Electronic Supporting Information

Improved electro-grafting of nitropyrene onto onion-like carbon via

in situ electrochemical reduction and polymerization: Tailoring

redox energy density of the supercapacitor positive electrode

Bihag Anothumakkool¹, Yuman Sayed-Ahmad-Baraza¹, Chris Ewels¹, Pierre-Louis Taberna²,

Barbara Daffos², Patrice Simon², Thierry Brousse^{*1} and Joel Gaubicher^{1*}

1-Institut des Materiaux Jean Rouxel (IMN), University of Nantes, CNRS, 2, rue de Houssiniere-B.P. 32229-44322 Nantes cedex 3-France

2-Universite Paul Sabatier, Cirimat/Lcmie, 31062 Toulouse cedex 9 - France,



Figure S1: Open circuit potential (OCP) of YP-80 electrode in LP-30 and b) OCP of OLC electrode in 1M TEABF₄/Acetonitrile and further after the introduction of 2mM Pyr-NO2.



Figure S2: Adsorption of Pyrene and deriviatives onto carbon onion; in all cases the concentration was 0.25 mM in LP-30



Figure S3: Initial CV profile at a scan rate of 5 mV/s in LP-30 containing 2 mM Pyr-NO₂ and Pyr-NH₂. Different electrochemical process and their corresponding peaks are indicated in the inset of figure.



Figure S4: N1S XPS spectra of a) 1-nitropyrene and b) 1-aminopyrene

Table S1. N1S core levl XPS details of OLC/PPyrNO2

Peak name	Position	Full width Half maximum (FWHM)	% area
-NH ₂	400.4	2.52	79.8
-NHOH	402.2	2.55	20.2



Figure S5: CV profile of OLC in comparison to two different commercial porous carbon electrode at a scan rate of 5 mV/s in LP-30 containing 2 mM Pyr-NO₂.



Figure S6: CV profile at a scan rate of 5 mV/s in LP-30 containing 2 mM Pyr-NO₂ derivative after electropolymerisation for 800 cycles in 2-4.4 V (red) and 3-4.4 V (green) window in comparison to bare carbon onion (black).



Figure S7: N1S core XPS spectra of PPyr-NO₂-coated OLC after cycling in a potential window of 2-4.4 V.



Figure S8: Nyqusit plot from the EIS spectra



Figure S9: Areal current Vs voltage profile of OLC-PyrNO₂ in comparison to PyrNO₂ deposited onto current collector and pure current collector.



Figure S10: a) Plot of cell potential *vs.* relaxation time after charging to 4.4 V vs. Li⁺/Li and b) change in the capacity due to the leakage loss during the relaxation time for 10 hour.