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## I. FREE ENERGY IN THE CONTINUUM THEORY

Consider a general one-dimensional system whose energy is treated to quadratic order. The continuum energy takes the form
$U^{\mathrm{CT}}(w(x))=\frac{1}{2}\langle w(x)| \mathfrak{L}|w(x)\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L} w(x) \mathfrak{L} w(x) d x$, where $\mathfrak{L}$ is a self-adjoint real differential operator. Our convention is that the eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{L}$ are of energy dimensions, and thus $w(x)$ is dimensionless. We work in the eigenbasis of $\mathfrak{L}$, which we denote by $w_{q_{1}}(x), \ldots, w_{q_{N}}(x)$. These functions are orthonormal, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} w_{q} w_{q^{\prime}} d x=\delta_{q q^{\prime}}, \quad \text { and }  \tag{S1}\\
& \left\langle w_{q}\right| \mathfrak{L}\left|w_{q^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\lambda_{q} \delta_{q q^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{q}$ is the eigenvalue associated with $w_{q}$. The eigenmodes span the functional space and a general configuration $w(x)$ can be written as $w(x)=\sum_{q} a_{q} w_{q}(x)$ where $a_{q} \equiv\left\langle w(x) \mid w_{q}\right\rangle$. The energy is thus written as $U^{\mathrm{CT}}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q} \lambda_{q} a_{q}^{2}$, and the partition function, defined in Eq. (26) of the main text, reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z^{\mathrm{CT}}=\int D w e^{-\beta\langle w| \mathfrak{L}|w\rangle} \Theta(\varepsilon-w(L))  \tag{S2}\\
& =\int d^{N} a_{q} \exp \left[-\beta \sum_{q} \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{q} a_{q}^{2}\right] \Theta\left(\varepsilon-\sum_{q} a_{q} w_{q}(L)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This is a multivariate Gaussian integral over a half-space. In Sec. IV of this file we derive a general formula for integrals of this type (Eq. (S25)). Applying this formula to Eq. (S2) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
Z^{\mathrm{CT}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(2 \pi)^{N}}{\beta^{N} \operatorname{det} \mathfrak{L}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left[\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\beta \kappa_{h}}{\ell^{\mathrm{CT}}}}\right]\right)  \tag{S3}\\
\ell^{\mathrm{CT}} & \equiv 2 L \sum_{q}\left(\frac{w_{q}(L)}{q L}\right)^{2} \tag{S4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{erf}(\cdot)$ is the standard error function and the relation $\lambda_{q}=\kappa_{h} L q^{2}$ was used. det $\mathfrak{L}$ is defined as $\prod_{q} \lambda_{q}$. The
factor $\frac{1}{2}(2 \pi)^{N / 2}$ is of no physical importance and will be omitted in what follows.

Note that here we take into account exactly $N$ continuum modes, which is basically a choice of an ultraviolet cutoff on $q$. The results presented here do not depend qualitatively on the choice of the ultraviolet cutoff, as long as the number of modes scales with $N$, which is anyway a trivial requirement from any reasonable cutoff scheme.
The free energy is thus given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\mathrm{CT}}^{\mathrm{CT}} \equiv-k_{B} T \log Z^{\mathrm{CT}}=F_{\mathrm{uc}}^{\mathrm{CT}}+F_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{CT}} \\
& F_{\mathrm{uc}}^{\mathrm{CT}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} k_{B} T \log \left(\beta^{N} \operatorname{det} \mathfrak{L}\right) \\
& F_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{CT}} \equiv-\frac{1}{2} k_{B} T \log \left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left[\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\beta \kappa_{h}}{\ell^{\mathrm{CT}}}}\right]\right) \tag{S5}
\end{align*}
$$

We now turn to calculate det $\mathfrak{L}$, which is done by explicit calculation of the eigenmodes. Since the wavenumbers are given approximately by Eqs. (19)-(20) of the main text, the calculation of $F^{\mathrm{CT}}$ is straightforward. The eigenvalue associated with the wavenumber $q$ is $\lambda_{q}=L \kappa_{h} q^{2}$ and thus

$$
\operatorname{det} \mathfrak{L}=\prod_{q} \lambda_{q}=\prod_{n} \kappa_{h} L q_{n}^{2}=\left(\frac{\kappa_{h}}{L}\right)^{N} C^{2 N}(N!)^{2}
$$

This immediately leads to
$F^{\mathrm{CT}}=\frac{1}{2} k_{B} T \log \left[\beta^{N} \operatorname{det} \mathfrak{L}\right]=$
$N k_{B} T\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\beta \kappa_{h}}{L}\right)-\log \left(\frac{\phi \Delta+1-\phi}{\pi}\right)+\frac{1}{N} \log N!\right]$.
We now apply Stirling's approximation, which we write as $\log (N!) \approx N \log \left(\frac{N}{e}\right)$ and after some rearrangement we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\mathrm{CT}} \approx & N k_{B} T\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\beta \kappa_{h}}{L / N}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\log (\Delta \phi+1-\phi)+\log \left(\sqrt{N} \frac{\pi}{e}\right)\right] \tag{S7}
\end{align*}
$$

The free energy of a homogeneous polymer, $f^{\text {CT }}$ is immediately obtained by setting $\phi=0$.

## II. FREE ENERGY IN THE DISCRETE THEORY

Here we present the calculation of the free energy associated with $\boldsymbol{H}^{(1)}$ in the discrete formalism. We want
to calculate the partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\mathrm{DT}}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^{N} \boldsymbol{w} e^{-\beta U^{\mathrm{DT}}(\boldsymbol{w})} \Theta\left(\varepsilon-w_{N}\right) \tag{S8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\mathrm{DT}}(\boldsymbol{w})=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{i}\left(\frac{w_{i}-w_{i-1}}{\Delta x}\right)^{2} \Delta x . \tag{S9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unlike the continuum case described in the previous section, here the calculation can be performed without explicit reference to the eigenmodes. The trick is to use the non-orthogonal change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_{i}}{\Delta x}}\left(w_{i}-w_{i-1}\right), \quad w_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} y_{j} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta x}{\kappa_{j}}} . \tag{S10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Jacobian of this transformation is $\prod_{i} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_{i}}{\Delta x}}=$ $\sqrt{\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{H}}$. With the new variables $y_{i}$ the energy takes the simple form $U=\frac{1}{2}\|\boldsymbol{y}\|^{2}$. The partition function is thus
$Z^{\mathrm{DT}}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{H}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^{N} \boldsymbol{y} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}|\boldsymbol{y}|^{2}} \Theta\left(\varepsilon-\sum_{j} y_{j} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta x}{\kappa_{j}}}\right)$.
This is a Gaussian integral over a half-space, for which we derive an explicit formula in Sec. IV of this file (Eq. (S25)). The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\mathrm{DT}}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(2 \pi)^{N}}{\beta^{N} \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{H}}}\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left[\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\beta \kappa_{\text {eff }}}{2 \Delta x}}\right]\right), \tag{S11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we introduced the notation $\kappa_{\text {eff }} \equiv\left(\sum \kappa_{i}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$, i.e. the effective spring constant of the chain.

Note that this expression holds for an arbitrary choice of $\kappa_{i}$, and also that it is invariant to permutations in the order of the $\kappa_{i}$ 's (since $\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{H}$ is). If we assume $\kappa(x)$ has the form described in the main text, i.e. $N \phi$ springs have a spring constant of $\kappa_{s}$ and $N(1-\phi)$ have a spring constant of $\kappa_{h}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa_{\text {eff }}=\left(\frac{N \phi}{\kappa_{s}}+\frac{N(1-\phi)}{\kappa_{h}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{\kappa_{h} / N}{\Delta^{2} \phi+(1-\phi)},  \tag{S12}\\
& \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{H}=\prod_{i} \frac{\kappa_{i}}{\Delta x}=\left(\frac{\kappa_{h}}{\Delta x}\right)^{N} \Delta^{-2 N \phi} . \tag{S13}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the free energy is

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\mathrm{DT}} & \equiv-k_{B} T \log Z^{\mathrm{DT}}=F_{i}^{\mathrm{DT}}+F_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{DT}} \\
F_{\mathrm{uc}}^{\mathrm{DT}} & \equiv \frac{1}{2} k_{B} T \log \left(\beta^{N} \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{H}\right) \\
& =\frac{N}{2} k_{B} T\left[\log \left(\frac{\beta \kappa_{h}}{L / N}\right)-\phi \log \Delta\right]  \tag{S14}\\
F_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{CT}} & \equiv-\frac{1}{2} k_{B} T \log \left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left[\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\beta \kappa_{h}}{\ell^{\mathrm{DT}}}}\right]\right), \\
\ell^{\mathrm{DT}} & =2 L\left(\Delta^{2} \phi+(1-\phi)\right) . \tag{S15}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. S1. The spectrum of the SFT operator (dashed lines) and the discrete operator (solid lines) for the case $\xi=L / 25$ (green) and $\xi=0$ (blue) which is discussed in the manuscript. Inset: The smoothed $\kappa(x)$ (solid line) and the discrete $\kappa_{i}$ (points). The parameters used are the same as those of Fig. 1 of the main text, together with $\xi=L / 25$. The shaded regions, each of width $2 \xi$, show the region where $\kappa$ varies.

## III. SMOOTH VARIATION OF $\kappa(x)$

The continuum eigenmodes of a system with a smoothly varying $\kappa(x)$ can be obtained using a straightforward shooting method. The Sturm-Liouville problem associated with $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\kappa(x) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)=\lambda w(x) \tag{S16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is interpreted as a differential equation which is integrated with the initial conditions $w(0)=0$ and $w^{\prime}(0)=1$. The equation is integrated up to $x=L$ and the value $w^{\prime}(L ; \lambda)$ is obtained as a function of $\lambda$. The eigenvalues are those $\lambda$ for which $w^{\prime}(L ; \lambda)=0$. These are found using standard root-finding methods.

To explore the effect of the smoothness of $\kappa(x)$ on the results, we chose a specific form of smoothing. Instead of a sharp step function, defined as

$$
\Theta(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & x<0  \tag{S17}\\
1 & x>0
\end{array},\right.
$$

we use a hyperbolic tangent function that varies over a finite lengthscale $\xi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(x ; \xi)=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\tanh \left(\frac{2 x}{\xi}\right)\right] . \tag{S18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\xi$ can be significantly larger than the monomeric lengthscale. Equation (S17) in recovered in the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$. An example of a smoothed $\kappa(x)$ with $\xi=L / 25$ is shown in Fig. S1. The computed spectra are also shown and it is seen that the effect of $\xi$ on the spectrum is small and the qualitative discrepancies between the continuum and
discrete theories persist. Moreover, the qualitative discrepancies between the continuum and discrete theories are independent of $\xi$, at least as long as $\xi \ll L$.

## IV. HALF-SPACE GAUSSIAN INTEGRALS

The partition function, defined in Eq. (26) of the main text, is a multivariate Gaussian integral over a half space. In this section we calculate such an integral in a general manner, to be used in calculations of $Z^{\mathrm{DT}}$ and $Z^{\mathrm{CT}}$.

We want to calculate the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{v})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^{N} \boldsymbol{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}} \Theta(b-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}) \tag{S19}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, the integral of a multivariate Gaussian over the half space defined by $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}<b$. $\boldsymbol{v}$ is an arbitrary real vector and $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a strictly positive-definite symmetric matrix. We begin with the simpler case where $\boldsymbol{A}$ is diagonal. The generalization for the non-diagonal case will be immediate. The integral is then

$$
I(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{v})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^{N} \boldsymbol{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{2}} \Theta(b-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{x})
$$

where the $\lambda_{i}$ 's are the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{A}$. We replace the Heaviside function by the integral identity
$\Theta(b-x)=\int_{-\infty}^{b} \delta(z-x) d z=\int_{-\infty}^{b} \frac{d z}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega \exp [i \omega(z-x)]$, where two auxiliary variables, $\omega$ and $z$, were introduced. This identity holds for arbitrary $x, b \in \mathbb{R}$. With this replacement, after simple rearrangement the integral is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\int_{-\infty}^{b} \frac{d z}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \omega z} \times \\
& \quad\left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x_{j} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{j} x_{j}^{2}+i \omega v_{j} x_{j}\right)\right]\right) d \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a product of Gaussian integrals, for each of which we can use the integral identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} a z^{2} \pm i \omega z\right] d z=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{a}} \exp \left[-\frac{\omega^{2}}{2 a}\right] \tag{S21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ and real $a>0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\int_{-\infty}^{b} \frac{d z}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega e^{i \omega z} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda_{i}}} \exp \left[-\frac{\omega^{2} v_{i}^{2}}{2 \lambda_{i}}\right]  \tag{S22}\\
& =\sqrt{\frac{(2 \pi)^{N}}{\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{A}}} \int_{-\infty}^{b} \frac{d z}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum \frac{v_{i}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \omega^{2}+i \omega b}
\end{align*}
$$

The latter is again a Gaussian integral of the form of Eq. (S21), and denoting $D \equiv \sum_{i} \frac{v_{i}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}}=\boldsymbol{v}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{v}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\sqrt{\frac{(2 \pi)^{N}}{\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{A}}} \int_{-\infty}^{b} d z \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{D}} \exp \left[-\frac{z^{2}}{2 D}\right] \tag{S23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last integral is expressed in terms of the standard error function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{erf}(z) \equiv \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{z} e^{-x^{2}} d x \tag{S24}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{align*}
I(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{v}) & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(2 \pi)^{N}}{\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{A}}}\left[1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{2 D}}\right)\right],  \tag{S25}\\
D & \equiv \boldsymbol{v}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{v}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the derivation. While this is not necessary for the present needs, we note that the formula (S25) is valid also when $\boldsymbol{A}$ is not diagonal. This can be seen by a simple change of variables.

