Supporting Information

Free-standing hybrid films based on graphene and porous carbon particles for flexible supercapacitors

Guillermo A. Ferrero, Marta Sevilla, and Antonio B. Fuertes *

Instituto Nacional del Carbón (CSIC), P.O. Box 73, Oviedo 33080, Spain

*Corresponding author: abefu@incar.csic.es

Preparation of the carbon nanosheets ¹

In a typical synthesis procedure, 5 g of potassium citrate (Aldrich) was heattreated in a stainless steel reactor under nitrogen up to 850 °C) at a heating rate of 3 °C min⁻¹ and held at this temperature for 1 h. The black solid residue was then washed with HCI (10%). Finally, the solid was collected by filtration, washed with abundant distilled water, and dried at 120 °C for several hours. The carbon samples were denoted as *CK*.

Preparation of the carbon microspheres²

Synthesis of the hydrochar materials: Hydrochar materials were prepared by the hydrothermal carbonization of α -D-Glucose (96 %, Aldrich). Briefly, an aqueous solution of the raw material (0.25 M) was placed in a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave, heated up to 180 °C, and kept at this temperature for 5 h. The resulting carbonaceous solids (hydrochars) were recovered by centrifugation, washed with distilled water, and dried at 120 °C for several hours.

Synthesis of porous carbon materials: The hydrochar material was added to a dispersion that contained KHCO₃ and melamine (KHCO₃/hydrochar weight ratio=6 and melamine/hydrochar weight ratio=2). After the evaporation of the water (under magnetic stirring), the resulting mixture was heat-treated up to 800 °C (heating rate: 5 °Cmin⁻¹) under a N₂ gas flow and held at this temperature for 1 h. The activated carbon thus synthesized was denoted as *CS*.

Table	S1.	Chemical	composition	and	distribution	of	the	carbon	functional
groups	5.								

Functional groups (%)	Sample Code			
·	GP	GP-CK	GP-CS	
C-C sp ²	55.6	54.6	57.9	
C-C sp³	23.2	22.4	22.1	
C in carbonyl (C=O)	8.3	7.9	7.5	
C-0	8.5	8.6	7.5	
0-C=0	2.9	4.1	3.4	
π - π^* shake-up satellite	1.5	2.4	1.6	

Table S2. Comparison of the cell areal capacitance for supercapacitors based on graphene films and hybrid graphene films reported in the literature (twoelectrode cell system).

Meterial	Electrolyte	Areal cell capac	Deference	
Wateria		Low discharge rates	High discharge rates	Reference
GP	$1 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$	103 (0.23 mA cm ⁻²)	70 (1190 mA cm ⁻²)	This work
GP-CK	$1 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$	136.2 (0.23 mA cm ⁻²)	80 (1380 mA cm ⁻²)	This work
GP-CS	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	160 (0.29 mA cm ⁻²)	103 (1242 mA cm ⁻²)	
Graphene-cellulose	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	41	23	3
Graphene gel	$1 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$	33.8 (1 mA cm ⁻²)	26.4 (100 mA cm ⁻²)	4
Solvated Graphene Film	$1 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$	48 (0.045 mA cm ⁻²)	35 (486 mA cm ⁻²)	5
Holey Graphene Paper	$1 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$	104.5 (1 mA cm ⁻²)	78.5 (20 mA cm ⁻²)	6
Graphene Hydrogel Films	$1 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	190 (2 mA cm ⁻²)	152 (20 mA cm ⁻²)	7
Hierarchical Porous Graphene Films	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	71 (1 mA cm ⁻²)	54.7 (100 mA cm ⁻²)	8
Chemically converted graphene hydrogel films	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	95 (0.1 mA cm ⁻²)	50 (200 mA cm ⁻²)	9
Crumpled Graphene Papers	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	81	58 (57.6 mA cm ⁻²)	10
Functionalized Graphene Hydrogel Film	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	225	175 (20 mA cm ⁻²)	11
RGO-PPy membrane	2 M KCL	175 (1 mV s⁻¹)	125 (400 mV s ⁻¹)	12
Graphene/ Polyaniline/Graphene Nanocomposite Paper	$1 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	290 (1 mA cm ⁻²)	187 (10 mA cm ⁻²)	13
Flexible graphene paper pillared by carbon black	6 M KOH	19.3 (10 mV s ⁻¹)	11.4 (500 mV s ⁻¹)	14
Graphene– CMK-5	6 M KOH	178.5 (0.6 mA cm ⁻²)	-	15
Multifunctional aerogel	6 М КОН	77.5 (0.2 mA cm ⁻²)	38.8 (70 mA cm ⁻²)	16

Table S3. Comparison of the cell areal capacitance for solid-statesupercapacitors assembled with graphene films and hybrid graphene filmsreported in the literature.

Motorial	Electrolyte	Areal cell capaci	Deference	
Material		Low discharge rates	High discharge rates	Reference
GP	H_2SO_4 -PVA	108.1 (0.26 mA cm ⁻²)	91 (52.2 mA cm ⁻²)	This work
GP-CS	H ₂ SO ₄ -PVA	165 (0.52 mA cm ⁻²)	96 (51.7 mA cm ⁻²)	This work
Graphene-cellulose paper	H ₂ SO ₄ -PVA	46 (2 mV s ⁻¹)	-	3
Holey Graphene Paper	H ₂ SO ₄ -PVA	100.5 (1 mA cm ⁻²)	70 (20 mA cm ⁻²)	6
Graphene Hydrogel Films	H ₂ SO ₄ -PVA	372 (2 mA cm ⁻²)	260 (40 mA cm ⁻²)	7
Functionalized Graphene Hydrogel Film	H_2SO_4 -PVA	206 (1 mA cm ⁻²)	152 (20 mA cm-2)	11
Graphene/ Polyaniline/Graphe ne Nanocomposite Paper	H ₂ SO ₄ -PVA	121 (0.2 mA cm ⁻²)	75 (10 mA cm ⁻²)	13
Graphene nanosheets incorporated hierarchical porous carbon	H ₂ SO ₄ -PVA	76.1 (10 mA cm ⁻²)	30 (30 mA cm ⁻²)	17
Electrochemically exfoliated Graphene and nanoporous activated graphene	H ₂ SO ₄ -PVA	89.5 (10 mV s ⁻¹)	40.4 (1000 mV s ⁻¹)	18
Cellulose nanofiber– graphene	H ₂ SO ₄ -PVA	158	-	19
Polypyrrole-coated paper	H ₃ PO ₄ -PVA	420 (1 mA cm ⁻²)	230 (20 mA cm ⁻²)	20



Figure S1. (a) SEM and, (b) TEM images of a wrinkled graphene sheet and a graphene nanoscroll, (c) HRTEM image of a graphene sheets showing the inplane nanopores and (d) a cross-section figure of the GP paper.



Figure S2. SEM and TEM images of CK (a, b) and CS (c, d) carbon particles.



Figure S3. (a) Nitrogen sorption isotherms and (b) pore size distributions deduced by means of the QSDFT method for the CK and CS porous carbon samples.



Figure S4. High-resolution XPS N1s spectrum of the GP-CS paper.



Figure S5. Cyclic voltammograms at 5 mV s⁻¹ for (a) the CK and GP-CK samples and (b) the CS and GP-CS samples, and variation of the electrode gravimetric capacitance with the current density for (c) the CK and GP-CK samples and (d) the CS and GP-CS samples. Electrolyte: 1 M H_2SO_4 .



Figure S6. (a) Bode plot, (b) Nyquist plot, (c) galvanostatic charge-discharge cycles at ~ 0.5 mA cm⁻² and (d) long-term cycling stability at 12 mA cm⁻² for the supercapacitors assembled with the GP, GP-CK and GP-CS papers. Electrolyte: $1 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$.



Figure S7. (a) Image of the solid-state supercapacitor device and (b) a red LED lit by two flexible supercapacitors connected in series. Electrode material GP-CS.

References

- 1. M. Sevilla and A. B. Fuertes, ACS Nano, 2014, **8**, 5069-5078.
- 2. M. Sevilla and A. B. Fuertes, *ChemSusChem*, 2016, **9**, 1880-1888.
- 3. Z. Weng, Y. Su, D.-W. Wang, F. Li, J. Du and H.-M. Cheng, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, **1**, 917-922.
- 4. U. N. Maiti, J. Lim, K. E. Lee, W. J. Lee and S. O. Kim, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 615-619.
- 5. X. Yang, J. Zhu, L. Qiu and D. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 2833-2838.
- 6. Y. Xu, C.-Y. Chen, Z. Zhao, Z. Lin, C. Lee, X. Xu, C. Wang, Y. Huang, M. I. Shakir and X. Duan, *Nano Letters*, 2015, **15**, 4605-4610.
- 7. Y. Xu, Z. Lin, X. Huang, Y. Liu, Y. Huang and X. Duan, ACS Nano, 2013, **7**, 4042-4049.
- 8. Z. Xiong, C. Liao, W. Han and X. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 4469-4475.
- 9. X. Yang, C. Cheng, Y. Wang, L. Qiu and D. Li, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 534-537.
- 10. J. Zang, C. Cao, Y. Feng, J. Liu and X. Zhao, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 6492.
- 11. Y. Xu, Z. Lin, X. Huang, Y. Wang, Y. Huang and X. Duan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 5779-5784.
- 12. J. Zhang, P. Chen, B. H. L. Oh and M. B. Chan-Park, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 9860-9866.
- 13. F. Xiao, S. Yang, Z. Zhang, H. Liu, J. Xiao, L. Wan, J. Luo, S. Wang and Y. Liu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 9359.
- 14. G. Wang, X. Sun, F. Lu, H. Sun, M. Yu, W. Jiang, C. Liu and J. Lian, *Small*, 2012, **8**, 452-459.
- 15. Z. Lei, Z. Liu, H. Wang, X. Sun, L. Lu and X. S. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 2313-2321.
- 16. J. Zhu, X. Yang, Z. Fu, J. He, C. Wang, W. Wu and L. Zhang, *Chemistry A European Journal*, 2016, **22**, 2515-2524.
- 17. Z. Zhu, H. Jiang, S. Guo, Q. Cheng, Y. Hu and C. Li, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 15936.
- 18. Z.-S. Wu, S. Yang, L. Zhang, J. B. Wagner, X. Feng and K. Müllen, *Energy Storage Materials*, 2015, **1**, 119-126.
- K. Gao, Z. Shao, J. Li, X. Wang, X. Peng, W. Wang and F. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 63-67.
- 20. L. Yuan, B. Yao, B. Hu, K. Huo, W. Chen and J. Zhou, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 470-476.