### **Supplementary Information**

# Phase Controllable Synthesis of Three-Dimensional Star-like MnO<sub>2</sub>

## Hierarchical Architectures as Highly Efficient and Stable Oxygen

### **Reduction Electrocatalysts**

Gao Cheng,<sup>a</sup> Shilei Xie,<sup>b</sup> Bang Lan,<sup>c</sup> Xiaoying Zheng,<sup>a</sup> Fei Ye,<sup>a</sup> Ming Sun,<sup>a</sup> Xihong Lu<sup>b\*</sup> and Lin

Yu<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Clean Chemistry Technology of Guangdong Regular Higher

Education Institutions, School of Chemical Engineering and Light Industry,

Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, P. R. China.

E-mail: gych@gdut.edu.cn (Lin Yu).

<sup>b</sup>MOE of the Key Laboratory of Bioinorganic and Synthetic Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, P. R. China. E-mail: luxh6@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Xihong Lu).

<sup>c</sup>GuangDong MeiZhou Quality & Metrology Supervision and Testing Institution, Meizhou 514072, P. R. China.

#### **Experimental Section**

#### **Controlled Synthesis of Manganese Dioxides**

Mn(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub> was reacted with XClO<sub>3</sub> (X = K, Na) under acidic conditions to synthesize 3D star-like manganese dioxides with different crystal structures.  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> products were synthesized in the following way: A volume of 1.0 mL of condensed CH<sub>3</sub>COOH was added into 15 mL of deionized (DI) water. The diluted CH<sub>3</sub>COOH solution was then poured into a 25 mL Teflon liner containing stoichiometric mixtures composed of 1 mmol Mn(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub> and 1.75 mmol KClO<sub>3</sub> under vigorous stirring at room temperature for half an hour. The Teflon liner was sealed in a stainless steel autoclave and hydrothermally heated in an oven at 160 °C for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solid products were centrifuged with DI water and absolute ethanol to remove impurities, and dried overnight in air at 60 °C.  $\epsilon$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> products can be conveniently prepared by following the above synthesis procedure except changing the KClO<sub>3</sub> as NaClO<sub>3</sub>. The commercial MnO<sub>2</sub> (bulk MnO<sub>2</sub>) was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All chemicals reagents were used without further purification.

To investigate the effects of various reaction parameters on the morphology of the  $MnO_2$  products, a series of control experiments were carried out by altering the reaction parameters, which were summarized as follows:

1) Effects of the reaction temperature: the precursor solutions were treated at different reaction temperatures (120, 140 and 180  $^{\circ}$ C) with other reaction parameters unchanged.

2) Effects of the anion type: (a) SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>:1 mmol MnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O and 1.0 ml of condensed H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, (b) Cl:: 1 mmol MnCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O and 1.5 ml of condensed HCl and (c) NO<sub>3</sub>:: 1 mmol Mn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O

and 1.1 ml of condensed HNO<sub>3</sub>, respectively, were reacted with  $XClO_3$  (X = K, Na) while keeping the other synthetic conditions.

3) Effects of the reaction time: time-dependent experiments (60, 90, 150 and 480 min) were performed using the above synthesis procedures with other reaction parameters unchanged.

#### **Materials Characterization**

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) was performed on a Brucker D8 X-ray diffractometer operating at a voltage of 40 kV and a current of 40 mA with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. The data were collected at room temperature with a 0.01° step size in  $2\theta$ , from  $2\theta = 10$  to 80°. The field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images were taken on a ZEISS Ultra 55 instrument. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM), high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were recorded on a JEOL JEM-2100HR at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was recorded on a Thermo ESCALAB 250 instrument equipped with a monochromatic Al Kα (1486.6 eV) X-ray source. The instrument was operated at 150 W with a spot size of 500 µm in diameter. The spectra are calibrated using the carbon peak. The BET surface areas of the obtained products were measured by a multipoint N2 adsorption-desorption method in liquid N2 (196 °C) with a GEMINI V 2380 surface area analyzer. Samples were treated under 150 °C in N2 atmosphere for 8 h to evacuate the physisorbed moisture before measurement. For the O2 temperature-programmed desorption (O<sub>2</sub>-TPD) experiment, about 30 mg samples were loaded in a U-shaped quartz microreactor. After being pretreated in flowing He at 250 °C for 1 h and cooled to room temperature, the samples were exposed to O2 atmosphere for 20 min, and subsequently heated to 800 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> in flowing He.

#### **Electrochemical measurements**

The electrochemical measurements were performed with a three-electrode system in 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution, which was saturated with high-purity  $N_2$  or  $O_2$  for at least 30 min prior to each test and maintained under  $N_2$  or  $O_2$  atmosphere through the whole test. The reference electrode was an Ag/AgCl in 3.5 M KCl solution and the counter electrode was a Pt foil. The working electrode was a sample-coated glassy carbon (GC) electrode, which is confined in a rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE, Pt ring and GC disk, disk diameter 5.61 mm). 5 mg of the catalyst (containing the as-prepared MnO<sub>2</sub> samples and carbon powders (Ketjenblack) at a 3:7 mass ratio) was ultrasonically dispersed in the 1.0 mL of mixed solvent that contained 950 µL isopropyl alcohol and 50 µL neutralized Nafion solution (5 wt%, DuPont) for 30 min to form a homogeneous ink. Then, 5.0 µL of the catalyst ink was loaded onto the GC electrode and naturally dried under air atmosphere to allow solvent evaporation. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) and linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) were carried out at room temperature on the computer-controlled CHI 760E bipotentiostats assembled with a rotating system (GAMRY RDE710). The scan rate of CVs was kept as 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> while that for LSVs was 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The disk electrode was scanned cathodically at a rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> and the Pt ring potential was constant at 0.6 V to monitor any

peroxide  $(HO_2)$  species. The conductivity of the as-prepared MnO<sub>2</sub> samples is measured by twopoint current-potential (I-V) curves by a typical two electrode system (ZAHNER, Germany) at room temperature. All the potentials were transferred to the RHE potential scale, and the following equation was used:

$$E_{RHE} = E_{AgCl+0.059 \text{ pH}} + E_{AgCl}^{0}$$

where  $E_{\text{RHE}}$  is the applied potential vs. RHE,  $E_{\text{AgCl}}$  is the applied potential vs. Ag/AgCl and E0 AgCl is the standard potential of the Ag/AgCl reference electrode.

The ORR kinetics was analyzed by the Koutecky-Levich (K-L) equation:<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{1}{J} = \frac{1}{J_L} + \frac{1}{J_K} = \frac{1}{0.62nFC_0(D_0)^{2/3}(\nu)^{-1/6}\omega^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{nFkC_0}$$

where J is the measured current density,  $J_{\rm K}$  and  $J_{\rm L}$  are the kinetic- and diffusion-limiting current densities,  $\omega$  is the angular velocity of the disk, n is the number of electrons transferred in the oxygen reduction, F is the Faraday constant (F = 96 485 C mol<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_0$  is the bulk concentration of  $O_2$  ( $C_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>),  $D_0$  is the diffusion coefficient ( $D_0 = 1.9 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). v is the kinematic viscosity of the electrolyte (v = 0.01 cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and k is the electron-transfer rate constant.

The peroxide yields  $(y_{peroxide})$  and the electron transfer number (n) were determined by the following equations:<sup>2</sup>

$$y_{peroxide} = 200 \frac{I_r/N}{I_d + I_r/N}$$
$$n = 4 \frac{I_d}{I_d + I_r/N}$$

where  $I_r$  is the ring current,  $I_d$  is the disk current, N is the current collection efficiency of the RRDE.



Fig. S1 Schematic illustration of the preparation of 3D MnO<sub>2</sub> star-like hierarchical architectures.



Fig. S2 High-magnification FESEM images of the central part for (a)  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> and (b)  $\epsilon$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> samples.



Fig. S3 FESEM images of the MnO<sub>2</sub> products prepared at different reaction temperatures by using KClO<sub>3</sub> (a-c) or NaClO<sub>3</sub> (d-f) as the oxidants: (a, d) 120 °C, (b, e) 140 °C and (c, f) 180 °C.



Fig. S4 FESEM images of the MnO<sub>2</sub> products prepared by different kinds of anions using KClO<sub>3</sub> (a-c) or NaClO<sub>3</sub> (d-f) as the oxidants: (a, d) SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, (b, e) Cl<sup>-</sup> and (c, f) NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.



Fig. S5 FESEM images of the α-MnO<sub>2</sub> hierarchical stars prepared at different reaction times: (a) 60 min; (b) 90 min; (c) 150 min; (d) 480 min.

At 60 min, the urchin-like MnO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures formed of nanorods can be clearly observed, which have a diameter of ~ 10  $\mu$ m (Fig. S5a). After 90 min, the nanorods originating from the core of the urchin-like MnO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures are changed into nanowires with a length of ~ 20  $\mu$ m (Fig S5b), and the MnO<sub>2</sub> star-like hierarchical architectures start to appear (indicated with an arrow). After 150 min, the morphology of the as-obtained products change markedly. A large number of  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> star-like nanostructures with six branches are formed (Fig. S5c). After a 480 min reaction, the products consist predominantly of 3D  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> star-like nanostructures (Fig. S5d).



**Fig. S6** FESEM images of the ε-MnO<sub>2</sub> hierarchical stars prepared at different reaction times: (a) 60 min; (b) 90 min; (c) 150 min; (d) 480 min.

At 60 min, many small MnO<sub>2</sub> 3D nanostructures are formed, which have an average size of ~ 6  $\mu$ m (Fig. S6a). After 90 min, the  $\epsilon$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> star-like hierarchical architectures with a large average size of ~13  $\mu$ m can be observed (Fig. S6b). Further increasing the reaction time (150 min and 480 min) results in the growth of larger 3D  $\epsilon$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> star-like hierarchical architectures (Fig. S6c and Fig. S6d).



Fig. S7 (a) XRD pattern and (b) FESEM images of the commercial MnO<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S8 LSV curves of (a) bulk MnO<sub>2</sub>, (b)  $\epsilon$ -MnO<sub>2</sub>, (c)  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> and (d) Pt/C at different rotation speeds recorded in N<sub>2</sub>- and O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution.

Samples	$E_{\text{onset}}(\mathbf{V})$	$E_{1/2}$ (V)	Ref
$\alpha$ -MnO <sub>2</sub> hierarchical stars	0.84	0.72	This work
$\alpha$ -MnO <sub>2</sub> nanowires	0.80	0.56	3
Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoballs	0.82	0.68	4
Cu- $\alpha$ -MnO <sub>2</sub> nanowires	0.85	0.71	5
$\alpha$ -MnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	0.71	0.57	6
MnO <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	0.82	0.67	7
$\beta$ -MnO <sub>2</sub> nanorods with vacanices	0.86	0.72	8
mesoporous CuCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	0.87	0.51	9
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> / reduced graphene oxides	0.68	0.62	10
urchin-like CoP	0.80	0.70	11
MnCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanofibres	0.82	0.70	12
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nano-octahedrons/ reduced graphene oxides	0.82	0.68	1

Table R1 Electrocatalytic performances ( $E_{\text{onset}}$  and  $E_{1/2}$ ) of recently reported non-noble metal catalysts



Fig. S9 Tafel plots derived from the corresponding voltammetry data.



Fig. S10 LSV curves of the  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> hierarchical stars before and after 2000 CV cycles.



Fig. S11 The typical N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms of the (a)  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> and (b)  $\epsilon$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> samples.



Fig. S12 Pore size distribution from the desorption branch of the (a)  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> and (b)  $\epsilon$ -MnO<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S13 XPS spectra of Mn 2p for  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> and  $\epsilon$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> samples.

#### References

- 1 J. Xiao, Q. Kuang, S. Yang, F. Xiao, S. Wang and L. Guo, Sci. Rep., 2013, 3, 2300.
- 2 T. Zhang, F. Cheng, J. Du, Y. Hu and J. Chen, Adv. Energy. Mater., 2015, 5, 1400654.
- 3 M. Lehtimäki, H. Hoffmannová, O. Boytsova, Z. Bastl, M. Busch, N. B. Halck, J. Rossmeisl and P. Krtil, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **191**, 452.
- 4 S. Ghosh, P. Kar, N. Bhandary, S. Basu, S. Sardar, T. Maiyalagan, D. Majumdar, S. K. Bhattacharya, A. Bhaumik, P. Lemmens and S. K. Pal, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **6**, 1417.
- 5 D. J. Davis, T. N. Lambert, J. A. Vigil, M. A. Rodriguez, M. T. Brumbach, E. N. Coker and S. J. Limmer, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 17342.
- 6 C. Shi, G.-L. Zang, Z. Zhang, G.-P. Sheng, Y.-X. Huang, G.-X. Zhao, X.-K. Wang and H.-Q. Yu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **132**, 239.
- 7 C. Wei, L. Yu, C. Cui, J. Lin, C. Wei, N. Mathews, F. Huo, T. Sritharan and Z. Xu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 7885.
- 8 F. Cheng, T. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Du, X. Han and J. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 2474.
- 9 P.-X. Wang, L. Shao, N.-Q. Zhang and K.-N. Sun, J. Power Sources, 2016, 325, 506.

- 10 Wen, X. Gao, T. Huang, X. Wu, L. Xu, J. Yu, H. Zhang, Z. Zhang, J. Han and H. Ren, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2016, **41**, 11099.
- 11 H. Yang, Y. Zhang, F. Hu and Q. Wang, Nano letters, 2015, 15, 7616.
- 12 K. N. Jung, S. M. Hwang, M. S. Park, K. J. Kim, J. G. Kim, S. X. Dou, J. H. Kim and J. W. Lee, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, 5, 7665.