Supporting Information

Optimized Nitrogen-Doped Carbon with Hierarchically Porous Structure as Highly Efficient Cathode for Na-O₂ Batteries

Jin-ling Ma,and Xin-bo Zhang*



Fig. S1 SEM image of the NC-based material.



Fig. S2 Pore size distribution curves of the NC-based materials.

Material	NC650	NC750	NC850	NNC
N content (%)	12.88	10.91	6.18	1.82

Table S1. N content of the five samples determined by CHNS element analysis.

Cathode	Loading (mg)	Discharge current density (mA g ⁻¹)	Discharge capacity (mAh g ⁻¹)	Cycle life	Limited Capacity for Cycling (mAh g ⁻¹)	Products	Reference
N330 carbon	0.25	75	2700	3	500	NaO ₂ , Na ₂ O ₂	[S1]
Super P	0.76-1.28	70	3600			Na ₂ O ₂ , Na ₂ CO ₃ , Na ₂ C ₂ O ₄	[S2]
NCNTs	0.24-0.36	25	1887	50	av. 350	NaO ₂ , Na ₂ O ₂	[S3]
NC750	0.5	200	5749	66	500	NaO ₂ , Na ₂ O ₂	This work

Table S2. Summary of reported electrochemical performance of Na-air/ O_2 cells with carbon-based cathodes.



Fig. S3 XRD patterns of the discharge products on the five cathodes.

It can be found that both sodium superoxide and peroxide appear in Na-O₂ cells, which is consistent with reported results.^{S4-5} And there are two NaO₂ phases exist in these cathodes, possibly resulting from complicated reaction mechanism and/or the crystalline structures conversion with time.^{S6} It should be noted that the intensity of NaO₂ is higher than that of Na₂O₂, indicating the preference of one-charge transfer in our system. Furthermore, NaO₂ and Na₂O₂ can easily react with H₂O (from electrolyte and atmosphere) to form Na₂O₂·2H₂O.



Scheme S1. Illustration of the mechanism for decomposition of discharge products on

N-doped carbon cathodes.



Fig. S4 FTIR of the pristine (a), the discharged (b), and the charged (c) cathode of the C, NNC, NC650, NC750, and NC850 respectively, in Na-O₂ batteries.



Fig. S5 EIS for Na-O₂ batteries with the C, NNC, NC650, NC750, and NC850 cathodes before discharging (a), after the first discharging (b), and after the first charging (c).

 Cathode
 C
 NNC
 NC850
 NC750
 NC650

 Electronic conductivity
 1.58
 1.15
 0.97
 1.25×10^{-1} 7.57×10^{-2} (S cm⁻¹)

 Table S3. The electronic conductivity of the C, NNC, NC850, NC750, NC650

 catalyst, respectively.



Fig. S6. GC results for O₂ and CO₂ released after charging in Na–O₂ cells with these cathode.



Fig. S7 CV curves in N₂-saturated and O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH for the C (a), NNC (b), NC650 (c), NC750 (d), NC850 (e) catalyst, and the corresponding ORR polarization curves at 1600 rpm (f).

In Fig. S7a-e, no obvious redox peak is observed for the five samples in N₂saturated solution. But when the solution is saturated with O_2 , a well-defined cathodic peak appears in these samples, confirming the electrocatalytic activity toward ORR. Note that the increase of the double-layer capacitance for as-prepared samples emerges when the solution is saturated with O_2 , which is likely due to the contribution of ORR current and some surface oxidation of these samples under ORR test (-1 to 0.2 V). Typically, the incomplete carbonization of NC650 endows it with much defects (Figure 2b), making it easy be oxidized under the ORR tests and thus resulting in the increase of the capacitance. As for NC850, it exhibits relatively low ORR performance and generates much mediate oxygen-containing products which are hard to depart from the surface of the catalyts immediately during the ORR process, accouting for the increase of the capacitance. Compared with the other samples, the more positive ORR peak potential as well as highest onset potential and largest cathodic current density achieved by NC750 suggests that optimized nitrogen content, species, and porous structure of the N-doped carbon materials play a critical role in catalysis (Fig. S7f).



Fig. S8 (a) ORR polarization curves for C, NNC, NC650, NC750, NC850, and (b) the accorrding Tafel plots.

Materials	NC850	NC750	NC650	NNC	С
Onset potetial for ORR (V vs. Ag/AgCl)	-0.15	-0.04	-0.16	-0.26	-0.29
Kinetic limiting current for ORR (mA cm ⁻²)	2.23	2.33	1.61	0.80	0.45
Onset potetial for OER (V vs. Ag/AgCl)	0.68	0.52	0.81	0.89	0.92
Tafel slope for OER (mV/decade)	315	198	291	708	423

 Table S4. Comparison of ORR and OER performance.

- S1 H. Yadegari, Y. Li, M. N. Banis, X. Li, B. Wang, Q. Sun, R. Li, T. K. Sham, X. Cui, X. Sun, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 3747.
- S2 S. K. Das, S. Xu, L. A. Archer, Electro. Commun., 2013, 27, 59.
- S3 Q. Sun, H. Yadegari, M. N. Banis, J. Liu, B. Xiao, B. Wang, S. Lawes, X. Li, R. Li, X. Sun, *Nano Energy*, 2015, **12**, 698.
- S4 S. Kang, Y. Mo, S. P. Ong, G. Ceder, Nano Lett., 2014, 14, 1016.
- S5 C. L. Bender, D. Schröder, R. Pinedo, P. Adelhelm, J. Janek, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 4640.
- S6 J. Kim, H. Park, B. Lee, W. M. Seong, H. D. Lim, Y. Bae, H. Kim, W. K. Kim, K. H. Ryu, K. Kang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, 10670.