Electronic Supplementary Information

A bifunctional metal-organic framework featuring the combination of open metal sites and Lewis basic sites for selective gas adsorption and heterogeneous cascade catalysis

Hongming He,^[a, b] Fuxing Sun,^[a] Briana Aguila,^[b] Jason A. Perman,^[b] Shengqian Ma^{[b]*} and Guangshan Zhu^{[a]*}

- ^a State Key Laboratory of Inorganic Synthesis and Preparative Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun, 130012, China. E-mail: zhugs@jlu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-431-85168331; Tel: +86-431-85168887.
- ^b Department of Chemistry, University of South Florida, 4202 East Fowler Avenue, Tampa, Florida 33620, USA. E-mail: sqma@usf.edu; Fax: +1-813-974-3203; Tel: +1-813-974-5217.

Content

S1. Synthesis of the ligand H₈TBCB.

Figure S1. FT-IR spectra of H₈TBCB and JUC-199.

Figure S2. The asymmetric unit of JUC-199.

Figure S3. TGA plots of as-synthesized JUC-199.

Figure S4. The PXRD patterns of simulated, as-synthesized sample and activated sample.

Figure S5. The PXRD patterns of JUC-199 after exposure to water vapour for 6, 12 and 24 hours, and in air for 2 days, respectively.

Figure S6. The pore size distribution was calculated by the Horvath-Kawazoe method.

Figure S7. Grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations were performed to evaluate the surface area of desolvated JUC-199 in a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cell in Sorption Module of Materials Studio.

S2. Gas sorption and IAST calculations.

Table S1. Summary of the parameters of the experimentally measured gas adsorption isotherms of JUC-199 at 298 K fitted with dual-site Langmuir-Freundlich adsorption model-based IAST theory.

S3. Calculations of the isosteric heats of gas adsorption (Q_{st}).

Figure S8. (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of CO₂ for JUC-199 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric heat of CO₂ for JUC-199.

Figure S9. (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of N_2 for JUC-199 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric heat of N_2 for JUC-199.

Figure S10. (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of CH₄ for JUC-199 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric heat of CH₄ for JUC-199.

Figure S11. (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of C_2H_4 for JUC-199 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric heat of C_2H_4 for JUC-199.

Figure S12. (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of C_2H_6 for JUC-199 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric heat of C_2H_6 for JUC-199.

Figure S13. The PXRD patterns of reused samples.

Figure S14. The ¹H NMR spectra of compound 3 in CDCl₃.

Figure S15. The ¹H NMR spectra of H_8 TBCB in d_6 -DMSO.

 Table S2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for JUC-199.

S4. References.

S1. Synthesis of the ligand H₈TBCB

Ligand H₈TBCB was synthesized as follows:



Scheme S1. Synthetic route to the ligand H₈TBCB.

Benzene-1,3,5-tris(3',5'-benzenedicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester) (1) $^{[1]}$ and 4,4-diaminobiphenyl-3,3',5,5'-tetrabromide (2) $^{[2]}$ were prepared and assigned by literature procedures.

Compound **1** (1.6 g, 5.0 mmol), compound **2** (0.50 g, 1.0 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (0.69 g, 5.0 mmol) were added to a three necked-flask with toluene-ethanol-water (30 ml, 15 ml, 15 ml). After the mixture was degassed using N₂ for 15 min, Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.058 g, 0.05 mmol) was added to the stirred reaction mixture. The solution was stirred under nitrogen atmosphere for 72 h with reflux. The product was extracted using CHCl₃ (30 ml × 3), washed with brine (30 ml), and dried with anhydrous MgSO₄. The filtered solution was eliminated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified using column chromatography with silica gel (CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc=1/1, v/v) to obtain compound **3** of 0.552 g (yield: 58%). ¹H NMR (300 Hz, CDCl₃, ppm): 8.70 (t, 3.9 H), 8.40 (d, 8.0 H), 7.37 (s, 4.0 H), 4.42 (m, 3.9 H), 3.96 (s, 24.1 H).

Compound **3** (0.5 g, 0.5 mmol) and NaOH (1.0 g, 25 mmol) were added to a flask with a mixed solvent of THF-methanol-water (20 ml, 10 ml, 10 ml). The mixture was stirred under reflux overnight. After cooling to room temperature slowly, THF and MeOH were removed under

vacuum. Dilute HCl solution (2 M) was slowly added to the resultant solution until the value of pH was about 2.0-3.0. Yellow precipitation was filtered and washed with H₂O and MeOH, and dried to obtain H₈TBCB of 0.39 g (yield: 94%). Selected FT-IR data (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 2966 (br), 2609 (s), 1705 (s), 1595 (s), 1452 (s), 1257 (s), 1203 (s), 1113 (s), 918 (s), 874 (s), 802 (s), 764 (s), 663 (s), 540 (s). ¹HNMR (300 Hz, DMSO- d_6 , ppm): 13.25 (s, 8.0 H), 8.45 (s, 4.0 H), 8.24 (s, 8.1 H), 7.45 (s, 3.9 H), 4.26 (s, 4.0 H).



Fig. S1. FT-IR spectra of H₈TBCB (black) and JUC-199 (red). The characteristic peak of carbonyl asymmetric stretching band (C=O) of –COOH at 1705 cm⁻¹ was only found in the black curve, implying that all carboxyl groups of ligand are deprotonated.



Fig. S2. The asymmetric unit of JUC-199.



Fig. S3. The TGA curve of the as-synthesized JUC-199.



Fig. S4. The PXRD patterns of simulated (black), as-synthesized sample (red) and activated

sample (blue).



Fig. S5. The PXRD patterns of JUC-199 after exposure to water vapour for 6, 12 and 24 hours, and in air for 2 days, respectively.



Fig. S6. The pore size distribution was calculated by the Horvath-Kawazoe method.



Fig. S7. Grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations were performed to evaluate the surface area of desolvated JUC-199 in a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cell in Sorption Module of Materials Studio.

S2. Gas sorption and IAST calculations

The adsorption isotherms for CO₂, N₂, CH₄, C₂H₄ and C₂H₆ (273 K and 298 K) were first converted to absolute loadings according to Peng-Robinson Equation. In order to perform the IAST calculation, the single-component gas absorption isotherms was fitted by the dual-site Langmuir-Freundlich (DSLF) adsorption model, which was adopted to correlate the purecomponent equilibrium data and further to evaluate the adsorption of binary gas mixtures. The DSLF model is described as: $N_0(f) = N_1 k_1 f/(1+k_1 f) + N_2 k_2 f/(1+k_2 f)$ (1)

where *f* is the fugacity of bulk gas at equilibrium with adsorbed phase, N_i is the model parameter of the maximum adsorption amount at the site i (i = 1 or 2) and k_i is the affinity constant.

Based on the above model parameters of pure gas adsorption, we used the IAST model,

which was proposed by Myer and Prausnitz in 1965 to predict the multi-component adsorption. **Table S1.** Summary of the parameters of the experimentally measured gas adsorption isotherms of **JUC-199** at 298 K fitted with dual-site Langmuir-Freundlich adsorption model-based IAST theory.

	CO_2	N_2	CH ₄	C_2H_4	C_2H_6
R ²	1	0.99995	0.99999	0.99998	0.99988
a 1	0.47737	0.60191	0.00348	0.60398	1.38295
a ₂	2.93112	1.42711	1.57162	1.59738	0.44072
b 1	0.06869	3.36889E-4	1.20638	0.78521	0.05635
\mathbf{b}_2	0.00855	0.00105	0.00463	0.03795	0.51493
C 1	1	1	0.98075	1	1
C 2	1	1	0.99968	1	1

S3. Calculations of the isosteric heats of gas adsorption (Q_{st})

A virial-type expression comprising the temperature-independent parameters a_i and b_j was employed to calculate the enthalpies of adsorption for CO₂, N₂, CH₄, C₂H₄ and C₂H₆ (273 and 298 K). In each case, the data were fitted using the equation:

$$ln^{P} = ln^{N} + 1/T \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i}N^{i} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{j}N^{j}$$

Here, *P* is the pressure expressed in Torr, *N* is the amount adsorbed in mg g⁻¹, *T* is the temperature in K, a_i and b_j are virial coefficients, *m*, *n* represent the number of coefficients required to adequately describe the isotherms (*m* and *n* were gradually increased until the contribution of extra added *a* and *b* coefficients was deemed to be statistically insignificant towards the overall fit, and the average value of the squared deviations from the experimental values was minimized). The values of the virial coefficients a_0 through a_m were then used to calculate the isosteric heat of adsorption using the following expression.

$$Q_{st} = -R \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i N^i$$

 Q_{st} is the coverage-dependent isosteric heat of adsorption and *R* is the universal gas constant. The heats of gas sorption for JUC-199 in this manuscript are determined by using the sorption data measured in the pressure range from 0-1 bar, which is fitted by the virial-equation very well.



Fig. S8. (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of CO₂ for JUC-199 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric



Fig. S9. (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of N₂ for JUC-199 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric heat



Fig. S10. (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of CH_4 for JUC-199 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric

heat of CH₄ for JUC-199.



Fig. S11. (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of C_2H_4 for JUC-199 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric



Fig. S12. (a) Nonlinear curves fitting of C₂H₆ for JUC-199 at 273 K and 298 K; (b) Isosteric

heat of C_2H_6 for JUC-199.



Fig. S13. The PXRD patterns of reused samples.



Fig. S14. The ¹H NMR spectra of compound 3 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S15. The ¹H NMR spectra of H_8TBCB in d_6 -DMSO.

JUC-199						
O(1)-Zn(1)	1.9060(18)	O(2)-Zn(2)	2.0436(19)			
O(3)-Zn(2)#2	2.101(2)	O(4)-Zn(1)#2	1.923(2)			
O(5)-Zn(2)#3	2.068(2)	O(6)-Zn(1)#3	1.9478(19)			
O(7)-Zn(1)#4	1.9320(17)	O(9)-Zn(2)	2.141(2)			
O(10)-Zn(2)	2.203(3)	O(11)-Zn(2)	2.139(3)			
Zn(1)-O(4)#5	1.923(2)	Zn(1)-O(7)#6	1.9321(17)			
Zn(1)-O(6)#7	1.9478(19)	Zn(2)-O(5)#7	2.068(2)			
Zn(2)-O(3)#5	2.101(2)					
O(1)-Zn(1)-O(4)#5	122.98(10)	O(1)-Zn(1)-O(7)#6	106.50(9)			
O(4)#5-Zn(1)-O(7)#6	105.46(9)	O(1)-Zn(1)-O(6)#7	113.12(10)			
O(4)#5-Zn(1)-O(6)#7	105.26(10)	O(7)#6-Zn(1)-O(6)#7	101.16(9)			
O(2)-Zn(2)-O(5)#7	98.84(9)	O(2)-Zn(2)-O(3)#5	94.61(8)			
O(5)#7-Zn(2)-O(3)#5	91.36(9)	O(5)#7-Zn(2)-O(11)	89.08(9)			
O(3)#5-Zn(2)-O(11)	93.11(9)	O(2)-Zn(2)-O(9)	87.23(9)			
O(3)#5-Zn(2)-O(9)	93.33(10)	O(11)-Zn(2)-O(9)	84.22(10)			
O(2)-Zn(2)-O(10)	85.50(13)	O(5)#7-Zn(2)-O(10)	83.17(14)			
O(11)-Zn(2)-O(10)	87.60(13)	O(9)-Zn(2)-O(10)	92.19(15)			
Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:						
#1 -x, -y+1, -z #2 -x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2 #3 -x, y-1/2, -z+1/2 #4 x-1, -y+1/2, z-						
1/2 #5 -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2 #6 x+1, -y+1/2, z+1/2 #7 -x, y+1/2, -z+1/2						

Table S2. Selected bond lengths (\AA) and angles (deg) for JUC-199.

S4. References

[1] Z. Chen, S. Xiang, T. Liao, Y. Yang, Y.-S. Chen, Y. Zhou, D. Zhao and B. Chen, *Cryst. Growth & Des.*, 2010, **10**, 2775.

[2] P. Wehrmann and S. Mecking, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 1399.