Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2016

## Supporting Information

## Homologous Metal-Free Electrocatalysts Grown on Three-Dimensional Carbon Networks for Overall Water Splitting in Acid and Alkaline Media

Zheng Peng,<sup>a,b</sup> Siwei Yang,<sup>b</sup> Dingsi Jia,<sup>a</sup> Peimei Da,<sup>a</sup> Peng He,<sup>b</sup> Abdullah M. Al-Enizi,<sup>c</sup> Guqiao Ding,<sup>b,\*</sup> Xiaoming Xie,<sup>b</sup> and Gengfeng Zheng<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Advanced Materials, Department of Chemistry, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China. Email: gfzheng@fudan.edu.cn.

<sup>b</sup>State Key Laboratory of Functional Materials for Informatics, Shanghai Institute of Microsystem and Information Technology, Chinese Academy of Science, Shanghai, 20050, China. E-mail: gqding@mail.sim.ac.cn.

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>*a*,\*</sup> Address corespondence to:*gfzheng@fudan.edu.cn* 

<sup>b,\*</sup> Address corespondence to: gqding@mail.sim.ac.cn



Figure S1. Photograph of the various electrodes during the synthsize porcedure.



Figure S2. SEM images of (a) cotton and (b) carbon fiber. TEM images of (c) carbon fiber.

![](_page_3_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S3. (a-b) SEM and (c-d) TEM images of CNTs.

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure S4. (a-b) SEM image of CNT-CF samples.

![](_page_4_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S5. (a-b) SEM and (c-d) TEM images of  $C_3N_4$  samples.

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure S6. SEM images of S-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-CNT-CF electrode.

![](_page_5_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S7.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of  $C_3N_4$ , CNT-CF and  $C_3N_4$ -CNT-CF. Seen in Figure S7, the pure  $C_3N_4$  presents two typical diffraction peaks of (100) and (002), belongs to the PDF No. 87-1526.

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure S8.** XPS survey spectra of (a)  $C_3N_4$  and (b)  $C_3N_4$ -CNT-CF. The whole XPS survey spectrum of these two sample shows the main elements (C and N), with a small amount of adsorbed oxygen.

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S9. High-resolution XPS spectra of (a) N 1s , (b) C 1s and (c) S 2p core levels and (d) XPS survey spectrum of S-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-CNT-CF.

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure S10. (a) LSV curves and (b) duration tests at 1.63 V of  $C_3N_4$ -CNT-CF in 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  solution at 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.

![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S11. The OER acitivites of  $C_3N_4$ -CNT-CF with various mass loading including 0.5, 0.4 and 0.2 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>, in 1 M KOH solution.Inste: applied potential to achieve the current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at different loding masses.

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure S12.** The morphologh of  $C_3N_4$ -CNT-CF electrode after long-time duration test in 1M KOH solution.

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S13.** The long-time duration tests of  $C_3N_4$ -CNT-CF || S-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-CNT-CF system in 1 M KOH solution at 1.8 V.

Sample	BET surface area	Pore size	Pore Volume	
	(m <sup>2</sup> /g)	(nm)	(cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	
$C_3N_4$	21.4	21.6	0.16	
CF	8.3	36.6	0.031	
CNT-CF	45.6	28.4	0.19	
C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> -CF	34.6	25.4	0.15	
C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> -CNT-CF	53.7	35.2	0.21	

Table S1. The texture properties of all carbon electrodes

Table S2. Comparasion for OER catalysts

Catalyst	Loading	Electrolyte	Onset	j [mAcm <sup>-2</sup> ] @	Tafel slope
	[mgcm <sup>-2</sup> ]	рН	[V vs RHE]	η [V vs RHE]	[mV/dec]
C₃N₄-CNT-CF *	~0.5	14	1.52	10 @ 1.60	45
C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> /CNT <sup>1</sup>	0.2	14	1.53	10 @ 1.60	44.1
P-doped C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> /CFP <sup>2</sup>	~0.2	13	1.53	10 @ 1.63	61.6
N-doped graphene/CNT <sup>3</sup>	0.2548	13	1.50	10 @ 1.63	83
Reduced Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> <sup>4</sup>	0.136	14	~1.52		72
N-graphene /NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> 5		14	1.54	5 @ 1.603	156
CoMnO@CN <sup>6</sup>	~2.0	14	1.46	308 @ 1.65	97
CoNi (OH) <sub>x</sub> <sup>7</sup>		14	1.48	10 @ 1.51	77
TiN@Ni₃N <sup>8</sup>	~0.6	14	1.52	10 @ 1.58	93.7
IrO <sub>x</sub> <sup>4</sup>		14	1.42		85

## \* This work

			1	5		
Catalyst	Loading	Electrolyte	Onset	j [mAcm <sup>-2</sup> ] @	Tafel slope	jo
	[mgcm <sup>-2</sup> ]	рН	[mV]	η [mV]	[mV/dec]	[mAcm <sup>-2</sup> ]
S-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> -CNT-CF	~0.5	0	150	10 @ 236	81.6	0.0184
*		14	50	10 @ 131	79	0.2767
C₃N₄-graphite <sup>9</sup>	0.102	0		10 @ 240	51.5	3.5×10 <sup>-7</sup>
C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> -TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>10</sup>		13.1	100	1.3 @ 300	120	
MoS <sub>2</sub> /CNT <sup>11</sup>	0.136	0	90	10@180	44.6	
CoS2	47400	0	75	40 0445	54.0	0.0400
nanowire <sup>12</sup>	1.7 ± 0.3	U	/5	10 @145	51.6	0.0188
МоВ	2.5	5 0	≧100	20 @ 210	55	1.4×10 <sup>-3</sup>
nanoparticle <sup>13</sup>						
Mo <sub>2</sub> C		0			50	4 040 3
nanoparticle <sup>13</sup>	1.4	U	≧100	20 @ 240	56	1.3×10 <sup>-3</sup>
CoNiNx <sup>7</sup>		0	100		130	
TiN@Ni₃N <sup>8</sup>	~0.6	14	15	10 @ 21	42.1	
CoO <sub>x</sub> @CN <sup>14</sup>	2.1	14	85	20 @ 134		
Pt <sup>15</sup>	1	0	0	2@5	30	2.7

Table S3. Comparasion for HER catalysts

\*this work

## **Reference:**

- 1. T. Y. Ma, S. Dai, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 7281-7285.
- T. Y. Ma, J. Ran, S. Dai, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 4646-4650.
- 3. G. L. Tian, M. Q. Zhao, D. Yu, X. Y. Kong, J. Q. Huang, Q. Zhang and F. Wei, *Small*, 2014, **10**, 2251-2259.

- 4. Y. Wang, T. Zhou, K. Jiang, P. Da, Z. Peng, J. Tang, B. Kong, W.-B. Cai, Z. Yang and G. Zheng, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2014, **4**, 1400696.
- 5. S. Chen and S.-Z. Qiao, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 10190-10196.
- 6. J. Li, Y. Wang, T. Zhou, H. Zhang, X. Sun, J. Tang, L. Zhang, A. M. Al-Enizi, Z. Yang and G. Zheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 14305-14312.
- 7. S. Li, Y. Wang, S. Peng, L. Zhang, A. M. Al-Enizi, H. Zhang, X. Sun and G. Zheng, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2015, 1501661.
- 8. Q. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 5713.
- 9. Y. Zheng, Y. Jiao, Y. Zhu, L. H. Li, Y. Han, Y. Chen, A. Du, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 3783.
- 10. M. Shalom, S. Gimenez, F. Schipper, I. Herraiz-Cardona, J. Bisquert and M. Antonietti, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 3654-3658.
- 11. Y. Yan, X. Ge, Z. Liu, J.-Y. Wang, J.-M. Lee and X. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 7768-7771.
- 12. M. S. Faber, R. Dziedzic, M. A. Lukowski, N. S. Kaiser, Q. Ding and S. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 10053-10061.
- 13. H. Vrubel and X. Hu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 12703-12706.
- 14. H. Jin, J. Wang, D. Su, Z. Wei, Z. Pang and Y. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 2688-2694.
- 15. E. J. Popczun, J. R. McKone, C. G. Read, A. J. Biacchi, A. M. Wiltrout, N. S. Lewis and R. E. Schaak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 9267-9270.