## Support Information

## Synthesis of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{s s A r}$

The functional monomer was synthesized according to Scheme S1. 2-hydroxyethyl disulfide (10 $\mathrm{g}, 64.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $9 \mathrm{ml}, 64.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were codissolved in dry THF ( 100 ml ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of NPC ( $11.8 \mathrm{~g}, 58.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 40 ml THF was added dropwise into the solution over 30 min . Subsequently, the mixture was stirred at RT for another 6 h . The resulted white precipitant was removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated with rotary evaporation. Then, the concentrated solution was charged with 150 ml DCM, washed sequentially with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution (3*150 ml) and brine (3*100). The organic layer was collected, dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to get the crude product. Finally, the crude product was purified by column chromatography with hexane/ethyl acetate ( $3: 1$ ) to get the desired material NPCssOH (8.7 g, yield 42\%).

2-azido-1-ethylamine ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 41.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 ml THF was added dropwise into the THF solution ( 50 ml ) of $\mathrm{NPCssOH}(8.7 \mathrm{~g}, 27.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ which was left to stir at RT for 4 h . Then the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The obtained residue was dissolved in $100 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, which was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( $3 * 100 \mathrm{ml}$ ), brine ( $3 * 100 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ over night. After removing the solvent, the resulted crude product was purified by column chromatography with hexane/ethyl acetate to achieve $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{ssOH}$ ( 6.0 g , yield 83\%) (Figure S1).
$\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{ssOH}(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 22.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( $4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 45.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 70 ml dry THF in a round bottom flask. This flask was immersed into ice bath and benzoyl chloride ( $3.9 \mathrm{ml}, 34.1$ mmol ) in 20 ml THF was added slowly into the flask. Then, the flask was allowed to stir at RT for 6 h. The solvent was removed and $100 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added. The solution was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( $3^{*} 100 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and brine (3*100), concentrated to obtain the $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{ssAr}$. (7.3 g, yield 78\%) (Figure S2).


Scheme S1 Synthesis routes of $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{SsAr}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 1}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{SsOH}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S2 The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{SsAr}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S3 The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of PMPC in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure S4 The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of PEG-PMAC in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 5}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of PEG-PCNH ${ }_{2}$ in $d_{6}$ - DMSO .


Figure S6 Titration curves of PC(Arss- $\left.\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ s in water.



Figure S7 DLS data showing the distribution of (A) PC-79-21 PC-32-68 4-1 and (B) PC-79-21 PC-3268 3-2 mixed core micelles.




Figure S8 Size and PDI changes of the mixed micelle combined with (A) PC-100 and PC-32-68, (B) PC-60-40 and PC-32-68 (C) PC-60-40 and $\mathrm{PCN2CH}_{3}$ in 10 mM pH 7.4 PBS. The micelle concentration was set as $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$.


Figure S9 Size distribution and TEM images of (A) PC-32-68, (B) PC-60-40, (C) PC-79-21 and (D) PC-79-21 PC-32-68 4-1 mixed micelle.




Figure S10 Plots of $\mathrm{I} 339 / \mathrm{I} 335$ ratio of pyrene excitation spectra in pH 7.4 PBS as a function of the concentration of (A) PC-60-40, (B) PC-32-68, (C) PC-79-21 PC-32-68 4-1, (D) PC-100 PC-32-68 1-4 and (E) PC-100 PC-32-68 2-3.


Figure S11 The DSC curve of PC-100.


Figure S12 Pyrene excitation spectra of (A) PC-79-21 PC-32-68 4-1, (B) PC-60-40 and (C) PC-32-68 with the titration of PEG-PCDCA in $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,10 \mathrm{mM}$ PBS.


Figure S13 The PDI changes of PC-79-21 PC-32-68 4-1 with adding PEG-PCDCA

Table S1 Characteristics of NR Loaded micelles

| Drug | Micelle | Size1 $^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ | PDI $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Drug/Polymer $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{LC}(\%)^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{EE}(\%)^{\mathrm{d}}$ | Size 2 $^{\mathrm{e}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ | PDI $^{f}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NR | PC-32-68 | 129 | 0.210 | 0.1 | 1.97 | 20.1 | 145 | 0.206 |
|  | PC-60-40 | 238 | 0.127 | 0.1 | 4.27 | 44.6 | 226 | 0.129 |
|  | PC-79-21 PC-32-68 4-1 | 170 | 0.083 | 0.1 | 5.69 | 60.3 | 217 | 0.073 |
|  | PC-79-21 PC-32-68 4-1 | 170 | 0.083 | 0.15 | 7.42 | 53.5 | 203 | 0.081 |
|  | PC-79-21 PC-32-68 4-1 | 170 | 0.083 | 0.2 | 11.7 | 66.0 | 195 | 0.062 |
|  | PC-79-21 PC-32-68 4-1 | 170 | 0.083 | 0.3 | 4.38 | 15.3 | 184 | 0.082 |
|  | PC-79-21 PC-32-68 2-3 | 150 | 0.091 | 0.3 | 4.94 | 17.3 | 180 | 0.100 |
|  | PC-79-21 PC-32-68 3-2 | 169 | 0.072 | 0.3 | 3.68 | 12.7 | 191 | 0.089 |
|  | PC-100 PC-32-68 2-3 | 223 | 0.124 | 0.3 | 3.91 | 13.7 | 263 | 0.106 |
|  | PC-100 PC-32-68 3-2 | 239 | 0.118 | 0.1 | 4.81 | 50.5 | 274 | 0.135 |
|  | PC-100 PC-32-68 3-2 | 239 | 0.118 | 0.3 | 3.75 | 13.0 | 291 | 0.109 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Empty micelles with no drugs, the size was determined by DLS.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The PDI of blank micelle.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ The weight of drug to polymer.
${ }^{d}$ Determined by UV measurement.
${ }^{e}$ Drug loaded micelle.
${ }^{f}$ The PDI of drug loaded micelle.


Figure S14 pH and/or redox-triggered release of NR at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from (A) PC-60-40 PEG-PCDCA 10-3 (B) PC-79-21 PC-32-68 4-1 PEG-PCDCA 10-3 core shell mixed micelles.

