**Electronic Supplementary Information** 

## Beaded-Stream-like CoSe<sub>2</sub> Nanoneedles Array for Efficient

Hydrogen Evolution Electrocatalysis

Chuan-Pei Lee,<sup>a</sup> Wei-Fu Chen,<sup>\*a</sup> Tadesse Billo,<sup>bcd</sup> Yan-Gu Lin,<sup>e</sup> Fang-Yu Fu,<sup>af</sup>

Satya•N Samireddi, bgh Chih-Hao Lee, Jih-Shang Hwang, Kuei-Hsien Chen\*ab and

Li-Chyong Chen\*a

<sup>a</sup> Center for Condensed Matter Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan
 <sup>b</sup> Institute of Atomic and Molecular Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei 10617, Taiwan
 <sup>c</sup> Nano Science and Technology Program, Taiwan International Graduate Program, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan

<sup>d</sup> Engineering and System Science, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30012, Taiwan

<sup>e</sup> National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu, 30076, Taiwan

<sup>f</sup>Institute of Optoeletronic Science, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung 202, Taiwan

<sup>g</sup> Molecular Science and Technology Program, Taiwan International Graduate Program,

Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan

<sup>h</sup> Department of Chemistry, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30012, Taiwan.

\*Corresponding author: Tel: +886-2-33665200; Fax: +886-2-2365-5404

E-mail: wfchen@ntu.edu.tw

E-mail: <a href="mailto:chenkh@pub.iams.sinica.edu.tw">chenkh@pub.iams.sinica.edu.tw</a>

E-mail: chenlc@ntu.edu.tw

**Table S1.** Comparison of overpotential, mass activity and charge transfer resistance

 of cobalt selenide catalysts and reported non-precious metal catalysts in acid

 electrolyte.

| Catalysts  | $\eta_{20}$<br>(mV) <sup>a</sup>          | $j_0$ (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> ) <sup>b</sup> | <i>j</i> @η=150 mV<br>(mA cm <sup>-2</sup> ) <sup>c</sup>    | Loading<br>(mg cm <sup>-2</sup> ) | Mass activity<br>@n=150 mV<br>(mA mg <sup>-1</sup> ) | $R_{ m ct}$ ( $\Omega$ ) | ref             |
|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------|
| CoSe <sub>2</sub> -BSND                          | 125                                       | 4.3×10 <sup>-2</sup>                      | 35.0   | 1.60                              | 21.90  | 74 @ <i>η</i> =150 mV    | this work       |
| CoSe <sub>2</sub> -PA                            | 220                                       | 5.2×10 <sup>-3</sup>                      | 1.40   | 1.50                              | 0.93   | 310@ <i>η</i> =150 mV    | this work       |
| Co-BSND  | 325                                       | 2.1×10 <sup>-3</sup>                      | 0.13   | 0.45                              | 0.29   |                          | this work       |
| MoS <sub>2</sub> /RGO                            | 140                                       | N.A.                                      | 10.00  | 1.00                              | 10.00  | 250@η=120 mV             | 28              |
| Mo <sub>2</sub> C nanowires                      | 150                                       | N.A.                                      | 20.00  | 0.21                              | 95.20  | 90@η=150 mV              | 29              |
|  | $\eta$ @current density                   |   | <i>j</i> <sub>0</sub><br>(mA cm <sup>-2</sup> ) <sup>b</sup> | Loading<br>(mg cm <sup>-2</sup> ) | Tafel slop<br>(mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )                | $R_{ m ct}$ ( $\Omega$ ) | Ref             |
| MoS <sub>2</sub> nanosheets                      | 190 mV@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>             |   | $3.89\times10^{-2}$  | 0.285                             | 68.0   | N.A.                     | S1 <sup>d</sup> |
| Vertically aligned<br>MoSe <sub>2</sub> layers   | 450 mV@8 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>              |   | $2.0 	imes 10^{-3}$  | 0.135                             | 108.0  | N.A.                     | S2 <sup>d</sup> |
| CoSe <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on carbon fiber  | 137 mV@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>             |   | $4.9 	imes 10^{-3}$  | 2.8                               | 42.1   | N.A.                     | 23              |
| CoSe <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on Ti foil       | 135 mV@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>             |   | $6.4 	imes 10^{-2}$  | 3.0                               | 62.0   | N.A.                     | 26              |
| CoSe <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on graphite disk | 193 mV@10 mA cm <sup>-</sup> <sub>2</sub> |   | N.A.   | 2.8                               | 42.2   | 8.8@η= 240 mV            | S3 <sup>d</sup> |
| CoS <sub>2</sub><br>nanosheets/RGO-CNT           | 153 mV@20 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>             |   | 6.26× 10 <sup>-2</sup>                                       | 1.15                              | 51.0   | N.A.                     | S4 <sup>d</sup> |
| CoS2 thin film                                   | 192 mV                                    | @10 mAcm <sup>-2</sup>                    | $3.53 	imes 10^{-3}$   | N.A.                              | 52.0   | N.A.                     | S5 <sup>d</sup> |

<sup>a</sup> The overpotential for driving a current density at 20 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. <sup>b</sup> The exchange current density. <sup>c</sup> The

cathodic current density at fixed overpotential of 150 mV.

<sup>d</sup> Reference:

S1. Z. Zeng, C. Tan, X. Huang, S. Bao, H. Zhang ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 2101-2107.

S2. D. Kong, H. Wang, J. J. Cha, M. Pasta, K. J. Koski, J. Yao, Y. Cui Nano Lett., 2013, 13, 1341– 1347.

S3. H. Zhang, L. Leiab, X. Zhang RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 54344-54348.

S4. S. Peng et al. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 12594-12599.

S5. M. S. Faber, M. A. Lukowski, Q. Ding, N. S. Kaiser, S. Jin J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 21347-21356.



Fig. S1 SEM images of (a)  $Co_3O_4$  and (b)  $CoSe_2$  nanoneedle arrays taken at a magnification of 5,000x.



**Fig. S2** Energy–dispersive X–ray spectra of (a)  $Co_3O_4$  nanoneedles ( $Co_3O_4$ –ND) array and (b)  $CoSe_2$  beaded–stream-like nanoneedles ( $CoSe_2$ –BSND) array.



**Fig. S3** Fourier transformed magnitudes of the  $k^2$ -weighted Co K-edge EXAFS data for CoSe<sub>2</sub>-BSND and the Co foil (*k*=the photoelectron wavenumber).



**Fig. S4** (a) The SEM image, (b) EDX spectra, (c) XRD pattern and XPS spectra of (d) Co 2p and (e) Se 3d region of  $CoSe_2$  particles ( $CoSe_2$ –PA) film prepared by using cobalt sulfate heptahydrate as the precursor.



Fig. S5 The SEM image of beaded stream–like nanoneedle Co (Co–BSND) array formed by treating the  $Co_3O_4$ –ND template under H<sub>2</sub> at 400 °C.



**Fig. S6** Two-time constant equivalent circuit models for fitting the EIS response of hydrogen evolution reaction on  $CoSe_2$  electrodes, where  $R_s$  is the series resistance,  $R_{ct}$  is the charge transfer resistance,  $R_p$  related to the porosity of the electrode surface, and the double layer capacitance is represented by the elements  $C_{d1}$  and  $C_{d2}$ . Here  $R_s$  contains components arising from the resistance in the wiring ( $R_{wiring}$ ), the resistance of the Ti foil ( $R_{cp}$ ), the resistance of cobalt selenide ( $R_{CoSe}$ ), the resistance between the interface of CoSe<sub>2</sub> and Ti foil ( $R_{int}$ ), and the solution resistance ( $R_{soln}$ ).



Fig. S7 Optical images depicting the  $H_2$  bubbles pinning level on the surfaces of  $CoSe_2$ -BSND (left) and  $CoSe_2$ -PA (right) electrodes after electrolysis.



Fig. S8 A SEM micrograph of CoSe<sub>2</sub>-BSND electrode after the durability test for 18h.