## Supporting Information of

## **Enhanced performance and Light Soaking Stability of Planar Perovskite Solar Cells using Amine-based Fullerene Interfacial Modifier**

Yunhai Zhang<sup>a,b</sup>, Peng Wang<sup>b</sup>, Xuegong Yu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jiangsheng Xie<sup>a</sup>, Jiabin Huang<sup>a</sup>, Lingbo Xu<sup>b</sup>, Can Cui<sup>b,\*</sup>, Ming Lei<sup>c,\*</sup> and Deren Yang<sup>a</sup>

- (a) State Key Lab of Silicon Materials and School of Materials Science & Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China
- (b) Center for Optoelectronics Materials and Devices, Department of Physics, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, China
- (c) Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027, China

\*Corresponding author:

- Xuegong Yu Email: yuxuegong@zju.edu.cn
- Can Cui Email: cancui@zstu.edu.cn
- Ming Lei Email: leiming@zju.edu.cn

## Table of contents:

**Figure S1**. To-view SEM images of electron transport layers without and with PCBDNA modification. (a) FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>; (b) FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/PCBDAN.

Figure S2. Tapping-mode AFM images.

Figure S3. The images of DMF droplet angles.

Figure S4. Photovoltaic performance statistics of the devices.

Figure S5. J-V curves under different decay times and the corresponding parameters.

**Figure S6.** The steady-state *Jsc* test at the voltage around 0.732 V of the control device. **Figure S7.** The normalized absorption intensity of perovskite films based on Glass and Glass/PCBDAN at 600 nm.

**Figure S8.** Device efficiency stability under sustained AM1.5G illumination soaking with encapsulation in air condition.

**Table S1.** Work function (WF) of FTO, TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>/PCBDAN from Kelvin probe force microscopy (KPFM).

**Table S2.** The TRPL fitting characteristics of perovskite thin films on  $TiO_2$  and  $TiO_2/PCBDNAN$  ETL.

Table S3. Photovoltaic parameters of PSCs with different delay times.



Figure S1. To-view SEM images of electron transport layers without and with PCBDNA modification. (a) FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>; (b) FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/PCBDAN.



Figure S2. Tapping-mode AFM images of ETLs and perovskites with and without PCBDAN (a) FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>, (b) FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/PCBDAN, (c) FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/Perovskite and (d) FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/PCBDAN/Perovskite. The RMS roughness values for the images of a-d are 27.7 nm, 27.0 nm, 18.9 nm and 9.9 nm, respectively.



Figure S3. The images of DMF droplet angle on  $TiO_2$  ETL without and with PCBDAN modification. (a) without PCBDAN (b) with PCBDAN.



Figure S4. Photovoltaic performance statistics of the devices with different concentration of PCBDAN under 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> illumination, in which data are extracted from their *J-V* characteristics.



Figure S5. J-V curves under different decay times.



Figure S6. The steady-state Jsc test at the voltage around 0.785 V of the control device.



Figure S7. The normalized absorption intensity of perovskite films based on Glass and Glass/PCBDAN at 600 nm.



Figure S8. Device efficiency stability under sustained AM1.5G illumination soaking with encapsulation in air condition.

Table S1. Work function (WF) of FTO, TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>/PCBDAN from Kelvin probe force microscopy (KPFM).

|                      | FTO   | FTO/TiO <sub>2</sub> | FTO/TiO <sub>2</sub> /PCBDA<br>N |
|----------------------|-------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Relative values (mV) | 224   | 133                  | -146.9                           |
| Work function (V)    | 4.300 | 4.209                | 3.930                            |

Table S2. TRPL fitting characteristics of perovskite thin films on  ${\rm TiO}_2$  and

| TiO <sub>2</sub> /PCBDNAN ETL. |
|--------------------------------|
|--------------------------------|

| ETL        | $\mathbf{A}_1$ | $\tau_{1}$ (ns) | $A_2$ | $\tau_2(ns)$ | Average $\tau((ns)$ |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|---------------------|
| W/O PCBDAN | 0.267          | 3.12            | 0.56  | 34.3         | 24.2                |
| W/ PCBDAN  | 0.19           | 1.76            | 0.75  | 21.96        | 17.9                |

Table S3. Photovoltaic parameters of PSCs with different delay times.

| Decay time (ms) | $V_{OC}\left(\mathbf{V}\right)$ | $J_{SC}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | FF (%) | PCE (%) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|---------|
| 30              | 1.0342                          | 21.086                         | 73.62  | 16.05   |
| 50              | 1.0353                          | 21.095                         | 73.54  | 16.06   |
| 100             | 1.0393                          | 21.097                         | 73.27  | 16.06   |
| 200             | 1.0463                          | 20.993                         | 71.62  | 15.73   |