# **Supplementary Information**

# Enhancement of catalytic activity of AgPd@Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles under UV and visible photoirradiation

Masaharu Tsuji,\*a Daisuke Shimamoto,<sup>b</sup> Keiko Uto,<sup>c</sup> Masashi Hattori,<sup>c</sup> and Hiroki Ago<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> International Research and Education Center of Carbon Resources, Kyushu University, Kasuga 816-8580, Japan. E-mail: tsuji@cm.kyushu-u.ac.jp

<sup>b</sup> Department of Applied Science for Electronics and Materials, Graduate School of Engineering Sciences, Kyushu University, Kasuga 816-8580, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Institute for Materials Chemistry and Engineering, Kyushu University, Kasuga 816-8580, Japan

<sup>*d*</sup> Art, Science, and Technology Center for Cooperative Research (KASTEC), Kyushu University, Kasuga 816-8580, Japan

#### **Characterizations of nanocatalysts**

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2100F; JEOL) and corresponding energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometry were applied for microstructure and composition analyses. Spherical-aberration-corrected scanning TEM (Cs-corrected STEM, JEM-ARM200F; JEOL) and corresponding EDX spectrometry were applied for more detailed analyses. Amorphous carbon coated copper grids were used as the sample supporters. The average sizes of product particles were determined by measuring more than 100 particles in TEM and STEM images. Amorphous carbon coated copper grids were used as the sample supporters. The composition of AgPd@Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocatalysts was analyzed using atomic absorption spectrometry (AA-7000; Shimadzu Corp.). Before measurement, AgPd@Pd/TiO2 nanocatalysts were dissolved into sulfuric acid and this solution was filtered for removing TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. The Pd/Ag atomic ratio was estimated from the concentrations of Ag and Pd ions in the solution. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were measured using Cu Ka radiation operating at 45 kV and 200 mA (SmartLab; Rigaku Corp.). X-ray photoelectron spectrometry (XPS) was conducted using Al Ka radiation (AXIS-165; Shimadzu Corp.). The calibration was carried out using a carbon peak which was derived from tape used for stabilizing samples at XPS measurement before analyzing. Since drift of peaks due to charge up was observed for the AgPd@Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> (P) sample, only the first scan data with low signal to ratio was used. Such a drift was not observed for the AgPd@Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A) sample, so that data with better signal-to-noise ratio were obtained by averaging several scan data. Detailed analyses for CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, and CO were performed on a gas chromatograph (GC7100; J-Science).

## Measurement of hydrogen production under light irradiation

Hydrogen production rate from decomposition of formic acid was measured using the apparatus shown in Fig. S1.





## Determination of initial hydrogen formation rate and TOF<sub>initial</sub>

 $R_{\text{initial}}(L \text{ H}_2 \text{ g metal catalyst}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})$ ,  $TOF_{\text{initial}}$  (mol H<sub>2</sub> mol metal catalyst $^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ), and H<sub>2</sub> production rate (mmol H<sub>2</sub> g catalyst $^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) were estimated from the following equations.

$$R_{\rm initial} = V_{\rm gas}/2m_{\rm metal}t,\tag{S1}$$

In this equation,  $R_{\text{initial}}$  represents the initial rate of hydrogen generation when conversion  $x_{\text{a}}$  reaches 20%,  $V_{\text{gas}}$  is the volume of the initial generated gas (H<sub>2</sub> + CO<sub>2</sub>), when  $x_{\text{a}}$  reaches 20%,  $m_{\text{metal}}$  is the weight of the metallic catalyst, and *t* is the reaction time when  $x_{\text{a}}$  reaches 20%. For obtaining average value, measurements were taken at least three times.

$$TOF_{\text{initial}} = P_{\text{atm}} V_{\text{gas}} / 2RTM_{\text{metal}}t, \qquad (S2)$$

Where  $TOF_{initia}$  is the initial turn over frequency of reaction, when  $x_a$  reaches 20%, and  $M_{metal}$  is the mole number of metal catalyst.

H<sub>2</sub> production rate =  $1000P_{\text{atm}}V_{\text{gas}}/2RTm_{\text{catalyst}}$ , (S3)

Where  $m_{\text{catalyst}}$  is the weight of total catalyst including support.







**Fig. S2** TEM images of (a)  $TiO_2$  (anataze: A) and (b)  $TiO_2$  (P25: P).



Fig. S3 XRD patterns of (a) TiO<sub>2</sub> (A: red dots, anatase) and (b) TiO<sub>2</sub> (P: green dots, rutile).



Fig. S4 TEM images of (a) AgPd@Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A) and (b) AgPd@Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> (P).



Fig. S5. XPS spectra of AgPd@Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A) and (b) AgPd@Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> (P).



**Fig. S6** Arrhenius plots of hydrogen production rate vs 1/T for AgPd@Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> (A or P) catalysts without photoirradiation and under UV and vis photoirradiation.

Catalyst	Temperature (°C)	$TOF_{initial}$ (mol H <sub>2</sub> mol metal catalyst <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	Ref.
$Ag_{93}Pd_7@Pd/TiO_2(A)$	27	193	This work
Ag <sub>93</sub> Pd <sub>7</sub> @Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> (A) + light	27	306	This work
$Ag_{93}Pd_7@Pd/TiO_2(P)$	27	27.1	This work
$Ag_{93}Pd_7@Pd/TiO_2(P) + light$	27	41.9	This work
CoAuPd/DNA-rGO <sup>b</sup>	25	102.4	20
AuPd-MnOx/ZIF-8-rGO <sup>c</sup>	25	382.1	21
Au@Pd/N-mrGO <sup>d</sup>	25	104.5	22
Pd-poly(allyl-amine)	22	61.5	23
AgPd-Hs/G <sup>e</sup>	25	333	24
AgPd/rGO	25	105.2	25
Citric acid modified Pd/C	25	143.1	26
$Ag_{50}Pd_{50}$	20	144	7
$Ag_{42}Pd_{58}$	25	198	6
CoAuPd/C	25	80	27
Ag@Pd	20	125	7
Ag@Pd/C	20	192	7
Pd/C	30	228	28
AgAuPd/rGO	25	57.6	29
Pd-MnO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> -NH <sub>2</sub>	30	290	30

**Table S1** Initial TOF values for the decomposition of FA over various heterogeneous catalysts at low temperature.

<sup>a</sup>mol catalyst without support

<sup>b</sup>DNA-reduced-graphene-oxide

<sup>c</sup>ZIF-8 framework (Zn(MeIM)<sub>2</sub>, MeIM = 2-methylimidazole)

<sup>d</sup>nitrogen-doped mildly reduced graphene oxide

<sup>e</sup>hollow spheres anchored on graphene

Catalyst	Light	$H_2$ production (mmol $H_2$ g catalyst <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	Ref.
Ag <sub>93</sub> Pd <sub>7</sub> @Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> (A)	no	294	This work
$Ag_{93}Pd_7@Pd/TiO_2(A) + light$	UV + Vis	468	This work
Ag <sub>93</sub> Pd <sub>7</sub> @Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> (P)	no	41.3	This work
$Ag_{93}Pd_7@Pd/TiO_2(P) + light$	UV + Vis	64.0	This work
AuPd/TiO <sub>2</sub> (A) nanowire (NW)	AM1.5, 1 sun	17.7	15
Au/TiO <sub>2</sub> (A) NW	AM1.5, 1 sun	3.9	15
TiO <sub>2</sub> (A) NW	AM1.5, 1 sun	10.9	15
Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> (A) NW	AM1.5, 1 sun	0.80	15
Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub> (A)	UV	1.62	16
Rh-N/TiO <sub>2</sub> (A)	230-440 nm	0.746	17
Cu/TiO <sub>2</sub> (A)	UV	0.83	18
CdS-TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotube/TiO <sub>2</sub>	>430 nm	0.56	19

**Table S2** Hydrogen production rate from formic acid decomposition by using photocatalysts under light irradiation at room temperature.

<sup>a</sup>g catalyst: the mass of the entire photocatalyst including support used for reaction.