCD |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Batch | Batch 1 | Batch 2 | Batch 3 | Batch 4 | Batch 1 | Batch 2 |
| Absorbance | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.65 | 0.60 |
| DOL | 0.037 | 0.034 | 0.04 | 0.033 | 0.042 | 0.039 |

To ensure the reliability of the DOL measurement, the absorbance and fluorescent intensity were measured for $H P \beta C D$ based agents ( $A B Z W C Y-H P \beta C D$ and AAZWCY-HP $\beta C D$ ), free dye mixed with free $\mathrm{HP} \beta \mathrm{CD}$ (with the same ratio of DOL), and only free dye (with the same ratio of DOL). Absorbance values are closed in all the three measurements either in PBS or in plasma. Similar results were also observed in their fluorescent intensity. Those results indicated the conjugated HP $\beta$ CD doesn't contribute the absorbance of ABZWCY-HP $\beta C D$ and AAZWCY-HP $\beta C D$, demonstrate the DOL measurement is reliability.

Table S3b. Absorbance at 708 nm was measured on HPßCD based agents (ABZWCY-HPßCD and AAZWCY-HP free dye mixed with free HP $\beta$ CD with the same ratio of DOL, and only free dye.

| Marker | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ABZWCY-HP } \beta \text { CD } \\ (\mathrm{DOL}=0.033) \\ 0.75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} \end{gathered}$ | The mixture of ABZWCY and HP $\beta$ CD $(0.025 / 0.725 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $3.3 \% / 96.7 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ABZWCY } \\ (0.025 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} \\ , 3.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | AAZWCYHP $\beta$ CD ( $\mathrm{DOL}=0.042$ ) $0.75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { The mixture of } \\ \text { AAZWCY and } \\ \text { HP } \beta C D \\ (0.03 / 0.72 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \\ 4 \% / 96 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AAZWCY } \\ (0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \\ 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absorbance in PBS | 0.308 | 0.312 | 0.316 | 0.448 | 0.456 | 0.461 |
| Absorbance in Plasma | 0.459 | 0.472 | 0.476 | 0.559 | 0.572 | 0.578 |

Table S3c. Fluorescent intensity at 790 nm was measured on HPßCD based agents (ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD and AAZWCY$H P \beta C D$ ), free dye mixed with free $H P \beta C D$ with the same ratio of DOL, and only free dye. ( $\mathrm{ex}=708 \mathrm{~nm}$ )

| Marker | $\begin{gathered} \text { ABZWCY-HP } \beta \text { CD } \\ (\mathrm{DOL}=0.033) \\ 0.75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { The mixture of } \\ \text { ABZWCY and } \\ \text { HP } \beta \text { CD } \\ (0.025 / 0.725 \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \\ 3.3 \% / 96.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ABZWCY <br> ( $0.025 \mathrm{mg} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mL}, 3.3 \%$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AAZWCY-HP } \beta \text { CD } \\ (\mathrm{DOL}=0.042) \\ 0.75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { The mixture of } \\ \text { AAZWCY and } \\ \text { HP } \beta C D \\ (0.03 / 0.72 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} \\ 4 \% / 96 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AAZWCY } \\ (0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m} \\ \mathrm{L}, 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fluroescent intensity in PBS | 397 | 400 | 411 | 717 | 727 | 734 |
| Fluroescent intensity in Plasma | 458 | 459 | 451 | 741 | 754 | 757 |

## 5. Plasma protein binding (PPB) ${ }^{[3,4,5]}$ and dynamic light scattering analysis

A dye-protein stock solution was prepared by incubating 2 mL of the corresponding dye or agent ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) with 8 mL Sprague Dawley rat plasma in Li-Heparin (Innovative Research, Novi, MI, USA) in PBS at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while 2 mL PBS was incubated with 8 mL rat plasma as control. PPB measurements were performed by equilibrium dialysis of PBS against dye-protein stock solution (or control stock solution) using a two-chamber dialysis set-up. After 24 hours the absorption of each of the dyes or agents in PBS and plasma were determined in three independent measurements by both absorption spectroscopy and fluorescence spectroscopy in a microplate reader. The concentrations of each of the dyes or agents were calculated on the basis of the corresponding molar absorption coefficients. All experiments were performed in triplicate. PPB in percent [\%] was determined by averaging three independent measurements and following the equation of Lambert Beer law:
$\mathrm{PPB}=[\mathrm{A}($ plasma $)-\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{PBS})] /[\mathrm{A}($ plasma $)+\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{PBS})]$ x 100\% (Equation S 2$),$ where A is corresponding to UV absorption.

ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS), SD rat plasma (50\% in PBS), ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD ( 1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) mixed with SD rat plasma ( $50 \%$ in PBS) were prepared and filtered through a sterile $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter before analysis. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) studies were conducted. The Zetasizer Nano S90 uses a 633 nm helium-neon laser. The measurements were carried out in quadratic cells and analyzed the scattered light at an angle of $90^{\circ}$ at a controlled temperature ( 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The intensity of scattering of a particle is assumed to be proportional to the sixth power of its diameter. The apparent hydrodynamic radius was calculated according to the Stokes-Einstein equation.

PPB of ABZWCY
UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma+ } \\ \text { PBS } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma+ <br> PBS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma+ } \\ \text { PBS } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY | SampleControl |
| Plasma | 0.2077 | 0.1905 | 0.1907 | 0.2695 | 0.2492 | 0.2488 |  |
| Average |  | 0.1963 |  |  | 0.2558 |  | 0.0595 |
| PBS | 0.0564 | 0.0491 | 0.0495 | 0. 0836 | 0.0961 | 0.0966 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0516 |  |  | 0.0921 |  | 0.0405 |
| PPB |  |  | (0.0595-0.0405)/(0.0595+0.0405) $\times 100 \%$ |  |  |  | 19\% |

Fluorescent intensity measurement

|  | Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | ABZWCY | ABZWCY | ABZWCY |
| Plasma | 2 | 8 | 6 | 343 | 370 | 359 |
| PBS | 1 | 5 | 4 | 113 | 112 | 84 |

## PPB of the mixture of ABZWCY and HP $\beta$ CD (5\%:95\%)

UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + PBS | Plasma+ <br> PBS | Plasma + PBS | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY <br> Mixed HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY <br> Mixed HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY Mixed HP $\beta$ CD | Sample- <br> Control |
| Plasma | 0.0733 | 0.0748 | 0.0719 | 0.250 | 0.249 | 0.248 |  |
| Average |  | 0.073 |  |  | 0.249 |  | 0.176 |
| PBS | 0.0406 | 0.040 | 0.0407 | 0.165 | 0.164 | 0.161 |  |
| Average |  | 0.040 |  |  | 0.163 |  | 0.123 |
| PPB |  |  | (0.176-0.123)/(0.176+0.123) $\times 100 \%$ |  |  |  | 17.7 \% |

Fluorescent intensity measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + <br> PBS | Plasma + PBS | Plasma+ PBS | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY <br> Mixed HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY <br> Mixed HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY <br> Mixed HP $\beta$ CD |
| Plasma | 8 | 5 | 5 | 2762 | 2719 | 2670 |
| PBS | 0 | 3 | 1 | 781 | 735 | 704 |

PPB of ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD
UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + PBS | Plasma + PBS | Plasma + PBS | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY- <br> HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY- <br> HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> ABZWCY- <br> HP $\beta$ CD | Sample- <br> Control |
| Plasma | 0.2077 | 0.1905 | 0.1907 | 0.2559 | 0.2626 | 0.2619 |  |
| Average |  | 0.1963 |  |  | 0.2622 |  | 0.0613 |
| PBS | 0.0564 | 0.0491 | 0.0495 | 0. 1024 | 0.1103 | 0.1123 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0516 |  |  | 0.1113 |  | 0.0586 |
| PPB |  |  | (0.0613-0.0586)/(0.0613+0.0586) $\times 100 \%$ |  |  |  | 3.7 \% |

Fluorescent intensity measurement

|  | Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | ABZWCY- | ABZWCY- | ABZWCY- |
|  |  |  |  | HP $\beta$ CD | HP $\beta C D$ | HP $\beta$ CD |
| Plasma | 1 | 9 | 1429 | 1713 | 1726 |  |
| PBS | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1124 | 1472 | 1409 |

## PPB of AAZWCY

UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma+ } \\ \text { PBS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma+ } \\ \text { PBS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma+ } \\ \text { PBS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma + } \\ \text { AAZWCY } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma + <br> AAZWCY | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma + } \\ \text { AAZWCY } \end{gathered}$ | Sample- <br> Control |
| Plasma | 0.1608 | 0.160 | 0.159 | 0.443 | 0.447 | 0.444 |  |
| Average |  | 0.160 |  |  | 0.445 |  | 0.0285 |
| PBS | 0.0501 | 0.0503 | 0.0507 | 0. 257 | 0.258 | 0.258 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0504 |  |  | 0.258 |  | 0.208 |
| PPB |  |  | $(0.0285-0.0208) /(0.0285+0.0208) \times 100 \%$ |  |  |  | 15.7\% |

Fluorescent intensity measurement

| Control group |  |  |  |  |  | Sample group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma+ | Plasma+ | Plasma+ | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | AAZWCY | AAZWCY | AAZWCY |
| Plasma | 12 | 10 | 5 | 304 | 384 | 359 |
| PBS | 3 | 3 | 4 | 94 | 96 | 89 |

PPB of the mixture of AAZWCY and HP $\beta$ CD (5\%:95\%)
UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma+ } \\ \text { PBS } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma + PBS | Plasma + PBS | Plasma + <br> AAZWCY <br> Mixed HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> AAZWCY <br> Mixed HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> AAZWCY Mixed HP $\beta$ CD | SampleControl |
| Plasma | 0.0733 | 0.0748 | 0.0719 | 0.233 | 0.237 | 0.233 |  |
| Average |  | 0.073 |  |  | 0.234 |  | 0.161 |
| PBS | 0.0406 | 0.040 | 0.0407 | 0.161 | 0.163 | 0.162 |  |
| Average |  | 0.040 |  |  | 0.162 |  | 0.122 |
| PPB |  |  | (0.161-0.122)/(0.161+0.122) $\times 100 \%$ |  |  |  | 13.7 \% |

## Fluorescent intensity measurement

|  | Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | AAZWCY | AAZWCY | AAZWCY |
|  |  |  |  | Mixed HP $\beta$ CD | Mixed HP $\beta C D$ | Mixed HP $\beta C D$ |
| Plasma | 5 | 5 | 2365 | 2481 | 2354 |  |
| PBS | 8 | 3 | 1 | 654 | 713 | 654 |

## PPB of AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD

UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma+ PBS | Plasma+ <br> PBS | Plasma+ PBS | Plasma + <br> AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> AAZWCY- <br> HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> AAZWCY- <br> HP $\beta$ CD | SampleControl |
| Plasma | 0.2320 | 0.2288 | 0.2270 | 0.2794 | 0.2747 | 0.2749 |  |
| Average |  | 0.2290 |  |  | 0.276 |  | 0.047 |
| PBS | 0.0468 | 0.0457 | 0.0460 | 0. 0859 | 0.0916 | 0.0841 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0461 |  |  | 0.0873 |  | 0.0412 |
| PPB |  |  | (0.047-0.0412)/(0.047+0.0412) $\times 100 \%$ |  |  |  | 6.5 \% |

Fluorescent intensity measurement

| Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma+ | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | AAZWCY- | AAZWCY- | AAZWCY- |
|  |  |  |  | HP $\beta$ CD | HP $\beta C D$ | HP $\beta$ CD |
| Plasma | 7 | 7 | 1423 | 1516 | 1521 |  |
| PBS | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1233 | 1372 | 1342 |

PPB of ABANCY
UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma }^{+} \\ \text {PBS } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma+ <br> PBS | Plasma+ <br> PBS | Plasma + <br> ABANCY | Plasma + <br> ABANCY | Plasma + <br> ABANCY | Sample- <br> Control |
| Plasma | 0.216 | 0.2031 | 0.211 | 0.3118 | 0.3173 | 0.3315 |  |
| Average |  | 0.210 |  |  | 0.3202 |  | 0.1102 |
| PBS | 0.0418 | 0.0438 | 0.0409 | 0.0469 | 0.0443 | 0.0423 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0421 |  |  | 0.0445 |  | 0.0024 |
| PPB | (0.1102-0.0024)/(0.1102+0.0024) $\times 100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $95 \%$ |

## Fluorescent intensity measurement

|  | Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | ABANCY | ABANCY | ABANCY |
|  |  |  | 1 | -1 | 1136 | 1178 |
| Plasma | -1 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1139 |
| PBS | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |

## PPB of ABANCY-HP $\beta$ CD

UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + PBS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma+ } \\ \text { PBS } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma + PBS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma + } \\ \text { ABANCY- } \\ \text { HP } \beta \text { CD } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma + <br> ABANCY- <br> HP $\beta$ CD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma + } \\ \text { ABANCY- } \\ \text { HP } \beta \text { CD } \end{gathered}$ | Sample- <br> Control |
| Plasma | 0.217 | 0.226 | 0.226 | 0.269 | 0.286 | 0.286 |  |
| Average |  | 0.223 |  |  | 0.280 |  | 0.057 |
| PBS | 0.0441 | 0.0467 | 0.0456 | 0.0988 | 0.0758 | 0.077 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0455 |  |  | 0.0837 |  | 0.0382 |
| PPB |  |  | $(0.057-0.0382) /(0.057+0.0382) \times 100 \%$ |  |  |  | 19.7 \% |

Fluorescent intensity measurement

| Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | ABANCY- | ABANCY- | ABANCY- |
|  |  |  | HP $\beta C D$ | HP $\beta$ CD | HP $\beta$ CD |  |
| Plasma | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1243 | 1378 | 1344 |
| PBS | 3 | 0 | 8 | 202 | 215 | 205 |

PPB of AAANCY
UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma }^{+} \\ \text {PBS } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma+ <br> PBS | Plasma+ <br> PBS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma + } \\ \text { AAANCY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma + } \\ \text { AAANCY } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma + <br> AAANCY | Sample- <br> Control |
| Plasma | 0.236 | 0.231 | 0.221 | 0.301 | 0.290 | 0.277 |  |
| Average |  | 0.230 |  |  | 0.289 |  | 0.0594 |
| PBS | 0.0443 | 0.0436 | 0.0429 | 0.0496 | 0.0501 | 0.0510 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0436 |  |  | 0.0502 |  | 0.0066 |
| PPB | (0.0594-0.0066)/(0.0594+0.0066) $\times 100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | 80 \% |

## Fluorescent intensity measurement

|  | Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | AAANCY | AAANCY | AAANCY |
| Plasma | 5 | 7 | -4 | 1136 | 1168 | 1237 |
| PBS | 0 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 11 |

## PPB of AAANCY-HPBCD

UV-vis absorption measurement


Fluorescent intensity measurement

| Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | AAANCY- | AAANCY- | AAANCY- |
|  |  |  | HP $\beta$ CD | HP $\beta$ CD | HP $\beta$ CD |  |
| Plasma | 0 | 1 | 2168 | 2154 | 2032 |  |
| PBS | 2 | -1 | -1 | 283 | 292 | 283 |

PPB of ABCACY
UV-vis absorption measurement
Control group

|  | Plasma + <br> PBS | Plasma + <br> PBS | Plasma+ <br> PBS | Plasma + <br> ABCACY | Plasma + <br> ABCACY | Plasma + <br> ABCACY | SampleControl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plasma | 0.142 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.163 | 0.161 | 0.158 |  |
| Average |  | 0.143 |  |  | 0.160 |  | 0.017 |
| PBS | 0.0407 | 0.0402 | 0.0405 | 0.044 | 0.0462 | 0.0458 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0405 |  |  | 0.0455 |  | 0.005 |
| PPB |  | (0.017-0.005)/(0.017+0.005) $\times 100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | 54.5 \% |

Fluorescent intensity measurement

|  | Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | ABCACY | ABCACY | ABCACY |
|  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 568 | 563 |
| Plasma | 1 | 3 | 29 | 25 | 560 |  |
| PBS | 2 |  |  |  |  | 20 |

## PPB of ABCACY-HP $\beta$ CD

UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma+ <br> PBS | Plasma+ <br> PBS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma+ } \\ \text { PBS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma + } \\ \text { ABCACY- } \\ \text { HP } \beta C D \end{gathered}$ | Plasma + <br> ABCACY- <br> HP $\beta$ CD | Plasma + <br> ABCACY-HP $\beta$ CD | SampleControl |
| Plasma | 0.142 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.2247 | 0.2205 | 0.2219 |  |
| Average |  | 0.143 |  |  | 0.2223 |  | 0.0793 |
| PBS | 0.0407 | 0.0402 | 0.0405 | 0.089 | 0.0892 | 0.0906 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0405 |  |  | 0.0896 |  | 0.0491 |
| PPB |  |  | (0.0793-0.0491)/(0.0793+0.0491) $\times 100 \%$ |  |  |  | 23.5 \% |

Fluorescent intensity measurement

|  | Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma+ | Plasma+ | Plasma+ | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | ABCACY- | ABCACY- | ABCACY- |
|  |  |  | HP $\beta$ CD | HP $\beta C D$ | HP $\beta C D$ |  |
| Plasma | 3 | 1 | 6 | 892 | 824 | 877 |
| PBS | 2 | 3 | 660 | 635 | 626 |  |

PPB of AACACY
UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma }^{+} \\ \text {PBS } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma+ <br> PBS | Plasma + <br> PBS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma + } \\ \text { AACACY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plasma + } \\ & \text { AACACY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plasma }+ \\ & \text { AACACY } \end{aligned}$ | Sample- <br> Control |
| Plasma | 0.142 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.1664 | 0.1638 | 0.1612 |  |
| Average |  | 0.143 |  |  | 0.1638 |  | 0.0208 |
| PBS | 0.0407 | 0.0402 | 0.0405 | 0.0474 | 0.0462 | 0.0436 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0405 |  |  | 0.0457 |  | 0.0052 |
| PPB |  |  | $(0.0208-0.0052) /(0.0208+0.0052) \times 100 \%$ |  |  |  | 60 \% |

## Fluorescent intensity measurement

|  | Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | AACACY | AACACY | AACACY |
| Plasma | 3 | 5 | 6 | 665 | 615 | 590 |
| PBS | 2 | 1 | 3 | 36 | 40 | 26 |

## PPB of AACACY-HP $\boldsymbol{\beta C D}$

UV-vis absorption measurement

|  | Control group |  |  | Sample group |  |  | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + <br> PBS | Plasma + <br> PBS | Plasma + <br> PBS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma + } \\ \text { AACACY- } \\ \text { HP } \beta \text { CD } \end{gathered}$ | Plasma + <br> AACACY- <br> HP $\beta$ CD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma + } \\ \text { AACACY- } \\ \text { HP } \beta C D \end{gathered}$ | Sample- <br> Control |
| Plasma | 0.142 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.2232 | 0.2264 | 0.2279 |  |
| Average |  | 0.143 |  |  | 0.2258 |  | 0.0828 |
| PBS | 0.0407 | 0.0402 | 0.0405 | 0.0872 | 0.0884 | 0.0873 |  |
| Average |  | 0.0405 |  |  | 0.0876 |  | 0.0471 |
| PPB |  |  | (0.0828-0.0 | 1)/(0.0828 +0.0 | x100\% |  | 27.4 \% |

Fluorescent intensity measurement

|  | Control group |  |  |  |  | Sample group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + | Plasma + |
|  | PBS | PBS | PBS | AACACY- | AACACY- | AACACY- |
|  |  |  | HP $\beta$ CD | HP $\beta$ CD | HP $\beta C D$ |  |
| Plasma | 3 | 1 | 6 | 994 | 953 | 926 |
| PBS | 2 | 3 | 578 | 631 | 606 |  |



ABZWCY-HPßCD ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Size Distribution by Intensity



ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) mixed with SD rat plasma ( $50 \%$ in PBS)
Figure. S8. Size distribution by intensity.

Table S4 Results of DLS measurements.

| Table S4 Results of DLS measurements. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Substance | PDI | Peak 1 $[\mathrm{nm}]$ | Peak 2 $[\mathrm{nm}]$ | Peak 3 $[\mathrm{nm}]$ |  |
| ABZWCY-HPßCD | 0.37 | 0.63 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plasma protein | 0.94 | 0 | 11.60 | 178.2 |  |
| ABZWCY-HP $\beta C D$ mixed with <br> Plasma protein | 0.89 | 0.80 | 12.31 | 159.7 |  |

PDI, polydispersity index.

## 6. Stability studies in porcine liver esterase and purity investigation in HPLC

Porcine liver esterase (PLE, $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 150$ unit $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ) was added to ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD ( 10 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) or AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD $(5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in sodium phosphate buffer solution ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.5-8.0$ ). This mixture was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. After incubation it was filtered via sterile syringe filter with a membrane pore size of $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and transferred to a proper vial. Samples of three control groups such as PLE, native fluorophore and the corresponding marker were prepared according to the same procedure. Esterase enzymatic degradation was monitored by injecting $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each sample into HPLC. The gradient program is described in Table S5.



Figure. S9. High performance liquid chromatography (monitored at 254 nm ) of ABZWCY, ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD, AAZWCY, and AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD in PBS.

Table S5 Gradient program for HPLC.

| Tnstrument | Thermo scientific ultimate 3000 liquid chromatography |
| :--- | :--- |
| Column | Ascentis® C 18 columns, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 250 \times 21.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Needle wash | $0.1 \%$ concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in MeOH |
| Seal wash solution | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1: 9)$ |
| Detector | A photodiode array detector (UltiMate ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{DAD} 3000$ detector) |
| Flow rate | $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Injection volume | $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Absorbance | 254 nm and 710 nm |
|  | Solution A: $0.1 \%$ formic acid in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ;$ |
|  | Solution B: $0.1 \%$ formic acid in acetonitile |
| Gradient program | $0-10 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$ to $10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
|  | $10-12 \mathrm{~min}, 10 \%$ to $10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |

## 7. Cell viability evaluated by MTT assay.

The cytotoxicity of ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD and AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD were evaluated by using standard (4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay in a 96 -well plate set up to assess the viability of cultured cells. HK-2 human proximal tubular cell is an immortalized proximal tubule cell line from normal adult human kidney. Cells were cultured and incubated for 3 hours at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with various concentrations of ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD and AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD $(0.0125,0.025,0.05,0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS; Gibco). After the treatment cells were washed 2 times with HBSS and incubated with $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ MTT, freshly prepared in HBSS for 3 hours. After MTT incubation cells were washed 2 times with HBSS and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to the wells (in order to dissolve MTT crystals). The plates were then placed in an orbital shaker for a minimum of 30 min and subsequently absorbance was measured at 570 nm . The cell viability was calculated as the ratio of the absorbance of the sample to that of the control cells and expressed as a percentage. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

## 8. Principle and methods for transcutaneous measurements of kidney function

The transcutaneous assessment of renal function is based on the measurement of the fluorescence signal of a marker through the skin. A miniaturized transcutaneous device is comprised of two light emitting diodes (LEDs) and one photodiode (Figure S10a and 10b). The LEDs can blink every few seconds to excite a fluorescent marker using excitation wavelength of 700 nm . The fluorescent signal will be recorded by detecting the emission intensity of a fluorescent marker at 790 nm . Data are stored in the device and can be read out after measurement. ${ }^{[6]}$ The clearance half-life of fluorescent agents was calculated by software, which was developed by the Institute of Medical Technology of the University of Heidelberg. ${ }^{[7]}$ For this a 3-exponential function was fitted to the measured elimination curve, the peak of the curve was supposed to be $100 \%$. Also a 1-exponential function was applied from $50 \%$ to $15 \%$ of the peak height.


Figure. S10. (a) Transcutaneous device and battery used for the transcutaneous measurement, the photodiodes and LEDs in the device. (b) A schematic overview of the excitation and emission light between a transcutaneous device and fluorescent agents in capillary vessel after injection. (c-g) The fixation procedures of a transcutaneous device on the skin and measurements in a conscious rat.

Healthy Sprague Dawley rats (Body weight: 250-300 g, 8-10 weeks) were anesthetized for a short period with Isoflurane (Forene ${ }^{\circledR}$, AbbVie, Illinois, USA; Dosage: $5 \%$; Flow $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right): 5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ ) in order to fix the transcutaneous device on the back of rats. The back of the animals was depilated with an electric shaver (Figure $10 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$ ). After a baseline measurement for around 5 min , a fluorescent agent in saline (DeltaSelect, GmbH, Rimbach, Germany) was injected as a bolus by tail vein injection. The dosages of fluorescent agents are depended on fluorescent quantum yield and degree of labeling of each agent (IRDye $800 \mathrm{CW}: 0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, ABZWCY: $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, ABZWCY mixed with HP $\beta$ CD: $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ mixed with $22.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (HP $\beta C D$ ), ABZWCY-HP $\beta C D$ and ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD: $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Rats were conscious during the measurement and housed in separate cages. The devices were removed and the data were read out after 120 min of transcutaneous measurement. The clearance half-life of fluorescent agents was calculated by software. In probenecid or cimetidine inhibition studies, Sprague Dawley rats were treated in the same manner as described above. The rats group received $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ probenecid or cimetidine intraperitoneally injection 30 min prior to injection of the test agents. Conversion of clearance half-life into GFR can be performed if needed. The method is based on our previous studies. ${ }^{[8,9]}$

All experiments were conducted in accordance with the German Animal Protection Law and approved by the local authority (Regierungspräsidium Nordbaden, Karlsruhe Germany in agreement with EU guideline 2010/63/EU).

## 9. Bio-distribution studies by small animal imaging

Three healthy Sprague Dawley rats (Body weight 550 g ) were anesthetized for a short period with Isoflurane (Forene ${ }^{\circledR}$, AbbVie, Illinois, USA; Dosage: $5 \%$; Flow $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right): 5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ ). For the control rat, saline with the same volume of agents was injected intravenously. ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD and AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD (dosage, $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) were injected intravenously. After 5 hours, rats were scarified and fluorescence imaging was performed by using small animal imaging (PerkinElmer, excitation light at 700 nm , emission wavelength at 780 nm ). For each experiment, camera exposure time and image normalization were held constant.

## 10. Urinary recovery of injected dose in urine studies

Recovery of the injected dose in urine studies were conducted in conscious, healthy Sprague Dawley rats (Body weight: 250-300 g). The corresponding test agents with corresponding dosage (ABZWCY: $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, ABZWCY mixed with HP $\beta$ CD: $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ mixed with $22.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ( $\mathrm{HP} \beta \mathrm{CD}$ ), ABZWCY-HP $\beta C D$ and AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD: $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) were administered by tail vein injection. Urine was collected using metabolic cages in intervals of $1,2,3,6,9,24 \mathrm{~h}$ after intravenous injection of agents into rats. The samples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until they were analyzed. The urine samples were centrifuged for 8 min at $13000 \times \mathrm{g}$ and then filtered by $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ syringe filter. A series of working solution of each agent with concentrations between 0.02 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ were prepared. Appropriate volumes of the working solutions were added to blank urine and fluorescent intensity of those mixtures were measured in order to obtain an external calibration curve. Quantification of each of the agents in urine at each time point was performed via HPLC analysis and fluorescence intensity detection. The concentration in urine at each time point was calculated based on the external calibration standards curve between fluorescence intensity and the concentration of each of the agents.

Considering biological components within urine may interfere with the fluorescent intensity of agents, the fluorescent intensity of agent were tested to study whether there is difference in urine and PBS, the emission intensity and calibration curve are compared (Figure S11). The results indicated that the value in urine is a little bit higher than in PBS due to solvent effect, and exhibited a slightly difference from $3 \%$ to $4 \%$, while it is acceptable. This slightly difference can explained the urinary recoveries have some trial a little over $100 \%$ (Table S6 and S7), which can be found in other literatures studies. ${ }^{[10]}$



Figure S11. Fluorescent intensity and calibration curve of ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD in Urine and PBS.
Table S6 Urinary recovery of ABZWCY $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ and ABZWCY mixed with $\operatorname{HP} \beta C D(\mathrm{n}=3)$ within 24 hours.

| Time (h) | Urinary recovery of ABZWCY [\%] |  |  | Urinary recovery of ABZWCY mixed with HPBCD [\%] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 46.67 | 53.33 | 49.29 | 48.7 | 30 |  |
| 2 | 65 | 73.06 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | 66.2 | 68 | 81 |
| 6 | 87.22 | 81.95 | 89.05 | 73.5 | 82.3 |  |
| 9 |  | 86.39 | 96.94 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 98.33 | 93.3 | 106.6 | 93 | 91.2 | 105.3 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  | $99.4 \pm 4.8$ |  |  | $96.5 \pm 5.8$ |  |

Table S7 Urinary recovery of ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ and AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ within 24 hours.

| Time (h) | Urinary recovery of ABZWCY-HPßCD [\%] |  |  | Urinary recovery of AAZWCY-HPBCD [\%] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 74.2 |
| 2 | 59 | 61.8 | 63.3 | 75.23 | 59.5 | 90.65 |
| 3 |  | 82.2 |  |  | 75 | 101.3 |
| 6 | 92.4 |  | 80.5 | 103.83 | 88 |  |
| 9 | 99.9 | 90.10 | 86.1 | 105.45 | 95 | 102.33 |
| 24 | 103 | 96.4 | 91.7 | 108 | 97 | 105 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD |  | $\mathbf{9 7} \pm 3.8$ |  |  | $103.3 \pm 4.2$ |  |

## 11. Determination of urinary metabolites

Urine samples were collected and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analysis. Urine samples were centrifuged for 8 min at $13000 \times \mathrm{g}$ and then filtered through a $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ syringe filter. The filtered urine samples were determined by HPLC on a Thermo scientific ultimate 3000 liquid chromatography using Ascentis ${ }^{\circledR}$ C18 columns ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 250 \times 21.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The injection volume was $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The gradient program is described in Table S 5 . Select portions of the eluent were collected based on the processed HPLC signal and measured by a MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer.



Figure S12. Evaluation of metabolites of ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD and AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD (monitored at 710 nm ) in urine samples using HPLC assay (gradient program in table S5) and MALDI-TOF.
12. Transcutaneous measurements of kidney function in a nephropathy rat model

A transgenic rats (TGR) model with overexpression of the human Ang II type 1 receptor (hAT1) in podocytes was used. ${ }^{[11]}$ In this nephropathy model, the damage progressed to nephron loss via focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, leading to the degeneration of both glomerulus and tubule. ${ }^{[11]}$ Urine was collected using metabolic cages in 16 hours. The urine samples were centrifuged for 8 min at $13000 \times \mathrm{g}$ and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until they were analyzed. The method of transcutaneous measurement of kidney function in transgenic rats is the same with that in healthy rats aforementioned (Content 8 and Figure S10). Briefly, transgenic rats or age-matched wild type rats (Body weight: $550-600 \mathrm{~g}, 24-25$ weeks) were anesthetized for a short period with Isoflurane (Forene®, AbbVie, Illinois, USA; Dosage: $5 \%$; $\operatorname{Flow}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right): 5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ ) in order to fix the transcutaneous device on the back of rats. After a baseline measurement for around 5 min , NIR agent ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) in saline was injected as a bolus by tail vein injection. Rats were conscious during the measurement and housed in separate cages. The devices were removed and the data were read out after 120 min of transcutaneous measurement. The clearance half-life of fluorescent agents was calculated by software. Conversion of clearance half-life into GFR can be performed if needed, the method is based on our previous studies. ${ }^{[8, ~ 9] ~ A l l ~ e x p e r i m e n t s ~ w e r e ~}$ conducted in accordance with the German Animal Protection Law and approved by the local authority (Regierungspräsidium Nordbaden, Karlsruhe Germany in agreement with EU guideline 2010/63/EU).

## 13. NMR and mass spectra



Figure S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 3 in DMSO- $d_{6}$


Figure S14. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR of Compound 3 in DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$


Figure S15. Mass spectra of Compound 3


Figure S16. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 4 in DMSO- $d_{6}$


Figure S17. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 4 in DMSO- $d_{6}$


Figure S18. Mass spectra of Compound 4


Figure S19. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{Z W C Y}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S20. Mass spectra of ZWCY


Figure S21. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of ANCY in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S22. Mass spectra of ANCY


Figure S23. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of CACY in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S24. Mass spectra of CACY


Figure S25. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR of Compound 9 in $\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$


Figure S26. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 9 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure S27. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR of Compound 10 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure S28. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 10 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure S29. Mass spectra of 4-(2-azidoethyl) aniline


Figure S30. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of ABZWCY in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S31. Mass spectra of ABZWCY


Figure S32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of AAZWCY in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S33. Mass spectra of AAZWCY


Figure S34. Mass spectra of ABANCY


Figure S35. Mass spectra of AAANCY


Figure S36. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of ABCACY in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S37. Mass spectra of ABCACY


Figure S38. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of AACACY in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S39. Mass spectra of AACACY


Figure S40. 2D-NOESY spectra of ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a mixing time of 500 ms.

ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD product contains labeled part (ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD) and unlabeled part ( $\mathrm{HP} \beta \mathrm{CD}$ )





Figure S42. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S43. Mass spectra of ABZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD

AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD product contains labeled part (AAZWCY-HP $\beta C D$ ) and unlabeled part (HP $\beta \mathrm{CD} /$ propynyl-HP $\beta \mathrm{CD}$ )



HP $\beta$ CD or Propynyl-HP $\beta$ CD

$$
\text { R=H or } \stackrel{27}{\mathscr{2}=28 ~}
$$



Figure S44. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$




Figure S45. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR of AAZWCY-HP $\beta$ CD in $\mathbf{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S46. Mass spectra of AAZWCY-HPBCD

ABANCY-HP $\beta$ CD product contains labeled part (ABANCY-HP $\beta \mathrm{CD}$ ) and unlabeled part (HP $\beta \mathrm{CD}$ )


Figure S47. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of ABANCY-HP $\beta$ CD in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S48. Mass spectra of ABANCY-HP $\beta$ CD

AAANCY-HP $\beta$ CD product contains labeled part (AAANCY-HP $\beta$ CD) and unlabeled part (HP $\beta$ CD/propynyl-HP $\beta$ CD)



Figure S49. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of AAANCY-HP $\beta$ CD in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S50. Mass spectra of AAANCY-HPßCD

ABCACY-HP $\beta$ CD product contains labeled part ( $\mathrm{ABCACY}-\mathrm{HP} \beta \mathrm{CD}$ ) and unlabeled part (HP $\beta$ CD)


Figure S51. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of ABCACY-HP $\beta C D$ in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S52. Mass spectra of ABCACY-HP $\boldsymbol{\beta C D}$

AACACY-HP $\beta$ CD product contains labeled part (AACACY-HP $\beta C D$ ) and unlabeled part (HP $\beta$ CD/propynyl-HP $\beta$ CD)



HP $\beta$ CD or Propynyl-HP $\beta$ CD

$$
R=H \text { or } 2_{2}^{28}=29
$$

AACACY-HP $\beta$ CD


Figure S53. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of AACACY-HP $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{C D}$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S54. Mass spectra of AACACY-HP $\boldsymbol{\beta C D}$
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