Supplementary Information

## Mesoporous Ni-doped MnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Hollow Nanotubes as Anode Material of Sodium Ion Battery with Ultralong Life and Pseudocapacitive Mechanism

Lijun Wu,<sup>a,b</sup> Junwei Lang,<sup>a</sup> Peng Zhang,<sup>a,b</sup> Xu Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Ruisheng Guo,<sup>a</sup> Xingbin Yan\*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Clean Energy Chemistry and Materials, State Key Laboratory of Solid

Lubrication, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China.

<sup>b</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100039, P.R. China.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86-931-4968055; Fax: +86-931-4968055.

E-mail address: xbyan@licp.cas.cn



Figure S1. XRD patterns of the as-prepared MCNO-HNTs at different calcining temperatures;



Figure S2. (a), (b) FESEM images of the precursor fibers of as-prepared MCNO-HNTs and PNBs; (c), (d) Low and high magnification FESEM images of as-prepared MCNO-PNBs.



Figure S3. (a) The absorption-desorption isotherms and (b) Pore size distributions of MCNO-PNBs and MCO-HNTs.



**Figure S4.** (a) Low magnification TEM image of as-prepared MCNO-PNBs, (b) Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern, (c) The representative bright-field TEM image, (d) Annual dark-field TEM (ADF-TEM) image of an individual MCNO-PNBs and the corresponding element mappings: (e) Mn, (f) Co, (g) Ni, (h) O and (j) C.



Figure S5. (a), (b) EDX spectrum and the atomic ratio of Co, Mn and Ni three elements of MCNO-HNTs.



Figure S6. TG curves of as-prepared MCNO-HNTs and PNBs.



Figure S7. (a), (b) The profiles of voltage vs specific capacity for mesoporous MCNO-HNTs and MCNO-PNBs vs Na/Na<sup>+</sup> at 0.01-3.0 V for the different cycles.



**Figure S8.** (a) Cyclic performance for MCNO-PNBs *vs* Na/Na<sup>+</sup> at 0.1 A  $g^{-1}$  for 325 cycles, (b) Capacity evolution of MCNO-PNBs by varying charge/discharge rates ranging from 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2 A  $g^{-1}$  and back to 0.1 A  $g^{-1}$ , (c) Cyclic performance after the testing of rate capability at different current densities in Figure S8b for MCNO-PNBs *vs* Na/Na<sup>+</sup> for 5000 cycles at 1 A  $g^{-1}$ , (d) The b-value determination of the peak currents of cathode shows that charge storage of MCNO-PNBs *vs* Na/Na<sup>+</sup>.



**Figure S9.** (a) Low magnification FESEM images of the precursor fibers of MCO-HNTs, (b) Low magnification FESEM images of MCO-HNTs, (c) Rate characteristic of MCO-HNTs *vs* Na/Na<sup>+</sup>, (d) Cyclic performance after the testing of rate capability at different current densities in Figure S9c for MCO-HNTs *vs* Na/Na<sup>+</sup> for 5000 cycles at 1 A g<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S10. Cyclic performance with non-activated process of the MCNO-HNTs vs Na/Na<sup>+</sup> for 3000 cycles at 1 A g<sup>-1</sup>.

Table S1 A summary of recent studies on transition metal oxides anode materials for SIBs.

Materials	Voltage	Current density	Capacity	Cycle	Ref
	range	(mA g <sup>-1</sup> )	(mA h g-1)	number	
	(V)				
MnFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @C nanofibers	0.01-3	100	500	After 300	1
		1000	391	After 4200	
		10000	305		
Metal organic frameworks derived	0.005-3	200	385		2
hierarchical hollow NiO/Ni/graphene		1000	Less 200	200	
composites		2000	207		
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> and Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanocrystals	0.001-3	100 (F <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> )	513	60	3
encapsulated in carbon microspheres		500	309	100	-
		2000	246		
		5000	163		
		100 (Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> )	583		
		500	228	150	
		2000	183		
carbon-coated Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0-2	200	~160	50	4
		2000	157		
NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowire arrays	0.01-3	50	542	50	5
		400	363	20	
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -rGO composite powders	0.001-3	300	174	200	6
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> @GNS	0.005-3	200	327		7
		1000	166	100	
		5000	154		
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> film	0.005-3	100	386	200	8
		200	331	200	
		1000	273	200	
		5000	233		-
G-TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.05-3	50	265		9
		1500	125		
		6000	102		-
		500	120	After 4300	
Nanostructured iron oxide	0.05-2.8	250	130	60	10
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> anchored carbon nanotubes	0.01-3	50	403	100	11
		1600	212		
		3200	190		
Ultrafine niobium oxide nanocrystals/reduced graphene oxide (Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> NCs/rGO)	0-3	100	110	100	12

			1000	170		
			5000	115		
mesoporous Nb2O5/carbon composite		0.01-2.5	50	175		13
			100	-	300	
			1000	60		
SnO <sub>2</sub> @3DG		0.01-3	100	432	200	14
			800	210		
rGO@TiO2 NFs			67	217		15
			670	146		
			3350	87		
Binder-free porous CuO arrays		0-3	200	290.6	450	16
This work	MCNO-HNTs	0.01-3	100	238.6	After 700	
			1600	129.1		
			5000	98.8		
			1000	109 (81%)	After 11000	
	MCNO-PNBs	1	100	269.9		
			1600	114.5		
			1000	103 (85.8%)	After 5000	

1. Y. Liu, N. Zhang, C. Yu, L. Jiao and J. Chen, Nano lett., 2016. 16, 3321-3328.

2. F. Zou, Y. M. Chen, K. Liu, Z.Yu, W. Liang, S. M. Bhaway, M. Gao and Y. Zhu, ACS nano, 2016, 10, 377-386.

- 3. Y. Zhou, W. Sun, X. Rui, Y. Zhou, W. J. Ng, Q. Yan and E. Fong, *Nano Energy*, 2016, 21, 71-79.
- S. M. Oh, S. T. Myung, C. S. Yoon, J. Lu, J. Hassoun, B. Scrosati, K. Amine and Y. K. Sun, *Nano lett.*, 2014, 14, 1620-1626.
- 5. Y. Mo, Q. Ru, J. Chen, X. Song, L. Guo, S. Hu and S. Peng, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 19765-19773.
- 6. G. D. Park, J. S. Cho, J. K. Lee and Y. C. Kang, Sci. Rep., 2016, 6, 22432-22442.
- 7. W. Sun, X. Rui, J. Zhu, L.Yu, Y. Zhang, Z. Xu, S. Madhavi and Q.Yan, J. Power Sources, 2015, 274, 755-761.
- 8. Y. Jiang, M. Hu, D. Zhang, T. Yuan, W. Sun, B. Xu and M. Yan, Nano Energy, 2014, 5, 60-66.
- C. J. Chen, Y. W. Wen, X. L. Hu, X. L. Ji, M. Y. Yan, L. Q. Mai, P. Hu, B. Shan and Y. H. Huang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 6929-6937.
- 10. M. Valvo, F. Lindgren, U. Lafont, F. Björefors and K. Edström, J. Power Sources, 2014, 245, 967-978.
- 11. Md M. Rahman, I. Sultana, Z. Q. Chen, M. Srikanth, L. H. Li, X. J. Dai and Y. Chen, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 13088-13095.
- 12. L. T. Yan, G. Chen, S. Sarker, S.Richins, H. Q. Wang, W. C. Xu, X. H. Rui and H. M. Luo, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 22213-22219.
- 13. H. Kim, E. Lim, C. Jo, G. Yoon, J. Hwang, S. Jeong, J. Lee and K. Kang, Nano Energy, 2015, 16, 62-70.
- 14. L. Pei, Q. Jin, Z. Zhu, Q, Zhao, J. Liang and J. Chen, Nano Res., 2015, 8, 184-192.
- 15. Y. Yeo, J-W Jung, K. Park and Il-D. Kim, Sci Rep., 2015, 5, 13862-13971.
- 16. S.Yuan, X. Huang, D. Ma, H. Wang, F. Meng and X. Zhang, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 2273-2279.



**Figure S11.** (a) Cyclic voltammetry curves for the first cycle of MCNO-HNTs and MCO-HNTs *vs* Na/Na<sup>+</sup>, (b) Ex-situ XRD pattern of MCNO-HNTs at different charge and discharge voltage, MnO<sub>2</sub> in the figure is the charge product-MnO that is oxidized in the air. (c)-(f) TEM and HRTEM images of MCNO-HNTs at fully second charge voltage (3.0 V), discharge voltage (1.5 V) and completely discharge voltage (0.01 V).



Figure S12. (a) Nyquist dots of the MCNO-HNTs, MCNO-PNBs and MnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HNTs , (b) Real parts of the impedance (Z') versus the reciprocal square root of angular frequency ( $\omega$ ) in the low frequency region of the above samples mentioned. Figure S11a presents the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) responses of the typical the MCNO-HNTs, MCNO-PNBs and MnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HNTs samples. All the Nyquist plots are composed of a depressed semicircle from high to medium frequency followed by a slope line in the low frequency region. Where the former is related to the charge-transfer process ( $R_{cr}$ ) at the electrode/electrolyte interfaces, the latter corresponds to the Warburg impedance ( $Z_w$ ) associated with Na<sup>+</sup> diffusion in the fibrous MnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> framework.  $R_s$  refers to the solution resistance, and constant-phase element (CPE) represents the double-layer

capacitance, taking into account the roughness of the particle surface.

**Table S2.** Simulated impendence parameters (*Rs*, *Rct*) and calculated Warburg factor ( $\sigma$ ) of the MCNO-HNTs, MCNO-PNBs and MCO-HNTs samples.

Samples	$R_{s}(\Omega)$	$R_{ct}(\Omega)$	σ
MCNO-HNTs	4.5	114	29.7
MCNO-PNBs	5.5	364	39.8
MCO-HNTs	28.9	428	55.1

As can be seen from the simulation results summerized in Table S2, MCNO-HNTs possess the smaller  $R_{ct}$  and  $R_s$  values than MCO-HNTs. This indicates that the Ni-doping can enhance the electronic conductivity of the composite. EIS is also an important tool to evaluate the diffusion coefficient of Na<sup>+</sup> ions ( $D_{Na}$ ) within the electrode:

$$D_{_{Na}} = \frac{R^2 T^2}{2A^2 n^4 F^4 C^2 \sigma^2}$$
(1)

In eq (1), *R* is the gas constant, *T* is the absolute temperature, *A* is the surface area of electrode, *n* is the number of electrons per molecule during oxidization, *F* is the Faraday constant, *C* is the concentration of sodium ion, and  $\sigma$  is the Warburg factor,  $\sigma$  relates to *Z'* through eq (2) and its value can be obtained from the slope of the line between *Z'* and  $\omega^{-1/2}$  as shown in Figure S9b.<sup>3,4</sup>

$$Z^{\circ} = R_{s} + R_{ct} + \sigma \omega^{-1/2}$$
 (2)

As can be calculated, the  $\sigma$  value of the MCNO-HNTs is the smallest (Table S1), reflecting the largest  $D_{Na}$ . This further demonstrates that the Ni-doping can facilitate the Na<sup>+</sup> ions diffusion kinetics.



Figure S13. (a) SEM and (b) TEM, EDS mapping images of MCNO- HNTs vs Na/Na<sup>+</sup> after 500 cycles.



**Figure S14.** (a) Cycling performances for MCNO-HNTs and PNBs *vs* Li/Li<sup>+</sup> at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> for 150 cycles, (b) Rate characteristic of MCNO-HNTs and PNBs *vs* Li/Li<sup>+</sup>, (c) Cycling performances for MCNO-HNTs and PNBs *vs* Li/Li<sup>+</sup> for 1000 cycles at 5 A g<sup>-1</sup>, (d) The b-value determination of the peak currents of cathode shows that charge storage of MCNO-HNTs and PNBs *vs* Li/Li<sup>+</sup>.