Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2016

Electronic Supplementary Information

Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Coated Li₄Ti₅O₁₂-TiO₂/Sn Nanowire and Its

Enhanced Electrochemical Property for Lithium ion Batteries

Shitong Wang,^a Yong Yang,^b Caihua Jiang,^a Ye Hong,^a Wei Quan,^a Zhongtai Zhang^a and Zilong Tang^{*a}

^a State Key Lab of New Ceramics and Fine Processing, School of Materials Science and Engineering,

Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China.

^b Department of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China.

* Correspondence to: Z.L.T. (Email: tzl@tsinghua.edu.cn).

Contents:

Experimental details Fig.S1-Fig.S7 Table S1 References (S1-S13)

Experimental details

Synthesis of Li₄Ti₅O₁₂-TiO₂, Li₄Ti₅O₁₂-TiO₂/Sn and Li₄Ti₅O₁₂-TiO₂/Sn@C

First, hydrogen titanate nanowire precursor was prepared via hydrothermal reaction between anatase TiO₂ powders and concentrated NaOH solution (10 M) at 180 °C for 48 h, following the ion substitution progress of Na⁺ with H⁺ in 0.5 M HNO₃ solution. Second, Li_{1.81}H_{0.19}Ti₂O₅·xH₂O/SnO₂ precursor was obtained by chemical lithiation of hydrogen titanate precursor and hydrolysis process of SnCl₄·5H₂O in a 0.2 M LiOH solution heated at 180 °C for 2 h in a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. Thirdly, 0.2 g Li_{1.81}H_{0.19}Ti₂O₅·xH₂O/SnO₂ mixed with certain amounts of dopamine hydrochloride were added to the tris-buffer (200 mL, pH ≈8.5) and stirred for 24 h at room temperature. Finally the precipitate was collected, and after drying it was annealed under an Ar/H₂ (95%:5% Vol) atmosphere at 600 °C for 6 h. For comparison, the Li₄Ti₅O₁₂-TiO₂ and Li_{1.81}H_{0.19}Ti₂O₅·xH₂O/SnO₂ precursors respectively, and keeping the other heating experimental variables fixed. Besides, we also synthesized the Li₄Ti₅O₁₂-TiO₂-NWs@C and Sn@C electrodes using the same hydrolysis and coating processes as contrasts shown in ESI.

Material Characterizations

The morphology, size and crystal structure of the as-prepared samples were characterized by MERLIN VP Compact for scanning electron microscope (SEM), Hitachi-HT7700 for TEM, and JEM-2100F for high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) and EDS mapping. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was recorded on a Rigaku D/Max-B X with Cu Koradiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). The Raman spectrum was recorded on a HR800 Raman spectrometer (HORIBA, France) using the 488 nm line of an ArC laser as the excitation beam at a heating rate of 2 °C min⁻¹. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS) analysis was carried out using iCP QC (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) to determine the content of Sn, and elemental analyzer (CE-440) was used for nitrogen content. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using thermogravimetric analyzer (NETZSCH-STA 449 F3) with a heating rate of 10 °C/min in air. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms were obtained using an Automated vapor sorption analyzer (Autosorb-iQ2-MP, Quanta Chrome) at 77.4 K under vacuum. The specific surface area was calculated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. X-ray photoelectron

spectroscopy (XPS) spectra data were obtained using Escalab 250XI system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US).

Electrochemical investigation

For the electrochemical measurements, the as-prepared active materials were mixed with polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and Super P in a weight ratio of 80:10:10 in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidene (NMP) solution. The mixed materials were pasted on aluminum foils and dried in a vacuum oven at 100 °C for 10 h, and then were cut into discs and pressed as working electrodes (WE). The 2032-coin-type cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove-box. Pure lithium was used as the counter electrode. The electrolyte was 1 M LiPF₆ ethylene carbonate (EC): dimethylcarbonate (DMC) =1:1 in volume. The separator was a microporous membrane (Celguard 2400, USA). The mass loadings of all the electrodes in this work are controlled between 1.0-1.2 mg cm⁻². The discharge/charge tests were carried out using a LAND Cell test 2001 A (Wuhan, China) system between 2.5 and 1.0 V. Zahner IM6 electrochemical workstation was used for cyclic voltammetry (CV) with the scan scope of 1.00-2.50 V. All the electrochemical tests were conducted at room temperature.



Figure S1. Raman spectrum of the LTO-TO-NWs/Sn@C composite.



Figure S2. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the LTO-TO-NWs/Sn@C composite in air.



Figure S3. (a) The full XPS spectrum of LTO-TO-NWs/Sn@C composite. The inset shows the N1s spectrum of LTO-TO-NWs/Sn@C composite. (b) Sn 3d XPS spectra of as-prepared LTO-TO-NWs/Sn@C composite. Note that Sn⁴⁺ signal in Fig. S3(b) is that some Sn NPs near the surface of the carbon layer were oxidized to SnO₂.



Figure S4. SEM image of LTO-TO-NWs/Sn@C composite.



Figure S5. TEM image of LTO-TO-NWs/Sn@C composite.



Figure S6. N₂ sorption isotherms of LTO-TO-NWs/Sn@C composite.



Figure S7. Cycling performance of $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ -TiO₂-NWs@C (LTO-TO-NWs@C), Sn@C and LTO-TO-NWs/Sn@C electrode materials at 500 mA g⁻¹. Note that the cycling performance of the three electrodes at 500 mA g⁻¹ demonstrates that LTO-TO-NWs/Sn@C possesses much higher capacity and better stability than LTO-TO-NWs@C and Sn@C electrodes.

Materials	Content of high capacity materials (wt %)	Capacity after cycles (mAh g ⁻¹)
N-doped carbon coated Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂ - TiO ₂ /Sn nanowires (this work)	18 (Sn)	360, 600 cycles (1,000 mA g ⁻¹)
Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂ -TiO ₂ nanowires (comparison)	0	150, 400 cycles (500 mA g ⁻¹)
Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂ -TiO ₂ /Sn nanowires (comparison)	26 (Sn)	166, 400 cycles (500 mA g ⁻¹)
N-doped carbon coated $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ - TiO ₂ (comparison)	0	230, 240 cycles (500 mA g ⁻¹)
N-doped carbon coated Sn NPs (comparison)	70 (Sn)	190, 300 cycles (500 mA g ⁻¹)
Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂ /Sn nano-composite ^{S1}	10 (Sn)	200, 30 cycles (0.02 C)
$Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ /tin phase composite ⁸²	~10 (Sn & SnO ₂)	224, 50 cycles (100 mA g ⁻¹)
Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂ 2D nanosheets and SnO ₂ 0D nanocrystals ^{S3}	25 (SnO ₂)	150, 30 cycles (0.1 mA cm ⁻²)
Core-shell SnO ₂ @Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂ ^{S4}	60 (SnO ₂)	457, 30 cycles (100 mA g ⁻¹)
Core-shell α -Fe ₂ O ₃ @ Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂ ⁸⁵	~30 (a-Fe ₂ O ₃)	249.3, 30 cycles (100 mA g ⁻¹)
Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂ /NiO nanocomposite ⁸⁶	5 (NiO)	176, 100 cycles (1 C)
Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂ /Co ₃ O ₄ composite ⁸⁷	12.5 (Co ₃ O ₄)	~300, 50 cycles (160 mA g ⁻¹)
TiO ₂ -Sn/C core-shell nanowires arrays ⁸⁸	24.8 (Sn)	459, 160 cycles (335 mA g ⁻¹)
TiO ₂ -Sn@C core-shell microspheres ⁸⁹	37.5 (Sn)	206, 2,000 cycles (500 mA g ⁻¹)
TiO ₂ (B)@SnO ₂ / carbon nanowires ^{S10}	15 (SnO ₂)	669, 67 cycles (60 mA g ⁻¹)
SnO ₂ @TiO ₂ @reduced graphene oxide nanotubes ^{S11}	(SnO ₂)	~700, 70 cycles (500 mA g ⁻¹)
SnO ₂ /TiO ₂ nanocomposite ^{S12}	65 (SnO ₂)	~600, 100 cycles (0.2C)
SnO ₂ @TiO ₂ double-shell nanotubes ^{\$13}	~45 (SnO ₂)	200, 50 cycles (1,500 mA g ⁻¹)

Table S1. Comparison of this work with previously reported Ti-based high-capability composites.

References

S1. A. Sivashanmugam, S. Gopukumar, R. Thirunakaran, C. Nithya and S. Prema, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2011, **46**, 492-500.

S2. R. Cai, X. Yu, X. Liu and Z. Shao, J. Power Sources, 2010, 195, 8244-8250.

S3. S. Y. Han, I. Y. Kim, S. Lee and S. Hwang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, 74, 59-64.

S4. G. Ji, Y. Ma, B. Ding and J. Y. Lee, Chem. Mater., 2012, 24, 3329-3334.

S5. M. Chen, W. Li, X. Shen and G. Diao, Acs Appl. Mater. Inter., 2014, 6, 4514-4523.

S6. C. Zhang, D. Shao, Q. Gao, Y. Lu, Z. Liu, X. Yu, Y. Fang and D. Chen, *J. Solid State Electr.*, 2015, 19, 1859-1866.

S7. J. Hong, R. Oh and K. Ryu, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2015, 162, A1978-A1983.

S8. J. Liao and A. Manthiram, Adv. Energy Mater., 2014, 4, 1400403.

S9. J. Chen, L. Yang, Z. Zhang, S. Fang and S. Hirano, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 2792-2794.

S10. Z. Yang, G. Du, Z. Guo, X. Yu, Z. Chen, T. Guo and R. Zeng, *Nanoscale*, 2011, **3**, 4440-4447.

S11. J. Y. Cheong, C. Kim, J. S. Jang and I. Kim, Rsc Adv., 2016, 6, 2920-2925.

S12. Y. Lin, R. K. Nagarale, K. C. Klavetter, A. Heller and C. B. Mullins, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 11134-11139.

S13. J. Jeun, K. Park, D. Kim, W. Kim, H. Kim, B. Lee, H. Kim, W. Yu, K. Kang and S. Hong, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 8480-8483.