Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Soft Matter. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

## **Supporting Information for**

## How water manifest the structural regimes in ionic liquids

Akhil Pratap Singh,<sup>1,2</sup> Ramesh Gardas,<sup>2</sup> and Sanjib Senapati<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bhupat and Jyoti Mehta School of Biosciences, Department of Biotechnology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India Phone: +91-44-2257-4122. Fax: +91-44-2257-4102.

E-mail: sanjibs@iitm.ac.in.



**Figure S1.** Molecular structures of (a) 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidinium benzoate and (b) 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidinium salicylate.



**Figure S2.** Variation in density of the binary mixtures of [TMG][BEN]/water with temperature at different concentration of IL. Experimental points are connected to aid the eye. The R<sup>2</sup> value of linear regression is included for each solution.



**Figure S3**. Variation in isentropic compressibility of the binary mixtures of [TMG][BEN]/water with temperature at different concentration of IL.



**Figure S4**. Experimental values of compressibility of the following ILs as a function of temperature. ■, [DMEA][Ace]; ●, [DMEA][Pro]; ▲, [DMEA][But]; ▼, [DMEA][Pent]; ■, [DMEA][Hex]. Data adopted from Ref. 40.



Figure S5. Distribution of water around the IL cations in the IL/water binary mixtures as obtained from liquid phase simulations: (a) 80 wt% IL solution, and (b) 20 wt% IL solution. Site-site RDFs are drawn for  $O_w$ -N<sub>TMG</sub>. Color scheme: black for 298K, red for 313K and green for 343K.



**Figure S6.** Hydrogen bond analysis for (a) 80 wt% IL and (b) 20 wt% IL aqueous solutions depicting the average number of H-bonds between IL anion and water with temperature. Color scheme: black for 298K, red for 313K and green for 343K.



**Figure S7.** Snapshots from the simulation boxes of [TMG][BEN]/water mixtures at (a) 80 wt% IL (b) 50 wt% IL and (c) 20 wt% IL content. Color scheme: cyan for IL, red for water.



**Figure S8.** Hydrogen bond analysis depicting the average number of H-bonds between water-water in different IL/water binary solutions at 298K.



**Figure S9.** Size distribution of the water aggregates in 80 wt% IL solution of [TMG][BEN] at 298K.

Table S1. List of systems simulated.  $N_{IL}$  and  $N_W$  represent number of ionic liquid and water molecules in the simulation systems.

Composition (wt %)	N <sub>IL</sub>	N <sub>W</sub>	Т (К)	Simulation box volume at equilibrium (nm <sup>3</sup> )
80 % [TMG][BEN] +	512	1688	298	221.33
20 % water				
80 % [TMG][BEN] +			313	225.19
20 % water				
80 % [TMG][BEN] +			343	231.24
20% water	510	(740	200	2(2.29
50 % [IMG][BEN] +	512	6/49	298	363.38
50 % Water			212	260.21
$50\%$ [IMO][BEN] $\pm$			515	309.21
50 % [TMG][BFN] +			343	380.92
50% water			515	500.72
20 % [TMG][BEN] +	512	26999	298	980.03
80 % water	-			
20 % [TMG][BEN] +			313	994.90
80 % water				
20 % [TMG][BEN] +			343	1025.10
80 % water				
20.0/ [TMC][SAI] +	510	1901	208	220.55
20% water	512	1001	290	229.33
80 % [TMG][SAL] +			313	233.40
20 % water				
80 % [TMG][SAL] +			343	239.59
20 % water				
50 % [TMG][SAL] +	512	7205	298	383.13
50 % water			212	
50 % [TMG][SAL] +			313	390.29
50% water $50%$ (TTMC)[SAL1+			242	400.80
$50\%$ [TMO][SAL] $\pm$			545	400.80
20 % [TMG][SAL] +	512	28820	298	1042 28
80% water	012	20020	270	1012.20
20 % [TMG][SAL] +			313	1055.28
80 % water				
20 % [TMG][SAL] +			343	1061.20
80 % water				

		298 K	313 K	343 K
80 %	Anion-Water	$-38.72 \pm 0.29$	$-37.70 \pm 0.13$	$-36.52 \pm 0.13$
+ 20% water	Cation-Water	$-8.90 \pm 0.09$	$-8.62 \pm 0.09$	$-8.40 \pm 0.07$
	Cation-Anion	$-8.38\pm0.07$	$-7.96 \pm 0.12$	$-7.49 \pm 0.05$
	Water-Water	$-3.21 \pm 0.003$	$-3.08 \pm 0.002$	$-2.83 \pm 0.002$
50 %	Anion-Water	$-104.87 \pm 0.65$	$-102.26 \pm 0.36$	$-98.32 \pm 0.19$
+ 50% water	Cation-Water	$-25.20 \pm 0.03$	$-24.94 \pm 0.02$	$-24.39 \pm 0.02$
	Cation-Anion	$-2.31 \pm 0.36$	$-2.72 \pm 0.16$	$-3.11 \pm 0.14$
	Water-Water	$-5.44 \pm 0.007$	$-5.28 \pm 0.004$	$-5.03 \pm 0.003$
20 %	Anion-Water	$-132.03 \pm 0.61$	$-130.21 \pm 0.89$	$-126.38 \pm 0.63$
+ 80% water	Cation-Water	$-39.38 \pm 0.06$	$-39.28 \pm 0.05$	$-39.06 \pm 0.04$
	Cation-Anion	$-21.36 \pm 0.21$	$-22.69 \pm 0.20$	$-20.50 \pm 0.22$
	Water-Water	$-8.14 \pm 0.006$	$-7.96 \pm 0.008$	$-7.59 \pm 0.004$

Table S2. Average interaction energies (kcal/mol) of various components in the IL/water mixtures.

Composition (wt %)	T (K)	<b>c</b> <sub>1</sub>	$\tau_1$	<b>c</b> <sub>2</sub>	$ au_2$	c <sub>3</sub>	$ au_3$	$ au_{avg}$
(	(11)							
80 %	298	0.30	49.07	0.17	1.38	0.53	339.90	316.87
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 20 % water								
80 %	313	0.60	32.16	0.20	200.71	0.20	5.51	143.78
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 20 % water								
80 %	343	0.15	111.82	0.51	17.45	0.34	1.50	78.32
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 20 % water								
20 %	298	0.20	1.28	0.64	10.65	0.16	37.88	22.94
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 80 % water								
20 %	313	0.65	8.56	0.13	32.79	0.22	1.16	18.38
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 80 % water								
20 %	343	0.10	25.28	0.63	6.04	0.27	1.08	12.69
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 80 % water								

**Table S3.** Fit parameters of the anion–water hydrogen bond time correlation function for representative IL/water binary mixtures with temperature. All  $\tau$  values are in picosecond.

Composition (wt %)	Т (К)	<b>c</b> <sub>1</sub>	$\tau_1$	<b>c</b> <sub>2</sub>	$ au_2$	c <sub>3</sub>	$ au_3$	$\tau_{avg}$
	•		07.05	0.00	1 ( 5 ( 2	0.00	0.02	1.40.10
80 %	298	0.28	27.05	0.33	165.63	0.39	0.83	148.12
[TMG][BEN]								
+20 % water								
80 %	313	0.50	0.94	0.40	16.77	0.10	110.94	72.26
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 20 % water								
80 %	343	0.36	0.02	0.36	2.61	0.28	19.12	16.55
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 20 % water								
20 %	298	0.81	0.98	0.19	6.23			4.09
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 80 % water								
20 %	313	0.14	5.81	0.86	0.91			3.39
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 80 % water								
20 %	343	0.92	0.79	0.08	5.48			2.53
[TMG][BEN]								
+ 80 % water								

**Table S4.** Fit parameters of the water–water hydrogen bond time correlation function for representative IL/water binary mixtures with temperature. All  $\tau$  values are in picosecond.

Table S5. Radius of gyration, Rg (Å) of the IL clusters at different water content and temperature

Composition (wt %)	298 K	313 K	343 K
80 % [TMG][BEN] + 20 % water	$7.71 \pm 0.09$	$7.90 \pm 0.18$	$8.25 \pm 0.06$
50.9/ $[TMC][DEN] + 50.9/$ water	$7.48 \pm 0.07$	$7.76 \pm 0.07$	$7.00 \pm 0.00$
50 /8 [1100][BEN] + 50 /8 water	/.48 ± 0.07	1.10±0.07	1.99 ± 0.09
20.0/ [TMC][DEN] + $80.0/$ water	5 56 1 0 00	$6.72 \pm 0.11$	$7.69 \pm 0.10$
20% [TMG][BEN] + $80%$ water	$5.30 \pm 0.09$	$0.72 \pm 0.11$	$7.08 \pm 0.10$