Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Hierarchical MnCo-Layered Double Hydroxides@Ni(OH)₂ Core-Shell Heterostructures as Advanced Electrode for Supercapacitors

Shude Liu^a, Iman Shackery^a, Umakant Patil^a, Su Chan Lee^{a,b}, Byeongho Park^a, Hye bin An^a, Kyung Yoon Chung^{a,b}, Seong Chan Jun^{a,*}

^aNano-Electro Mechanical Device Laboratory, School of Mechanical Engineering, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, South Korea.

^bCenter for Energy Convergence Research, Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Hwarang-ro 14-gil 5, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 136-791, Republic of Korea

*Corresponding author:

E-mail: scj@yonsei.ac.kr (Seong Chan Jun)



Figure S1. SEM images of MnCo-LDH@Ni(OH)₂ core–shell heterostructures obtained with various Ni(OH)₂ deposition time: (a) 4 h, (b) 12 h, (c) 20 h, respectively.



Figure S2 (a) SEM image with corresponding elemental mapping images of (b) Ni, (c) Mn, (d) Co, and (e) O. (f) EDS spectrum of the MnCo-LDH@Ni(OH)₂-12 h core-shell heterostructures.



Figure S3 (a) Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of MnCo-LDH, $Ni(OH)_2$ and MnCo-LDH@Ni(OH)_2-12 h samples (Inset is the pore-size distribution of MnCo-LDH@Ni(OH)_2-12 h).



Figure S4 XRD patterns of (a) MnCo-LDH and (b) Ni(OH)₂ supported on Ni foam.



Figure S5 CV curves of (a) MnCo-LDH nanowire and (b) $Ni(OH)_2$ nanosheet electrodes at different scan rates.



Figure S6 GCD curves of (a) MnCo-LDH, (b) $Ni(OH)_2$, and (c-e) MnCo-LDH@Ni(OH)₂ (Ni(OH)₂ deposition time: 4, 12, and 20 h, respectively) at various current densities.



Figure S7 GCD curves of the first 10 cycles for (a) MnCo-LDH and (b) $Ni(OH)_2$ electrodes in the potential window of -0.1 to 0.4 V at a current density of 20 A g⁻¹.



Figure S8 Areal capacitance values of the prepared electrodes conducted at different current densities



Figure S9 (a) CV curves and (b) the corresponding specific capacitances of activated carbon recorded at different scan rates.

	Specific capacitance	Capacitanc		
Materials	(current	e retention	Ref	
	destiny/scan rate)	(cycles)		
Graphene/NiAl-LDH nanoparticles	213.57 F g ⁻¹ (1 A	≈100%	S 1	
	$g^{-1})$	(1000)	51	
	781.5 F g^{-1} (5 mV	122.56%	S2	
GNS/N1AI-LDH nanoplatelets	s^{-1})	(200)		
	484.8 F g ⁻¹ (2 A	/ \$3	\$2	
CoAI-LDH nanosheets/GNS	g ⁻¹)		33	
CoAl-LDH nanoplatelets/MnO ₂	1088 F g ⁻¹ (1 A g ⁻¹)	≈100%	S4	
nanoparticles		(1000)		
Co Ni I DH microparticles	1200 E σ^{-1} (1 A σ^{-1})	/	85	
	1609 F g (I A g)	1		
platelet-like NiAl-LDH particles	701 F g ⁻¹ (10 mA	94% (400)	S6	
	cm ⁻²)			
NiTi-I DH thin films	10.37 F cm ⁻² (5 mA	86% (1000)	S7	
	cm ⁻²)	0070 (1000)		
GS/NiCo-I DH nanoflakes	1980.7 F g ⁻¹ (1 A	97.1%	S8	
Ob/1000 LD11 hunohukes	g ⁻¹)	(1500)		
CoALI DH nanosheets/GO	772 F g^{-1} (1 A g^{-1})	73%	S9	
COM-LDIT hanosheets/ GO		(10000)		
CoAl-LDH flakes	342.4 F g ⁻¹ (2 A	/	S10	
	$g^{-1})$	1		
NiCoAl-LDH nanosheets /CNT	1188 F g^{-1} (1 A	00%(1000)	S11	
	$g^{-1})$	9070(1000)		
CoAl-LDH nanosheets/GO	$1031 \text{ F g}^{-1} (1 \text{ A g}^{-1})$	≈100%	S12	
		(6000)		
CoAl-LDH nanosheets /GO	880 F g^{-1} (5 mV	≈100%	S13	
	s^{-1})	(2000)		
CoAl-LDH nanosheets/CNT	884 F g^{-1} (0.86 A	88% (2000)	S14	
	$g^{-1})$	0070 (2000)		

Table S1 Electrochemical performances comparison of MnCo-LDH@Ni(OH)₂ heterostructures with previous reports on LDH-based hybrid electrodes.

a-GNS/NiAl-LDH nanoflakes	790 A g^{-1} (10 A g^{-1})	99.2%	815
		(500)	510
MnCo-LDH@Ni(OH)2	2320 A g^{-1} (3 A g^{-1})	90.9%	Present
		(5000)	work

- S1. Z. Wang, X. Zhang, J. Wang, L. Zou, Z. Liu and Z. Hao, Journal of colloid and interface science, 2013, 396, 251-257.
- S2. Z. Gao, J. Wang, Z. Li, W. Yang, B. Wang, M. Hou, Y. He, Q. Liu, T. Mann and P. Yang, *Chemistry of Materials*, 2011, 23, 3509-3516.
- S3. S. Huang, G.-N. Zhu, C. Zhang, W. W. Tjiu, Y.-Y. Xia and T. Liu, ACS applied materials & interfaces, 2012, 4, 2242-2249.
- S4. Z. P. Diao, Y. X. Zhang, X. D. Hao and Z. Q. Wen, *Ceramics International*, 2014, 40, 2115-2120.
- S5. H. ZA, Y. XIE and Y. WANG, *J Electrochimica Acta*, 2009, **54**, 2737-2741.
- S6. J. Wang, Y. Song, Z. Li, Q. Liu, J. Zhou, X. Jing, M. Zhang and Z. Jiang, *Energy & Fuels*, 2010, 24, 6463-6467.
- S7. Y. H. Gu, Z. Y. Lu, Z. Chang, J. F. Liu, X. D. Lei, Y. P. Li and X. M. Sun, J Mater Chem A, 2013, 1, 10655-10661.
- S8. T. Yan, R. Li and Z. Li, *Materials Research Bulletin*, 2014, **51**, 97-104.
- S9. J. Fang, M. Li, Q. Li, W. Zhang, Q. Shou, F. Liu, X. Zhang and J. Cheng, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2012, 85, 248-255.
- S10. Y. Ding, G. Gu and X.-H. Xia, *Journal of Solid State Electrochemistry*, 2008, 12, 553-558.
- S11. C. Yu, J. Yang, C. Zhao, X. Fan, G. Wang and J. Qiu, *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6, 3097-3104.
- S12. X. YiáDong, Z. JunáZhang, X. FengáPei and X. JiangáChen, Chemical Communications, 2011, 47, 3556-3558.
- S13. X. Dong, L. Wang, D. Wang, C. Li and J. Jin, *Langmuir*, 2011, 28, 293-298.
- S14. L. Yu, N. Shi, Q. Liu, J. Wang, B. Yang, B. Wang, H. Yan, Y. Sun and X. Jing, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2014, 16, 17936-17942.
- S15. N. Yulian, L. Ruiyi, L. Zaijun, F. Yinjun and L. Junkang, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2013, 94, 360-366.