Supporting Information to

Novel Planar Chiral Dopants with High Helical Twisting Power and Structure-Dependent Functions

Jinjie Lu,^a Wei Gu,^b Jia Wei,^b Wei Zhang,^a Zhengbiao Zhang,^a Yanlei Yu,^{*b} Nianchen Zhou,^{*a} and Xiulin Zhu^{*a}

^a State and Local Joint Engineering Laboratory for Novel Functional Polymeric Materials; Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Functional Polymer Design and Application; College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Suzhou, 215123, P. R. China. *E-mail: xlzhu@suda.edu.cn; nczhou@suda.edu.cn.

^b Department of Materials Science & State Key Laboratory of Molecular Engineering of Polymers, Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, Shanghai 200433, China. *E-mail: ylyu@fudan.edu.cn.

Experimental Section

Materials

S-BINOL (Suzhou Soochiral chemistry), 4-Hydroxyphenylboronic acid (Suzhou Soochiral chemistry), 6-Bromohexanol (Energy Chemical), Lauryl alcohol (Shanghai Chemical Reagent, Shanghai, China), Tetraethyleneglycol monomethyl ether (J&K), Tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) solution (Energy Chemical, 1M in THF), Tetrakis (triphenylphosphine)-palladium(0) (97.0%; Sigma-Aldrich), P-Bromophenol (J&K), Diethyl azodicarboxylate (DEAD; Energy Chemical), Sodium azide (NaN₃; Aldrich; \geq 99.5 %), (3-Bromoprop-1-yn-1-yl) triisopropylsilane (Bengbu Nako Chemical Co., Ltd), Triphenylphosphine (J&K), Tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA; Energy Chemical), Chiral compounds S811 and R811 were purchased from Hecheng Display (China) Co., Ltd. Cuprous iodide (AR; Shanghai Chemical Reagents), Copper (I) bromide (CuBr; chemical pure; Shanghai Chemical Reagent, Shanghai, China) was purified via washing with acetic acid and acetone

and then dried in vacuum. Other reagents mentioned in this article were used directly after purchased without further purification.

Characterizations

Ultraviolet visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra of the samples were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrophotometer at room temperature.

The CD spectra were recorded simultaneously at 25 °C on a JASCO J-815 spectropolarimeter equipped with a Peltier-controlled housing unit using an SQ-grade cuvette, with a path length of 10 mm (with a scanning rate of 100 nm/min, a bandwidth of 2 nm and a response time of 1 s, using a single accumulation).

All ¹H NMR spectra were collected using a Bruker nuclear magnetic resonance instrument (300 MHz) using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard at room temperature. NMR samples were prepared with concentrations of 7-10 mg/mL in CDCl₃. The ¹H NMR spectra were referenced to δ 7.26 ppm in CDCl₃.

The elemental analysis was performed on a Vario EL elemental analysis instrument (Elementar (Donaustraße, Hanau, Germany)).

High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Bruker Esquire LC/Ion Trap Mass Spectrometer and JEOL/HX-110.

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed on PANalytical X'pert Pro diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation at a scan speed of 0.1641 °/S.

Textures, reflected colors and disclination line distance changes were observed by polarized optical microscopy (Leika, DM2500P)

The phototuning property of cholesteric LC was examined with an Ideaoptics spectrometer (PG2000) collecting spectra in the dark.

Synthesis of TEG-phenylboronate (TEBP)

The compound TEBP was synthesized according to the method reported in the literature. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAC = 5/1) to afford colorless viscous liquid with yield 77.6%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73 (d, ArH, 2H), 6.93 (d, ArH, 2H), 4.19-4.12 (t, ArOCH₂-, 2H), 3.90-3.81 (t, ArOCH₂CH₂-, 2H), 3.77-3.59 (m, - OCH₂CH₂O-, 10H), 3.57-3.50 (m, -CH₂OCH₃, 2H), 3.38 (s, -OCH₃, 3H), 1.33 (s, -CH₃, 12H).

Synthesis of Dodecanyl-phenylboronate (DOBP)

The compound DOBP was synthesized as follow: 100 mL round bottomed flask was added 4-Hydroxyphenylboronic acid pinacol ester (3.0 g, 13.6 mmol), 1-bromododecane (8.47 g, 34 mmol), K₂CO₃ (4.7 g, 34 mmol) and DMF 50 mL. The mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 15 h. Then the reaction solution was poured into deionized water and extracted by ethyl acetate. Afterwards, the organic phase was dried by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and then concentrated in a reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAC = 10/1) to afford colorless viscous liquid with yield 83.9%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73 (d, ArH, 2H), 6.88 (d, ArH, 2H), 4.02-3.90 (t, ArOCH₂-, 2H), 1.87-1.65 (m, -OCH₂C<u>H</u>₂-, 2H), 1.51-1.13 (m, 30H), 0.88 (t, -CH₂C<u>H</u>₃, 3H).

Synthesis of C1 and C2

Compound C1 and C2 were synthesized according to literature procedure.^{S1, S2}

Synthesis of D1

A mixture of C1 (421 mg, 0.45 mmol), TEBP (555 mg, 1.35 mmol), Pd(0)(PPh₃)₄ (26.3 mg, 0.0225 mmol), THF (7.5 mL) and aqueous 2M K₂CO₃ (4.5 mL) were added into a 25 mL Schlenk tube. The mixture was degassed by three freeze–pump–thaw cycles, and the test tube was sealed under vacuum. After stirring at 90 °C for 24 h, the aqueous solution was removed and the organic phase was dried by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and then concentrated in a reduced pressure. The final crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, THF/petroleum ether = 2/3, v/v) to obtain the compound D1 as red wax (0.31 g, yield: 67.1 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δ (TMS, ppm): 8.11-6.98 (m, 34H, ArH), 4.57-4.24 (m, 8H, NaphthylOCH₂-), 4.19 (t, 4H, ArCH₂O-), 4.14 (m, 4H, phenyl-CH₂O-), 3.38 (s, 6H, -OCH₃). LC-MS m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₈₂H₈₀N₄O₁₄Na⁺, 1367.5569; found, 1367.5602. EA: calculated for C₈₂H₈₀N₄O₁₄: C, 73.20 %; H, 5.99 %; N, 4.16 %. Found: C, 76.49 %; H, 6.13 %; 4.08%.

Synthesis of D2

A mixture of C2 (400 mg, 0.48 mmol), TEBP (400 mg, 0.96 mmol), Pd(0)(PPh₃)₄ (28.0 mg, 0.024 mmol), THF (8.0 mL) and aqueous 2 M K₂CO₃ (4.8 mL) were added into a 25 mL Schlenk tube. The mixture was degassed by three freeze–pump–thaw cycles, and the test tube was sealed under vacuum. After stirring at 90 °C for 24 h, the aqueous solution was removed and the organic phase was dried by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and then concentrated in a reduced pressure. The final crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, THF/choroform = 1/10, v/v) to obtain the compound D2 as orange solid (0.44 g, yield: 89.2 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3), δ (TMS, ppm): 8.29 (s, 1H, triazole), 7.96-6.83 (m, 24H, triazole & ArH), 5.15-5.00 (q, 2H), 4.25-3.52 (m, 24H), 3.73 (s, 3H, -OCH₃), 1.91-

0.95 (m, 16H). ¹H NMR spectrum was shown in Figure 9. LC-MS m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₆₄H₇₀N₈O₈H⁺, 1079.5395; found, 1079.5440. EA: calculated for C₆₄H₇₀N₈O₈: C, 71.22 %; H, 6.54 %; N, 10.38 %. Found: C, 71.63 %; H, 6.39 %; N, 10.69 %.

Synthesis of D3

A mixture of C2 (400 mg, 0.48 mmol), DOBP (370 mg, 0.96 mmol), Pd(0)(PPh₃)₄ (24.0 mg, 0.02 mmol), THF (6.0 mL) and aqueous 2 M K₂CO₃ (4.0 mL) were added into a 25 mL Schlenk tube. The mixture was degassed by three freeze–pump–thaw cycles, and the test tube was sealed under vacuum. After stirring at 80 °C for 18 h, the aqueous solution was removed and the organic phase was dried by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and then concentrated in a reduced pressure. The final crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, THF/petroleum ether = 1/1, v/v) to obtain the compound D3 as orange solid (0.45 g, yield: 91.8 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3), δ (TMS, ppm): 8.29 (s, 1H, triazole), 7.96-6.83 (m, 24H, triazole & ArH), 5.15-5.00 (q, 2H), 4.23-3.80 (m, 10H), 1.90-0.86 (m, 39H). ¹H NMR spectrum was shown in Figure 10. LC-MS m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₆₇H₇₇N₈O₄Na⁺, 1079.5887; found, 1079.5385. EA: calculated for C₆₇H₇₇N₈O₄: C, 76.11 %; H, 7.24 %; N, 10.60 %. Found: C, 76.26 %; H, 7.05 %; N, 10.43 %.

Miscibility Test

The standard samples S1 and R1 were prepared by doping 10 wt% chiral dopants S811 (HCCH) and R811 (HCCH) into commercially available nematic liquid crystal E7 (HCCH), known to be left-handed and right-handed respectively. And the samples for measurements were prepared by doping 1 wt% chiral dopants (D1, D2, D3) into E7.

Measurement of pitch and helical twisting power (HTP)

The HTP and the changes in HTP upon light irradiation were determined by Grandjean-Cano method.^{S3} It is defined as $\beta = 1/(pc)$, where p is the helical pitch and c is the molar concentration or mass concentration. The pitch was determined according to p = 2R tan θ , where R represents the distance between the disclination lines and θ is the wedge angle of the wedge cells (EHC, KCRK-07, tan θ = 0.0196).

The chiral nematic liquid crystal mixtures were prepared by doping chiral dopants into nematic liquid crystal E7 and then injected into wedge cells by capillary force. The disclination lines were observed through POM. The pitch was therefore determined by measuring the intervals between the disclination lines and calculating according to $p = 2R \tan\theta$. Three different concentrations (mol%) were used for each dopant, and the HTP was determined by plotting 1/p (µm⁻¹) against concentration of the dopant c (Figure S8).



Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of TEBP.



Figure S2. ¹H NMR spectrum of DOBP.



Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectrum of D1.



Figure S4. ¹H NMR spectrum of D2.



Figure S5. ¹H NMR spectrum of D3.



Figure S6 The optical textures of miscibility test for helical twisting sense between (R1)S1/E7 mixed crystals and chiral nematic liquid crystals induced by D1, D2 and D3, respectively.



Figure S7. XRD pattern of (A) C1 and (B) C2.



Figure S8. Reciprocal helical pitch as a function of concentration of chiral switch D1, D2 and D3 in LC E7 at initial state.

- [S1] J. Lu, A. Xia, N. Zhou, W. Zhang, Z. Zhang, X. Pan, Y. Yang, Y. Wang and X. Zhu, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2015, 21, 2324.
- [S2] J. Lu, G. Jiang, Z. Zhang, W. Zhang, Y. Yang, Y. Wang, N. Zhou and X. Zhu, Polym. Chem., 2015, 6, 8144.
- [S3] I. Dierking, Textures of Liquid Crystals, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2003.