Supporting Information

Efficient Water Oxidation through Strongly Coupled Graphitic C₃N₄ Coated Cobalt Hydroxide Nanowires

Muhammad Tahir^{a,b}, Nasir Mahmood^c, Lun Pan^a, Zhen-Feng Huang^a, ZheLv^a, Jingwen Zhang^a, Faheem. K. Butt^b, Guoqiang Shen^a, Xiangwen Zhang^a, Shi Xue Dou^c and Ji-Jun Zou^{a*}

 [a] Key Laboratory for Green Chemical Technology of the Ministry of Education, School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University;
Collaborative Innovative Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), Tianjin 300072, China

*E-mail: jj_zou@tju.edu.cn

[b] Department of Physics, The University of Lahore, 1-Km Raiwind Road, Lahore 53700, Pakistan

[c] Institute of Superconducting and Electronic Materials, Australian Institute of Innovative Materials, University of Wollongong, Squires Way, North Wollongong, NSW 2500, Australia.



Figure S1 HRTEM image of Co(OH)₂ NWs.



Figure S2 TEM image of Co(OH)₂@g-C₃N₄-2 NW.



Figure S3 TEM image of Co(OH)₂/g-C₃N₄ NW.



Figure S4 HRTEM image of $Co(OH)_2/g-C_3N_4$ NW.



Figure S5 STEM image of Co(OH)₂@g-C₃N₄-5 NW.



Figure S6 N₂ adsorption isotherms of Co(OH)₂, Co(OH)₂@g-C₃N₄-2, Co(OH)₂@g-C₃N₄-5 and Co(OH)₂@g-C₃N₄-7 NWs.



Figure S7 Pore Radius of $Co(OH)_2$, $Co(OH)_2@g-C_3N_4-2$, $Co(OH)_2@g-C_3N_4-5$ and $Co(OH)_2@g-C_3N_4-7$ NWs.



Figure S8 OER close view of the samples.



Figure S9 OER close view of the samples.



Figure S10 Over-potential of all the samples.



Figure S11 Nyquist plots of Co(OH)₂, g-C₃N₄, Co(OH)₂/g-C₃N₄ and Co(OH)₂@g-C₃N₄-5.

Table S1: Samples Names

| Sample Name | g- C_3N_4 nanosheets (%) | |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| Co(OH) ₂ @g-C ₃ N ₄ -2 | 2 | |
| Co(OH) ₂ @g-C ₃ N ₄ -5 | 5 | |
| Co(OH) ₂ @g-C ₃ N ₄ -7 | 7 | |
| Co(OH) ₂ /g-C ₃ N ₄ | 5 | |

| Sample Name | Potentials (V) at 10 | Over-potentials (V) at |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | mA/cm ² | 10 mA/cm ² |
| g-C ₃ N ₄ | 1.74 | 0.51 |
| $Co(OH)_2@g-C_3N_4$ | | |
| | 1.73 | 0.5 |
| $Co(OH)_2@g-C_3N_4-2$ | | |
| | 1.57 | 0.34 |
| $Co(OH)_2@g-C_3N_4-5$ | | |
| | 1.55 | 0.32 |
| $Co(OH)_2/g-C_3N_4-7$ | | |
| | 1.58 | 0.35 |
| $Co(OH)_2/g-C_3N_4$ | | |
| | 1.66 | 0.43 |
| RuO ₂ | 1.58 | 0.35 |
| IrO ₂ | 1.65 | 0.42 |
| *Pt/C | 2 | 0.77 |

Table S2: OER potentials of all catalyst along with IrO₂, RuO₂ and Pt.

*Pt/C did not reach at 10mA/cm², so we extrapolate.

Table S3: OER comparison with some best reported results in alkaline solution with

| Materials | Potential@10mA/cm ² (RHE) | References |
|---|---|------------|
| PCN-CFP | 1.63 | S[1] |
| N-dopedgraphene/CNT | 1.63 | S[2] |
| H-Pt/CaMnO ₃ | 1.8 | S[3] |
| Mn_xO_y/N -doped carbon | 1.68 | S[4] |
| Co ₃ O ₄ /N-doped-graphene | 1.54 | S[5] |
| CaMn ₄ O _x | 1.77 | S[6] |
| Co ₃ O ₄ | 1.68 | S[4] |
| Co _x O _y / N-doped carbon | 1.66 | S[4] |
| Ni _x O _y /N-doped carbon | 1.64 | S[4] |
| NCNTFs | 1.6 | S[7] |
| Co@Co ₃ O ₄ /NC | 1.64 | S[8] |
| Co/NC | 1.69 | S[8] |
| NiO | 1.66 | S[9] |
| Ni(OH) ₂ | 1.59 | S[9] |
| α-Ni(OH) ₂ sphere | 1.56 | S[10] |
| β-Ni(OH) ₂ plate | 1.67 | S[10] |
| 3D g-C ₃ N ₄ NS–CNT | 1.6 | S[11] |
| Co(OH) ₂ @g-C ₃ N ₄ -5 | 1.55 | This Work |

similar mass loading.

References:

[1] T.Y. Ma, J. Ran, S. Dai, M. Jaroniec, S.Z. Qiao, Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 54 (2015) 4646-4650.

[2] G.-L. Tian, M.-Q. Zhao, D. Yu, X.-Y. Kong, J.-Q. Huang, Q. Zhang, F. Wei, Small, 10 (2014) 2251-2259.

[3] X. Han, F. Cheng, T. Zhang, J. Yang, Y. Hu, J. Chen, Advanced Materials, 26 (2014) 2047-2051.

[4] J. Masa, W. Xia, I. Sinev, A. Zhao, Z. Sun, S. Grützke, P. Weide, M. Muhler, W. Schuhmann, Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 53 (2014) 8508-8512.

[5] Y. Liang, Y. Li, H. Wang, J. Zhou, J. Wang, T. Regier, H. Dai, Nat Mater, 10 (2011) 780-786.

[6] Y. Gorlin, T.F. Jaramillo, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 132 (2010) 13612-13614.

[7] B.Y. Xia, Y. Yan, N. Li, H.B. Wu, X.W. Lou, X. Wang, Nature Energy, 1 (2016) 15006.

[8] B. Li, X. Ge, F.W. Goh, T.S. Hor, D. Geng, G. Du, Z. Liu, J. Zhang, X. Liu, Y. Zong, Nanoscale, 7 (2015) 1830-1838.

[9] X.-Y. Yu, Y. Feng, B. Guan, X.W. Lou, U. Paik, Energy Environ. Sci., (2016).

[10] M. Gao, W. Sheng, Z. Zhuang, Q. Fang, S. Gu, J. Jiang, Y. Yan, J Am Chem Soc, 136 (2014) 7077-7084.

[11] T.Y. Ma, S. Dai, M. Jaroniec, S.Z. Qiao, Angew Chem Int Ed Engl, 53 (2014) 7281-7285.