## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## A Robust Water Oxidation Electrocatalyst From Amorphous Cobalt - Iron Bimetallic Phytate Nanostructures



**Fig. S1** XRD patterns of amorphous Co-Fe-phy (a) and crystalline Co-Fe-phy (b)



Fig. S2 EDS spectrum of the Co-Fe-phy catalyst.



**Fig. S3** Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm (a) and corresponding pore size distribution (b) of the Co-Fe-phy catalyst.



**Fig. S4** The overall XPS spectrum (a) and high resolution C 1s XPS spectrum (b) of Co-Fe-phy.



Fig. S5 IR spectrum (a) and Raman spectrum (b) of Co-Fe-phy.



**Fig. S6** LSVs of catalysts with different dosing mole ratios between metal and phytate.



**Fig. S7** The current density with different ratios of iron doping at overpotential of 300 mV.



Fig. S8 Polarization curves of Co-Fe-phy on GCE with different mass loading.

The calculation of the turnover frequency (TOF):

TOF is defined as the number of  $O_2$  molecules produced per second per active site. At first, we assumed that only Co-sites are available for catalysis. Then we calculated the TOF<sub>Co</sub> according to the equation: TOF=J\*A/ (4\*F\*m), where J is the current density at overpotential of 300 mV (A cm<sup>-2</sup>), A is the area of glassy carbon electrode (0.07 cm<sup>-2</sup>), F is Faraday constant (96485 C mol<sup>-1</sup>) and m is the number of moles of catalytic metal deposited on the GC electrode (mol). The factor 1/4 arrives by taking into account that four electrons are required to form one oxygen molecule. Finally, five groups of TOF<sub>Co</sub> at different Fe content were calculated and the TOF<sub>Co</sub> is the minimum value of the number of O<sub>2</sub> molecules produced per second per active site. Additionally, the computing method of TOF<sub>Fe</sub> was calculated in accordance with TOF<sub>Co</sub>.



**Fig. S9** TOF data depicted based on assuming (a) only all the Co-sites are available for catalysis (TOF <sub>Co</sub>), and (b) only all the Fe-sites are available for catalysis (TOF <sub>Fe</sub>) during polarization at  $\eta = 300$  mV.



**Fig. S10** Polarization curves (a) and corresponding Tafel plots (b) of amorphous and crystalline Co-Fe-phy in 1 M KOH.



**Fig. S11** (a) Polarization curves of Co-Fe-phy in 0.1 and 1 M KOH at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>; (b) Tafel plots.



**Fig. S12** The EIS of the five catalysts on GCE; the top-right corner displays the simplified Randles equivalent circuit.



**Fig. S13** The CV curves of Co-Fe-phy (a), Co-Fe-O (b), Co-Fe-Pi (c), Co-phy (d) and Fe-phy (e) at a sweep rate of 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in 1 M KOH; the linear fitting curves of the oxidation current at 1.34 V vs. RHE versus scan rates (f).



**Fig. S14** Faradaic efficiency of the Co-Fe-phy catalyst loaded on CFP at 1.52 V vs. RHE in 1M KOH.



Fig. S15 The XRD pattern of the catalyst after 300 cycles.



**Fig. S16** The CV cycles of Co-Fe-phy (a) and Co-phy (b) at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in 1 M KOH.



Fig. S17 Fe 2p (a) and C 1s (b) XPS spectra after 300 cycles.



Fig. S18 IR spectrum of Co-Fe-phy after cycles.

Catalyst	j/mA cm <sup>-2</sup> @ η/mV	Tafel slope (mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )	Electrolyte	Mass loading /mg cm <sup>-2</sup>	Reference
Co-Fe-phy	10@278	34	1M KOH	0.26	This work
Co-Fe-phy	10@313	41	0.1M KOH	0.26	This work
Amorphous CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>n</sub>	10@510	48	0.1M KOH	0.051	1
Crystalline CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	10@570	61	0.1M KOH	0.051	1
CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> NFs	5@410	82	0.1M KOH	0.428	2
Amorphous Ni-Co binary oxide	10@325	39	1М КОН	-	3
Zn <sub>x</sub> Co <sub>3-x</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -3:1 RP arrays	10@320	51	1М КОН	1	4
CoMn LDH	10@324	43	1M KOH	-	5
LiFe <sub>x</sub> Ni <sub>1-x</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /rGO/GC	10@295	47	1M KOH	-	6
Co <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> @N-C	10@317	62	1M KOH	0.3	7
CoP/C	10@360	66	0.1M KOH	0.05	8
$(Co_{0.54}Fe_{0.46})_2P/C$	10@370	-	0.1M KOH	0.2	9
3D-NA/Co/CoNPAs	10@265	69	1M KOH	-	10
Fe <sub>2</sub> Ni <sub>2</sub> N NPAs	-	34	1M KOH	-	11
Ultrathin NiFe LDH nanosheets with MoO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> intercalation	10@280	40	1M KOH	0.28	12
Plasma-engraved Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheets	10@300	68	0.1M KOH	-	13
Ni-Fe oxide films	5@384	~ 48	0.1M KOH	0.1	14
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	10@340	88	1 M KOH	-	15
Ni-Fe alloy	10@375	42	1 M KOH	-	16
Ultrathin NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheets/GC	10@320	30	1 М КОН	0.285	17
$np-(Co_{0.52}Fe_{0.48})_2P$	10@270	30	1 M KOH	-	18
$Co_3V_2O_8$	10@359	65	1 M KOH	0.21	19
Fe-mCo <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /GC	10@380	60	1 M KOH	-	20

**Table S1** Comparison of the catalytic activity for OER with severalreported catalysts.

## **Reference:**

- A. Indra, P. W. Menezes, N. R. Sahraie, A. Bergmann, C. Das, M. Tallarida, D. Schmeisser, P. Strasser and M. Driess, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 17530-17536.
- 2 M. Li, Y. P. Xiong, X. T. Liu, X. J. Bo, Y. F. Zhang, C. Han and L. P. Guo, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 8920-8930.
- 3 Y. Yang, H. L. Fei, G. D. Ruan, C. S. Xiang and J. M. Tour, ACS Nano, 2014, 8, 9518-9523.
- 4 X. J. Liu, Z. Chang, L. Luo, T. H. Xu, X. D. Lei, J. F. Liu and X. M. Sun, Chem. Mater., 2014, 26, 1889-1895.
- 5 F. Song and X. L. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 16481-16484.
- 6 S. Ma, Q. Zhu, L. Chen, W. Wang and D. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 8149-8154.
- 7 C.-Z. Yuan, Y.-F. Jiang, Z. Wang, X. Xie, Z.-K. Yang, A. B. Yousaf and A.-W. Xu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016,4, 8155-8160.
- 8 J. Ryu, N. Jung, J. H. Jang, H.-J. Kim and S. J. Yoo, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 4066-4074.
- 9 A. Mendoza-Garcia, D. Su and S. Sun, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 3244-3247.
- 10 P. P. Li, Z. Y. Jin, J. Yang, Y. Jin and D. Xiao, Chem. Mater., 2016, 28, 153-161.
- 11 M. Jiang, Y. Li, Z. Lu, X. Sun and X. Duan, Inorg. Chem. Front., 2016, 3, 630-634.
- 12 N. Han, F. Zhao and Y. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 16348-16353.
- 13 L. Xu, Q. Jiang, Z. Xiao, X. Li, J. Huo, S. Wang and L. Dai, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 5277–5281.
- 14 D. Zhang, L. Meng, J. Shi, N. Wang, S. Liu and C. Li, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, 169, 402-408.
- 15 H. Hu, B. Guan, B. Xia, X. Lou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 5590-5595.
- 16 T. N. Kumar, S. Sivabalan, N. Chandrasekaran and K. L. Phani, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 1922-1925.
- 17 J. Bao, X. D. Zhang, B. Fan, J. J. Zhang, M. Zhou, W. L. Yang, X. Hu, H. Wang, B. C. Pan and Y. Xie, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 7399-7404.
- 18 Y. Tan, H. Wang, P. Liu, Y. Shen, C. Cheng, A. Hirata, T. Fujita, Z. Tang and M. Chen, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, 9, 2257-2261.
- 19 M. Xing, L. B. Kong, M. C. Liu, L. Y. Liu, L. Kang and Y. C. Luo, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 18435–18443
- 20 C. L. Xiao, X. Y. Lu and C. Zhao, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 10122-10125.