## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## One-Pot Surface Engineering of Battery Electrode Materials with Metallic SWCNT-Enriched, Ivy-Like Conductive Nanonets

JongTae Yoo,<sup>†a</sup> Young-Wan Ju,<sup>†b</sup> Ye-Ri Jang,<sup>†a</sup> Ohhun Gwon,<sup>a</sup> Sodam Park,<sup>a</sup> Ju-Myung Kim,<sup>a</sup> Chang Kee Lee,<sup>c</sup> Sun-Young Lee,<sup>d</sup> Sun-Hwa Yeon, <sup>\*e</sup> Guntae Kim, <sup>\*a</sup> and Sang-Young Lee<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Energy Engineering, School of Energy and Chemical Engineering, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology (UNIST), Ulsan 44919, Korea

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, Wonkwang University, Iksan 54538, Korea.

<sup>c</sup> Korea Packaging Center, Korea Institute of Industrial Technology, Ojeong-gu, Bucheon 14449, Korea

<sup>d</sup> Department of Forest Products, Korea Forest Research Institute, Seoul 02455, Korea

<sup>e</sup> Energy Storage Laboratory, Korea Institute of Energy Research (KIER), Daejeon 34129, Korea

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S. -H. Yeon<sup>e</sup> (email: <u>ys93@kier.re.kr</u>), G. Kim<sup>a</sup> (email: <u>gtkim@unist.ac.kr</u>) and S. -Y. Lee<sup>a</sup> (email: <u>syleek@unist.ac.kr</u>)



Figure S1. SEM images of the pristine OLO.



**Figure S2.** UV-vis-NIR spectra of the supernatants of PFO-dissolved SWCNT/OLO suspensions as a function of the initial PFO concentration.



**Figure S3.** (A) UV-vis-NIR spectra of the supernatants of the SWCNT/OLO suspensions with PFO as a function of the initial OLO content in the PFO (0.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>)-dissolved SWCNT (1 mg)/OLO suspension. The pristine SWCNT suspension (dispersed without PFO in NMP) was examined as a control sample. (B) Raman spectra showing the G-band peaks of the OLO@mSC as a function of the initial OLO content in the PFO (0.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>)-dissolved SWCNT (1 mg)/OLO suspension. Pristine SWCNTs were examined as the control sample.



**Figure S4.** SEM images of the pristine (A) LNMO and (B) LTO.



**Figure S5.** Charge/discharge profiles of the pristine OLO (top), OLO@SC (middle), and OLO@mSC (bottom) cathodes, wherein the cells were charged at a constant current density of 0.2 C (=  $0.34 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ ) and discharged at various current densities ranging from 0.2 to 3.0 C.



**Figure S6.** Comparison of the electronic conductivity of the OLO@mSC cathodes as a function of the initial composition ratio of SWCNT/OLO in the suspensions.



**Figure S7.** Discharge rate performance of the OLO@mSC cathodes as a function of the initial composition ratio of SWCNT/OLO in the suspension, wherein the cells were charged at a constant current density of 0.2 C (=  $0.34 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ ) and discharged at various current densities ranging from 0.2 to 5.0 C.



**Figure S8.** Charge/discharge profiles (for the 1<sup>st</sup> and 100<sup>th</sup> cycles) of the pristine OLO, OLO@SC, and OLO@mSC cathodes, wherein the cells were cycled at a charge/discharge current density of 5.0 C/5.0 C under voltage range of 2.0 - 4.7 V.



Figure S9. Comparison of the electronic conductivity between the pristine LNMO and LNMO@mSC.



**Figure S10.** Charge/discharge profiles (for the 1<sup>st</sup> and 200<sup>th</sup> cycles) of the pristine LNMO, LNMO@SC, and LNMO@mSC cathodes, wherein the cells were cycled at a charge/discharge current density of 5.0 C/5.0 C under voltage range of 3.5 - 4.95 V.



**Figure S11.** SEM images of the (A,B) pristine LNMO, (C,D) LNMO@SC, and (E,F) LNMO@mSC cathodes (A,C,E) before and (B,D,F) after the 200<sup>th</sup> cycle, wherein the cells were cycled at a charge/discharge current density of 5.0 C/5.0 C under voltage range of 3.5 - 4.95 V.



Figure S12. XRD pattern and SEM image (inset) of the as-synthesized NSC powders.



**Figure S13.** Ring current density profiles of NSC, NSC@SC, and NSC@mSC, which were investigated using RRDE measurements in an oxygen-saturated 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution (catalyst loading =  $1.0 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$ , scan rate =  $10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ , rotating rate = 1600 rpm).



**Figure S14.** OER polarization curves of NSC, NSC@SC, and NSC@mSC, which were investigated using the RRDE measurements in an oxygen-saturated 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution (catalyst loading =  $1.0 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$ , scan rate =  $10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ , rotating rate = 1600 rpm).



**Figure S15.** (A) ORR and (B) OER relative current of NSC, NSC@SC, and NSC@mSC respectively collected at -0.9 and 0.9 V for 1000 cycles, which were investigated using the RRDE measurements in an oxygen-saturated 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution (scan rate = 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, rotating rate = 1600 rpm).

Table S1. Comparison of composite ratio, areal mass loading, areal capacities, and capacity retention for OLO cathodes (This work vs. Previous studies).

Publication /Engineering method	Composite ratio (%)			Mass	Capacity	Areal	Capacity
	Active material	Conductive agent	Binder	loading (mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(mAh g <sub>cathode</sub> <sup>-1</sup> )	capacity (mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> )	retention (%) at RT
This work /metallic SWCNT-enriched coating	92	4	4	7	213 (at 0.2C)	1.62	94.0 (100 <sup>th</sup> ) (at 5C/5C)
<i>J. Power Sources</i> , 2016, <b>327</b> , 273. /Boron doping	80	10	10	3.7	220 (at 0.1C)	1.02	89.9 (50 <sup>th</sup> ) (at 0.2C/0.2C)
<i>Adv. Sci.</i> , 2016, <b>3</b> , 1600184. / <u>AIF<sub>3</sub>-coated heterostructure</u>	80	10	10	4.5	170 (at ~0.3C)	0.96	98.0 (100 <sup>th</sup> ) (at ~0.3C/0.3C)
Adv. Energy Mater., 2015, <b>5</b> , 1500274. /Inorganic surface coating	80	10	10	4.5	204 (at 0.1C)	1.15	84.3 (110 <sup>th</sup> ) (at 1C/1C)
<i>J. Mater. Chem. A</i> , 2015, <b>3</b> , 17113. / <u>F<sub>0.3</sub>–SnO<sub>2</sub> (FTO) coating</u>	80	10	10	2	237 (at 0.1C)	0.59	83.0 (300 <sup>th</sup> ) (at ~0.3C/0.3C)
<i>J. Mater. Chem. A</i> , 2015, <b>3</b> , 13933. /Mesoporous Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -polyacene coating	85	10	5	3	251 (at 0.1C)	0.89	95.0 (100 <sup>th</sup> ) (at 1C/1C)
ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 21711. /AIF <sub>3</sub> coating	80	10	10	3	200 (at 0.1C)	0.75	87.9 (50 <sup>th</sup> ) (at 0.1C/0.1C)
<i>Adv. Energy Mater.</i> , 2013, <b>3</b> , 1299. /Atomic layer deposition (TiO <sub>2</sub> )	80	10	10	2.9	230 (at 0.1C)	0.83	78.0 (50 <sup>th</sup> ) (at ~0.3C/0.3C)

**Table S2.** Comparison of composite ratio, areal mass loading, areal capacities, and capacity retention for LNMO cathodes (This work vs. Previous studies).

Publication /Engineering method	Composite ratio (%)			Mass	Capacity	Areal	Capacity
	Active material	Conductive agent	Binder	loading (mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(mAh g <sub>cathode</sub> <sup>-1</sup> )	capacity (mAh cm <sup>-2</sup> )	retention (%)
This work /metallic SWCNT-enriched coating	85	7.5	7.5	7	116 (at 0.2C)	0.95	97.3 (200 <sup>th</sup> ) (at 5C/5C)
<i>Adv. Funct. Mater.</i> , 2017, <b>27</b> , 1602873. / <u>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating</u>	80	10	10	3.5	115 (at 0.2C)	0.50	-
<i>J. Mater. Chem. A</i> , 2017, <b>5</b> , 145. /Incorporation of Li <sub>7</sub> La <sub>3</sub> Zr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>12</sub> (LLZO)	80	10	10	2.1	102 (at 0.1C)	0.27	95.9 (300 <sup>th</sup> ) (at 0.5C/1C)
ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 9116. /Cr and Nb doping	82	10	8	3.1	107 (at 0.2C)	0.40	94.1 (500 <sup>th</sup> ) (at 1C/1C)
<i>J. Mater. Chem. A</i> , 2015, <b>3</b> , 15457. / <u>RuO<sub>2</sub> coating</u>	80	10	10	2.3	96	0.28	14.4* (1000 <sup>th</sup> ) (at 1C/1C)
<i>J. Power Sources</i> , 2015, <b>274</b> , 1254. /Atomic layer deposition (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	90	5	5	5.5	113 (at 0.1C)	0.69	98.0 % (150 <sup>th</sup> ) (at 0.5C/0.5C)
ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 16231. /TiO <sub>2</sub> and Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> coating	100	0	0	0.81	106 (at ~0.1C)	0.09	-

\* = Approximately calculated values

Publication	Electrocatalyst	Electron transfer number for ORR (n)	Peroxide yield for ORR (%)	OER relative current (%)
This work	Perovskite (Nd <sub>0.5</sub> Sr <sub>0.5</sub> CoO <sub>3-δ</sub> ) modified by metallic-enriched SWCNTs	3.83 - 3.91	< 9	91 after 1,000 cycles (at 100 mV s <sup>-1</sup> )
<i>Nano Energy</i> , 2017, <b>31</b> , 541.	NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> nanocrystal anchored on N-doped CNTs	~3.80	<~10	-
J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, <b>4</b> , 2122.	Perovskite $(Nd_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}CoO_{3-\delta})$ coated by I- doped graphenes	3.68* - 3.80	< 10	$\sim 95^*$ after 10 cycles (at 10 mV s <sup>-1</sup> )
J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 4516.	Pt/C-LiCoO <sub>2</sub> composites	~3.92	< 4	86 after 50 cycles (at 10 mV s <sup>-1</sup> ) $50^*$
<i>Danton Trans.</i> , 2016, <b>45</b> , 18494.	Cubic $\alpha$ -Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> prisms	3.55* - 3.71*	$< \sim 20^{*}$	~50 after 300 cycles (at 10 mV s <sup>-1</sup> )
<i>Adv. Energy Mater.</i> , 2015, <b>5</b> , 1501560.	Perovskite $(Ba_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}Co_xFe_{1-x}O_{3-\delta})$ with amorphous thin layers	3.59 - 3.71	<~23*	-
Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, <b>53</b> , 4582.	Perovskite ( $La_{0.3}(Ba_{0.5}Sr_{0.5})_{0.7}Co_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}O_{3-\delta}$ )	~3.72	< 20	-

**Table S3.** Comparison of catalytic activities for ORR/OER bifunctional catalysts (This work vs. Previous studies).

\* = Approximately calculated values