## A Novel Pseudocapacitance Mechanism of Elm Seeds-like Mesoporous

## MoO<sub>3-x</sub> Nanosheets as electrode for supercapacitor

Qian-Long Lu<sup>a,b</sup>, Shi-Xi Zhao<sup>a\*</sup>, Chang-Ke Chen<sup>a,b</sup>, Xia Wang<sup>a,b</sup>, Yu-Feng Deng<sup>a</sup>, Ce-Wen Nan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Graduate School at Shenzhen, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen, 518055, China

<sup>b</sup>School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing,100084, China

\*Corresponding Author: Tel.: +86 0755 26036372; fax: +86 0755 26036372

*E-mail*: zhaosx@sz.tsinghua.edu.cn (Shi-Xi Zhao).

## Supplementary figures and tables



Fig. S1 (a) XRD pattern and color, (b) SEM image of generally synthesized  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> nanobelts.

In the most works of  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> based supercapacitors,  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> was synthesized to be nanobelts and was white or light gray.<sup>1-5</sup> Because of the lamer crystal structure and obvious orientation, the diffraction peaks that corresponds the crystal face {0 b 0} (b=2, 4, 6, 10) are very intense. We also synthesized  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> by a facile hydrothermal method and its color is light gray (**Fig. S1**a, b).



**Fig. S2** (a) XRD peak position difference between  $H_xMoO_3$  and  $MoO_{3-x}$ . (b)TAG-DSC curves of  $H_xMoO_3$  in air.

TAG-DSC curves of  $H_xMoO_3$  indicate that its thermo-stability is high and it start smelting at around 800°C. Moreover, this also confirms there are no crystal water in  $H_xMoO_3$  (**Fig. S2** (b)).

 Table S1. Integral area and percentage of every peak in XPS spectra.

|                                 | Int Area of P1 | Int Area of P2 | Int Area of P3 | Int Area of P4 |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| MoO <sub>3-x</sub>              | 3867(4.4%)     | 45988(52.7%)   | 2631(3.0%)     | 34808(39.9%)   |
| H <sub>x</sub> MoO <sub>3</sub> | 2095(2.9%)     | 40145(55.7%)   | 1819(2.5%)     | 27957(38.9%)   |



Fig. S3 N<sub>2</sub> adsorption–desorption isotherms and pore size distributions of H<sub>x</sub>MoO<sub>3</sub>.

|                                 | a <sub>s,BET</sub><br>([m <sup>2</sup> ·g <sup>-1</sup> ]) | Total pore<br>volume( <i>p</i> / <i>p</i> <sub>0</sub> =0.990) | Mean pore<br>diameter |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
|                                 |  | [cm <sup>3</sup> ·g <sup>-1</sup> ]                            | [ <b>nm</b> ]         |  |
| H <sub>x</sub> MoO <sub>3</sub> | 20.17  | 0.13   | 25.77                 |  |
| MoO <sub>3-x</sub>              | 22.48  | 0.145  | 25.86                 |  |

**Table S2.**BET and BJH data of H<sub>x</sub>MoO<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>3-x</sub>.



**Fig. S4** Morphology of  $H_xMoO_3$  obtained at different magnifications.



**Fig. S5** The SEM images of the products of  $H_xMoO_3$  hydrogenated at different temperatures (a)250°C; (b)300°C; (c)350 °C; (d)400 °C.



**Fig. S6** XRD patterns of the products of  $H_xMoO_3$  hydrogenated at different temperatures (a) 250°C; (b) 300 °C; (c) 350 °C; (d) 400 °C.

After hydrogenation at different temperatures, the morphology of the products took some changes. From 250 to 300°C, the main morphology remained unchanged except there are more random distributed nanoflakes. The XRD pattern of them were almost same and can be indexed to be  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub>. From 300 to 350°C, many nano-particles emerged on the surface of nanosheets. The peaks of  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>2</sub> appeared in the pattern of sample 350°C at the same time. In combination the SEM images with XRD pattern, we can concluded that part of the  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> was reduced to MoO<sub>2</sub>. From 350 to 400°C, the product changed significantly. Many nanosheets seems to split into nano-particles and the hierarchical structure disappeared.<sup>6</sup> The sample 400°C can be indexed to be MoO<sub>2</sub> (**Fig. S5**, 6).



Fig. S7 TEM imagines of  $H_xMoO_3$  (a), (b) and  $MoO_{3-x}$  (c), (d) obtained at different magnifications.



Fig. S8 CV curves of the hydrogenated products at (a) 250°C; (b)350°C; (c)400°C and carbon paper

current collector; (d) GCD curves of  $H_xMoO_3$  and (e)  $MoO_{3-x}$  at different current densities; (f) specific capacitance at different scan rates of different hydrogenating products.

The products obtained at 250°C and 350°C could also deliver obvious pseudocapancitance like  $MoO_{3-x}$ and  $H_xMoO_3$ , but the 400°C product shows no capacitive behavior because  $MoO_2$  dissolved in  $H_2SO_4$ electrolyte (**Fig. S**8.a, b, c). Among those products, the  $MoO_{3-x}$  (product of 300°C hydrogenation) shows best specific capacitance.

Specific capacitance  $C_s$  (F·g<sup>-1</sup>) of the electrodes were calculated from the CV and GCD curves by Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively, where  $I_1$  (A) is the response current,  $\Delta V_I$  (V) is the potential window of electrodes,  $\Delta V_2$ (V) is the potential window without IR drop. v (V·s<sup>-1</sup>) is the scan rate,  $I_2$ (A) is the constant discharge current,  $\Delta t_1$ (s) is the discharging time and m(g) is the weight of the active material.

$$C_{s} = \frac{\int I_{1} dv}{vm\Delta V_{1}}$$
(1)  
$$C_{s} = \frac{I_{2}\Delta t1}{m\Delta V_{2}}$$
(2)

Specific capacitance  $C_a$  (F·g<sup>-1</sup>) of the asymmetric supercapacitor were calculated from the CV curves by Eqs. (3), where m<sub>1</sub> (g) and m<sub>2</sub> (g) is the weight of the active materials for positive and negative electrodes,  $\Delta U(V)$  is potential window of asymmetric supercapacitor

$$\int I_1 dv$$

$$C_a = \overline{v(m1+m2)\Delta U}$$
(3)

The energy density (E (W h kg<sup>-1</sup>)) and power density (P (W kg<sup>-1</sup>)) of asymmetric supercapacitor can be calculated from C<sub>a</sub> according to the following Eqs. (4) and (5), where  $\Delta t_2(s)$  is the time calculated by  $\Delta U/\nu$ .

$$E = \frac{1}{2 \times 3.6} C_a \Delta U^2 (4)$$

$$P = \frac{3600 E}{\Delta t^2} (5)$$

**Table S3.** Electrical resistivity of  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>x</sub>MoO<sub>3</sub>, MoO<sub>3-x</sub>.

|--|

| a-MoO3                          | 0.94 | 10.06 | 4.573 × 10 <sup>5</sup> |
|---------------------------------|------|-------|-------------------------|
| H <sub>x</sub> MoO <sub>3</sub> | 0.62 | 10.06 | $1.082 \times 10^{3}$   |
| MoO <sub>3-x</sub>              | 0.64 | 10.06 | 7.882×10 <sup>-2</sup>  |

To detect the electrical resistivity of  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>x</sub>MoO<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>3-x</sub>, the powder of them was pressed into disc. It is interesting to see that the electrical resistivity of H<sub>x</sub>MoO<sub>3</sub> is smaller than that of  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub>. The electrical conductivity of MoO<sub>3-x</sub> was improved a lot after hydrogenation.

| Table S4. Fitted impedance data obtained from Nyquist plots |               |                       |                  |                           |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
|   | $R_s(\Omega)$ | CPE                   | $R_{ct}(\Omega)$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{d}}$ |
| MoO <sub>3-x</sub>  | 1.84          | $2.75 \times 10^{-3}$ | 18.49            | 997.8                     |
| H <sub>x</sub> MoO <sub>3</sub>                             | 2.47          | $1.16 \times 10^{-3}$ | 43.46            | 6970                      |



**Fig. S9** (a) CV curves of  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> electrode at different scan rates; (b) CV curves of  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> and MoO<sub>3-x</sub> electrodes at the scan rate of 100mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. S10** HRTEM images of (a)  $H_xMoO_3$ , (b)  $MoO_{3-x}$ , (c) products of cycle 2 and d) cycle 10000. The insets show the corresponding SAED patterns.

- L. Huang, X. Gao, Q. Dong, Z. Hu, X. Xiao, T. Li, Y. Cheng, B. Yao, J. Wan, D. Ding, Z. Ling,
   J. Qiu and J. Zhou, *J Mater Chem A*, 2015, **3**, 17217-17223.
- 2 B. Mendoza-Sanchez, T. Brousse, C. Ramirez-Castro, V. Nicolosi and P. S. Grant, *Electrochim Acta*, 2013, **91**, 253-260.
- F. Wang, Z. Liu, X. Wang, X. Yuan, X. Wu, Y. Zhu, L. Fu and Y. Wu, *J Mater Chem A*, 2016, 4, 5115-5123.
- X. Xiao, Z. H. Peng, C. Chen, C. F. Zhang, M. Beidaghi, Z. H. Yang, N. Wu, Y. H. Huang, L. Miao, Y. Gogotsi and J. Zhou, *Nano Energy*, 2014, 9, 355-363.
- 5 X. Xiao, C. Zhang, S. Lin, L. Huang, Z. Hu, Y. Cheng, T. Li, W. Qiao, D. Long, Y. Huang, L. Mai, Y. Gogotsi and J. Zhou, *Energy Storage Materials*, 2015, 1, 1-8.
- 6 B. Hu, L. Q. Mai, W. Chen and F. Yang, *Acs Nano*, 2009, **3**, 478-482.