# Modulation of Phase Separation at micron scale and nanoscale in Hybrid Polymer/Lipid Giant Unilamellar Vesicles (GHUVs)

T.P.Tuyen Dao<sup>(a)(b)(c)</sup>, F. Fernandes<sup>(c)</sup>, E. Ibarboure<sup>(a)(b)</sup>, K. Ferji<sup>(a)(b)</sup>, M. Prieto<sup>(c)</sup>, O. Sandre<sup>(a)(b)</sup> and J. F. Le Meins<sup>(a)(b)</sup>

(a)University of Bordeaux, LCPO UMR 5629, 16 avenue Pey Berland, F-33600 Pessac, France (b)CNRS, Laboratoire de Chimie des Polymères Organiques, UMR 5629, F-33600, Pessac, France (c)Centro de Química-Física Molecular and Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, Universidade de Lisboa Instituto Superior Técnico, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## Table of contents

S1. <sup>1</sup> H NMR Characterization of Triblock copolymers	.1
S2. SEC characterization of the triblock copolymers	.3
S3. Cryo-TEM	.4
S4. Confocal imaging	.4
S5. Detection of the nanodomains by FLIM-FRET	.6
S5. Natural cooling process of hybrid vesicle suspensions	.8

## S1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR Characterization of Triblock copolymers



Figure S1. The coupling between the blocks of the copolymers was monitored through disappearance of proton signal from NHS group and the position shift of proton near amine group. From the top to the bottom: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum in CDCl<sub>3</sub> of PEO-NHS; PEO-b-PDMS-b-PEO and NH<sub>2</sub>-PDMS-NH<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of triblock copolymer PEO<sub>8</sub>-b-PDMS<sub>22</sub>-b-PEO<sub>8</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub>





Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of triblock copolymer PEO<sub>12</sub>-*b*-PDMS<sub>43</sub>-*b*-PEO<sub>12</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

Figure S4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of triblock copolymer PEO<sub>17</sub>-*b*-PDMS<sub>67</sub>-*b*-PEO<sub>17</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



#### S2. SEC characterization of the triblock copolymers

Figure S5. Normalized SEC curves of all triblock copolymers and PEO-NHS in THF. H<sub>2</sub>N-PDMS-NH<sub>2</sub> cannot be characterized because its refractive index is very close to the refractive index of THF, thus its RI increment in THF is nearly zero.

SEC analysis demonstrates that all remaining PEO-NHS was totally removed after the dialysis procedure.

### S3. Cryo-TEM



Figure S6. Cryo-TEM pictures of self-assembly from:  $PEO_{8}-b-PDMS_{22}-b-PEO_{8}$  (A);  $PEO_{12}-b-PDMS_{43}-b-PEO_{12}$  (B) and  $PEO_{17}-b-PDMS_{67}-b-PEO_{17}$  (C). Their membrane thicknesses vary from comparable to significantly higher than liposome membrane:  $5.4\pm0.4$ nm;  $8.8\pm0.5$  nm and  $11.2\pm1.2$  nm respectively.

# **S4.** Confocal imaging



Figure S7. The equatorial z-section images of 1.5K/POPC GHUVs labelled with  $PDMS_{26}-g-(PEO_{12})_2$ -FITC (green channel) and DOPE-Rhod (red channel) observed by confocal fluorescence microscopy at room temperature. Scale bars: 5 µm.



Figure S8. Fission phenomenon occurring in 1.5K/POPC GHUVs at high temperature for both cases of budded lipid domain (left 90 weight % POPC) and budded polymer domain (right: 80 weight % POPC). Scale bars: 5  $\mu$ m.



Figure S9. The equatorial z-section images of 3K/POPC GHUVs labelled with  $PDMS_{26}-g-(PEO_{12})_2$ -FITC (green channel) and DOPE-Rhod (red channel) observed by confocal fluorescence microscopy at room temperature. Scale bars: 5 µm.



Figure S10. Fission of budded domains in 3K/POPC (90 weight % POPC) GHUVs promoted by temperature increases. Scale bars:  $5 \mu m$ .



Figure S11. Confocal slice of  $PEO_{17}$ -*b*-PDMS<sub>67</sub>-*b*-PEO<sub>17</sub>/POPC GHUVs obtained from an initial mixture containing 75% POPC (% wt). Polymer is labelled with PDMS<sub>26</sub>-*g*-(PEO<sub>12</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-FITC and lipid with DOPE-Rhod. scale bars: 5  $\mu$ m



Figure S12. Phase separation in mixture of DPPC and triblock copolymers observed at 5% w/w of DPPC incorporated: from left to right: (1.5K/DPPC,3K/DPPC 5K/DPPC).. Scale bars: 5 µm.

## **S5. Detection of the nanodomains by FLIM-FRET**

Table S1. FITC fluorescence lifetime (unit: ns) in each individual vesicle of 1.5K/POPC obtained from fluorescence single decay measurements ( $T_D$ : fluorescence lifetime in GHUV labeled with only donor- PDMS<sub>26</sub>-g-(PEO<sub>12</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-FITC;  $T_{DA}$ : fluorescence lifetime in GHUV labeled with both donor and acceptor-DOPE-Rhod).

1.5K/POPC								
0% POPC		5% P	5% POPC		10% POPC		15% POPC	
$T_{D}$	$T_{DA}$	$T_{D}$	$T_{DA}$	Τ <sub>D</sub>	$T_{DA}$	$T_{D}$	T <sub>DA</sub>	
3.228	2.608	3.131	2.572	2.992	2.55	2.827	2.644	
3.164	2.618	3.020	2.636	2.996	2.58	2.886	2.631	
2.956	2.522	2.914	2.493	2.925	2.573	2.936	2.628	
3.054	2.641	3.003	2.594	2.841	2.623	2.947	2.707	
3.126	2.625	3.005	2.664	2.888	2.533	2.837	2.637	
2.975	2.697	3.057	2.635	2.917	2.543	2.885	2.623	
3.277	2.632	3.213	2.668	2.960	2.538	2.931	2.615	
3.044	2.664	3.082	2.663	2.889	2.638	2.929	2.58	
3.130	2.634	3.159	2.626	2.979	2.503	2.850	2.681	
3.067	2.677		2.592	2.925	2.59	2.876	2.611	
			2.572					
			2.614					
			2.61					
			2.665					
			2.606					
			2.589					

Table S2. FITC fluorescence lifetime (unit: ns) in each individual vesicles of 3K/POPC obtained from fluorescence single decays measurements (TD : fluorescence lifetime in GHUV labeled with only donor-  $PDMS_{26}-g-(PEO_{12})_2$ -FITC; TDA : fluorescence lifetime in GHUV labeled with both donor and acceptor-DOPE-Rhod).

	3K/POPC						
0% POPC		10% POPC		40% POPC			
	$T_{D}$	T <sub>DA</sub>	T <sub>D</sub>	$T_{DA}$	T <sub>D</sub>	$T_{\text{DA}}$	
	2.981	2.604	2.868	2.727	2.873	2.808	
	3.017	2.629	2.831	2.759	2.862	2.771	
	3.156	2.813	2.856	2.723	2.913	2.866	
	3.021	2.702	2.826	2.624	2.963	2.749	
	3.080	2.673	2.879	2.705	2.738	2.863	

3.035	2.597	2.823	2.661	2.839	2.811
3.058	2.598	2.873	2.717	2.759	2.804
3.049	2.686	2.747	2.734	2.776	2.733
3.031	2.621	2.844	2.673	2.766	2.708
3.121		2.689	2.552	2.769	2.821
2.979			2.675	2.724	
2.990			2.657	2.725	
3.007				2.731	
3.041				2.696	
2.993				2.786	
2.993					

Table S3. FITC fluorescence lifetime (unit: ns) in each individual vesicle of DOW/POPC obtained from fluorescence single decay measurements ( $T_D$ : fluorescence lifetime in GHUV labeled with only donor- PDMS<sub>26</sub>-g-(PEO<sub>12</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-FITC;  $T_{DA}$ : fluorescence lifetime in GHUV labeled with both donor and acceptor-DOPE-Rhod).

DOW/POPC							
0% POPC		10%	10% POPC		15% POPC		
Τ <sub>D</sub>	T <sub>DA</sub>	Τ <sub>D</sub>	$T_{DA}$	T <sub>D</sub>	$T_{DA}$		
3.024	2.676	2.959	2.642	2.695	2.539		
3.052	2.642	2.972	2.597	2.723	2.536		
2.984	2.629	2.873	2.658	2.715	2.535		
2.993	2.743	2.906	2.592	2.738	2.639		
3.026	2.652	2.861	2.620	2.774	2.562		
3.123	2.739	2.941	2.608	2.793	2.522		
3.118	2.650	2.869	2.607	2.752	2.543		
2.973	2.711	2.885	2.556	2.710	2.540		
3.027	2.723	2.864	2.600	2.711	2.527		
2.931	2.645			2.761			





(a) 1.5K/POPC 95%/5% GHUV labeled with only donor (PDMS<sub>26</sub>-g-(PEO<sub>12</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-FITC), with a fluorescence lifetime of  $\sim$  3.1 ns.

(b) 1.5K/POPC 95%/5% GHUV labeled with both donor (PDMS<sub>26</sub>-g-(PEO<sub>12</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-FITC) and acceptor (DOPE-Rhod), with a fluorescence lifetime of ~ 2.6 ns.

FRET efficiency is determined *via* the changes in fluorescence lifetime of the donor in the absence ( $\tau_D$ ) and presence ( $\tau_{DA}$ ) of the acceptor, obtained from FLIM measurements:

$$E = 1 - \frac{\tau_{DA}}{\tau_D}$$



## S6. Natural cooling process of hybrid vesicle suspensions

Figure S14. Evolution of temperature in the sample during the "natural" cooling process in room at 22°C.