Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2016

Supporting Information

Controlled positioning of MOFs in interfacially polymerized thin-film nanocomposites

Cédric Van Goethem, Rhea Verbeke, Sanne Hermans, Roy Bernstein, Ivo F.J. Vankelecom*

Materials used **ZIF-8** synthesis Zn(NO₃)₂.6H₂O (Sigma Aldrich, >99%) 2-methylimidazole (Acros Organics, 99%) N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) (Acros Organics, >99%) Methanol (Fisher Chemical, 99.99%) Ethanol (Fisher Chemical, absolute grade) Support membrane preparation Non-woven PE/PP support (Freudenberg, Novatex 2471) Polysulfone (PSf) (Udel P-1700) N-methylpyrrolidon (NMP) (Acros Organics, 98%) Polyamide toplayer formation Trimesoylchloride (TMC) (Acros Organics, 98%) m-phenylenediamine (MPD) (Acros Organics, >99%) n-hexane (Chemlab, >99%) NaCl filtration Sodium Chloride (NaCl) (AnalaR Normapur) MiliQ water (MQ) (>18.2 M Ω .cm at 25°C)

ZIF-8 characterization



Figure S1: Theoretically predicted X-ray diffraction pattern for ZIF-8 and experimentally obtained diffraction patterns for bZIF and sZIF.

bZIF-EFP-membrane characterization



Figure S2: SEM topviews of bZIF-EFP-membranes prepared with different filler concentrations in the hexane solution.

Effect of particle size on EFP-membrane performance



Figure S3: Comparison between the permeability and rejection of bZIF-EFP- and sZIF-EFPmembranes. The permeability of the respective membranes was normalized with respect to the reference membrane of the respective series (sZIF/bZIF).





Figure S4: ATR-FTIR absorption spectra of sZIF-EFP-membranes (concentration ZIF-8 used for membrane synthesis is shown in the figure).



Figure S5: SEM images of the toplayer morphology of sZIF-EFP-membranes with different filler concentration in the hexane solution.



Figure S6: SEM topviews of sZIF-EFP-membranes prepared with different filler concentrations in the hexane solution.



Figure S7: TEM cross-section images of sZIF-EFP-membranes prepared with different filler concentrations in the hexane solution.



Figure S8: Permeance and rejection of bZIF-CONV-membranes for a 1000 ppm NaCl in MiliQ feed.



Figure S9: Permeance and rejection of sZIF-CONV-membranes for a 1000 ppm NaCl in MiliQ feed.



Figure S10: ATR-FTIR absorption spectra of bZIF-CONV-membranes.



Figure S11: SEM images of the toplayer morphology of bZIF-CONV-membranes prepared with different filler concentrations in the hexane solution.



Figure S12: TEM cross-section images of bZIF-CONV-membranes prepared with different filler concentrations in the hexane solution.