Supporting information

Eu(III)-functionalized ZnO@MOFs heterostructures: integration of pre-concentration and efficient charge transfer as ppb-level sensing platform for volatile aldehyde gases in vehicles

Xiao-Yu Xu and Bing Yan*

Shanghai Key Lab of Chemical Assessment and Sustainability, School of Chemical Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Siping Road 1239, Shanghai 200092, China.

E-mail: byan@tongji.edu.cn.

Experimental Section

Materials and Reagents

All chemicals employed were commercially available reagents of analytical grade and used without further purification. Europium chlorides (EuCl₃·6H₂O) were prepared by dissolving the Eu₂O₃ in excess hydrochloric acid (37.5%) followed by evaporation and crystallization.

Measurements

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out on a JEOL JEM-2010F electron microscope operated at 200 kV. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded with a D/max2550VB3+/PC diffractometer under CuKa radiation with a 1.5418 Å wavelength and the data were collected within the 20 in range of 5-50°. The morphologies of samples were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S4800, 3 kV) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS). X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) experiments were carried out on a RBD upgraded PHI-5000C ESCA system (Perkin Elmer) with Mg Kα radiation (hu = 1253.6 eV). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using a Labsysevo (SETARAM, Franec) system at a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹ under nitrogen protection. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms were measured at the liquid nitrogen temperature, using a Nova 1000 analyzer. Surface areas were calculated by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method. The measurement of metal ion was performed on Perkin Elmer 8300 ICP-OES. UV-Vis spectra are record on Agilent 8453. Luminescence excitation and emission spectra were examined by an Edinburgh FLS920 phosphorimeter. Luminescence lifetime measurements are carried out on an Edinburgh FLS920 phosphorimeter using a microsecond pulse lamp as excitation source. The quantum yield can be defined as the integrated intensity of the luminescence signal divided by the integrated intensity of the absorption signal.

The detail and description of experiments

Synthesis of UiO-MOFs. A typical synthesis procedure of UiO-MOFs is prepared from the literature using a modified procedure.¹⁴ A mixture of H₂bpydc (0.248 g, 1 mmol), ZrCl₄ (0.233 g, 1 mmol), glacial acetic acid (2.0 g, 33.33 mmol)

and N,N-dimethyl formamide (DMF, 60 mL) was stirred for 30 min under ambient conditions. Glacial acetic acid here was added to provide better crystallinity of the product. Then the mixture was transferred into a 100 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel container and then heated at 120 °C for 24 h. Cooling to room temperature naturally, the resulting white solid was separated from the mixed dispersion by centrifugation (10000 rpm, 3 min) and washed with DMF and methanol. To remove the organic species encapsulated within the pores of the open framework, the product was washed with methanol via Soxhlet extraction for 24 h, followed by drying at 60 °C under vacuum.

Synthesis of ZnO.¹⁶ Zn(Ac)₂·2H₂O and LiOH·H₂O were dissolved together in beakers containing TEG at room temperature by stirring under the protection of nitrogen gas. The molar ration of [LiOH] and [Zn] was fixed at 2 and the [Zn] was fixed at 0.1 M, respectively. After exposed to the air and stirred for 24 h, the ZnO gel can be obtained. Then excess ethyl acetate was added into the TEG solution to precipitate ZnO. The ZnO power was collected by centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 5 min, washed three times with ethyl acetate and dried at 100 °C for 24 h.

Synthesis of ZnO@Uio-MOFs (ZUM) heterostructures. The ZnO@Uio-MOFs was prepared by added prepared ZnO (0.05 g, 0.1 g or 0.2 g) in the precursor solution of Uio-MOFs as mention above. The precursor solution is the mixed DMF solution (60 ml) of H2bpydc (0.248 g, 1 mmol) and $ZrCl_4$ (0.233 g, 1 mmol). Then the glacial acetic acid (2.0 g, 33.33 mmol) was added. After 30 minutes of stirring under ambient conditions, the mixture was transferred into a 100 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel container and then heated at 393 K for 24 h. It resulted in a white powdered product after centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 5 min, washed with DMF and methanol and dried at 100 °C for 24 h under vacuum. To remove the organic species encapsulated within the pores of the open framework, the product was washed with methanol via Soxhlet extraction for 24 h.

Preparation of Eu³⁺ functionalized Uio-MOFs (Eu³⁺@Uio-MOFs) and ZnO@Uio-MOFs heterostructures (Eu³⁺@ZUM). Eu³⁺@Uio-MOFs and Eu³⁺@ZUM were prepared by immersing the Uio-MOFs or ZUM solid (100 mg) in 20 ml ethanol solutions of chloride salts of Eu³⁺ (10⁻⁴ mmol). After stirring the mixture at room temperature for 24 h, the solid was then filtered off and soaked in 15 ml ethanol. After 24 h, the supernatant was decanted and replaced by fresh ethanol. This procedure was repeated two times to guarantee that the physically adsorbed EuCl₃ salt is removed. Finally, the product was collected by filtration and dried at 60 °C under vacuum. The yield of the Eu³⁺@ZUM product based on ZUM was 92%.

PL detection of polluted volatile components. $Eu^{3+}@ZMH$ test paper was prepared for vapor detecting experiments by immersing a filter paper (1.0 cm² × 2.0 cm²) in the ethanol of $Eu^{3+}@ZUM$ and drying it at room temperature. Then the test paper was placed onto wall of a 10 mL sealed glass vial that contains 2 mL solvent vapor of every volatile component, as shown below.



Then the concentration of vapor in the apparatus was determined by indirect method using head space-gas

chromatography/mass spectrometry (HS-GC/MS) which can be described as $C=(C_0V_0-C_1V_1)/V$, where C_0 and C_1 are the concentration of aldehydes in aqueous solution before and after volatilizing; V_0 and V_1 are the injection volume; V is the volume of the homemade apparatus for vapor adsorption in our work. It is worth noting that the test paper should not run into the solution. The PL spectra of the test paper were measured after exposed to the vapors for 30 min.



Fig. S1 (a) TEM image and (b) PXRD pattern of ZnO nanoparticles, with synthetic molar ratios [LiOH]/[Zn] for the samples of 2.



Fig. S2 TEM images of Uio-MOFs under the solvothermal synthesis conditions at low (100 nm) (a) and high (50 nm) (b) magnifications, respectively.







Fig. S4 N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms (a) and pore size distributions (b) of Uio-MOF, ZnO@Uio-MOF (ZUM), and Eu³⁺@ZUM.



Fig. S5 UV-Vis absorption spectra of suspended ZnO, UiO-MOF and ZnO@Uio-MOF in aqueous solution.



Fig. S6 Room-temperature PL spectra of as-prepared H2bpydc (a), Uio-MOFs (b), ZnO@UIO-MOFs (c) and ZnO (d) in the solid state.



Fig. S7 Cyclic voltammograms of Uio-MOFs at a scan rate of 100 Mv/s against Ag/AgCl electrode.



Fig. S8 The typical TEM images of Eu³⁺@ZUM.



Fig. S9 Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) of $Eu^{3+}@ZUM$ under the protection of N_2 .



Fig. S10 (a) Room-temperature excitation spectra of Eu³⁺@Uio-MOFs (black line) and Eu³⁺@ZUM (red line) at 614 nm emission wavelength and (b) The CIE chromaticity coordinates of Eu³⁺@ZUM calculated from the emission spectra shown in Fig. 3, which shows the luminescent color changes with excitation wavelength.



Fig. S11 Day-to-day structure (a) and PL stability (b) of $Eu^{3+}@ZUM$ in the laboratory air (55% relative humidity) under excitation at 365 nm.



Fig. S12 The fluorescent response (a) and intensity (I_{614} and I_{470}) of Eu³⁺@ZUM towards AA and ACA under excitation at 365 nm.



Fig. S13 (a) The fluorescent response of $Eu^{3+}@ZUM$ test paper toward FA (10 ppm) in the presence of other various interfering gases (20 ppm). The inset numbers are the corresponding I_{614}/I_{470} . (b) The I_{614}/I_{470} value of $Eu^{3+}@ZUM$ test paper toward FA (15 ppm) in the presence of other various interfering gases (20 ppm).



Fig. S14 The fluorescent response of $Eu^{3+}@ZUM$ test paper toward AA (a) and ACA (b) (10 ppm) in the presence of other various interfering gases (20 ppm).



Fig. S15 The PL emission spectra of Uio-MOFs (a) and Eu3+@Uio-MOFs towards various polluting gases in vehicle.



Fig. S16 The FTIR (a) and Eu 3d XPS spectra of Eu³⁺@ZUM before (black line) and after (red line) exposure to FA.



Fig. S17 Luminescence decay times (${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$) of Eu ${}^{3+}@$ ZUM without (black line) and with (red line) FA. The excitation wavelength is 336 nm.



Fig. S18 The PL emission spectra (a) and intensities (I_{614} and I_{470}) of Eu³⁺@ZUM test paper under the different concentration of O₂.



Fig. S19 The PL emission spectra of Eu^{3+} @ZUM test paper in the presence of FA for five consecutive detection, λ_{ex} =365 nm. The inset is the corresponding intensities of I_{614}/I_{470} .



Fig. S20 The PL emission spectra (a) and the linear fitted curve showing I_{614}/I_{470} vs. FA concentration (b) of Eu³⁺@ZUM towards AA and the PL emission spectra (c) and the linear fitted curve showing $I_{614}/I470$ vs. FA concentration (d) of Eu³⁺@ZUM towards ACA. The The excitation wavelength is 365 nm.



Fig. S21 The linear fitted curve showing I_{614}/I_{470} vs. FA concentration for the Eu³⁺@ZUM test paper at the temperature of 35 °C (a), 45 °C (b), 55 °C (c) and 65 °C (d).

Table S1 The element content on Uio-MOFs, ZnO@Uio-MOFs (ZUM) and Eu³⁺@ZUM determined by ICP-OES studies.

Samples	Zr (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	Eu (ppm)
Uio-MOF	46.8		
ZnO@Uio-MOF (ZUM)	41.4	5.726	
Eu ³⁺ @ZUM	38.25	4.56	0.628

Samples	ф(%)	τ(μs)	λ _{ex} (nm)	λ _{em} (nm)		
Uio-MOF	35.68	54.92	368	530		
ZnO@Uio-MOF (ZUM)	21.91	23.42	380	470		

Table S2 Absolute quantum yield (ϕ) and luminescence lifetime (τ) of Uio-MOFs and ZnO@Uio-MOFs (ZUM) at room temperature.

Table S3 Calculated RSD of the sensing method at the temperature from 25 $^{\circ}$ C to 65 $^{\circ}$ C in the concentration of 0.5 and 1 ppm.

Temperature (°C)	Concentration (ppm)	relative standard deviation (RSD)
25	0.5	1.1±0.5
	1	2.3±0.2
35	0.5	1.8±0.6
	1	1.6±0.3
45	0.5	2.4±0.6
	1	1.7±0.9
55	0.5	3.1±0.7
	1	1.4±0.2
65	0.5	2.8±0.2
	1	3.3±0.8