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To a solution of 1,3-dibromo-5-tert-butyl-benzene ( $12 \mathrm{~g}, 41.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 100 $\mathrm{mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}, n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}, 27 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours and then pyridine-2-carbaldehyde ( $4.42 \mathrm{~g}, 41.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly. Then the reaction system was stirred at room temperature overnight before quenched with water. After the removal of solvent, the residue was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water. The combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After the removal of precipitate by filtration, $\operatorname{PCC}(26.8 \mathrm{~g}, 124.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 20 g of celite were added to the filtrate. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 hours. The celite was removed by filtration and washed with DCM. The product was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{PE}, 1: 5\right)$ to afford a white solid ( $7.8 \mathrm{~g}, 59.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d 6$-DMSO) $\delta 8.73$ (d, $J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.14-8.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{GC}-$ MS: m/z calcd 317.04, found 317.03 .


To a solution of 2-bromo-4,4'-di-tert-butyl-1,1'-biphenyl ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}, n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. This mixture was stirred for 2 hours before the addition of compound $\mathbf{a}(4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol})$. Then the reaction system was stirred at room temperature overnight before quenched with water. The solvent was removed under reduce pressure and the residue was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was combined and washed with water. After the removal of solvent, the residue was then dissolved in the mixture of $\mathrm{AcOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$
and $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours before the removal of solvent. The product was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{PE}, 3: 1\right)$ to afford a pale yellow solid ( $6.1 \mathrm{~g}, 74.4 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (dd, $J=19.3,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$
(s, 1H), 7.11 (d, $J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$, 1.18 (s, 9H). LC-MS: m/z calcd 565.2, found 565.3.


To a solution of compound $\mathbf{c}(3 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, $n$-BuLi ( $1.6 \mathrm{M}, 3.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 2 hours followed by the addition of 2-isopropoxy-4,4,5,5-tetram-ethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolane ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Then the solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. After quenched with water, the reaction system was extracted with DCM. After the removal of the solvent, the residue was used for the next step without any further purification.


Synthesis of L1-ester: Methyl 6-bromopicolinate ( $0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), compound d $(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 20.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added into a mixture of toluene ( 25 mL ) and water ( 5 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction system was heated to reflux overnight. After cooling downing to room temperature, the mixture was extracted with DCM. The ester was purified by column chromatography
$\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{DCM}\right)$ to afford a pale yellow solid ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 61.0 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d 6-$ DMSO) $\delta 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=22.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS: m/z calcd 622.4, found 622.5 .

Synthesis of L1: The ester ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaOH}(3.7 \mathrm{~g}, 92.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous ethanol ( 40 mL ) were added into a 125 mL round-bottom flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux overnight. After cooling downing to room temperature, the reaction mixture was acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=6$ with 2 M HCl . The aqueous phase was extracted by DCM. The target acid compound was obtained by the removal of organic solvent.


Compound $\mathbf{b}$ was prepared by the same procedure as that of compound $\mathbf{a}(55.2 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{GC}-\mathrm{MS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd 321.06, found 321.11.


Compound $\mathbf{e}$ was prepared by the same procedure as that of compound $\mathbf{c}(60.0 \%)$ 。 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d 6$-DMSO) $\delta 7.83$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.48 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $18 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS: m/z calcd 568.2, found 568.4.


Compound $\mathbf{f}$ was prepared by the same procedure as that of compound $\mathbf{d}$. Compound $\mathbf{f}$ was used for next step without any further purification.


The synthesis of $\mathbf{L 2}$-ester: The $\mathbf{L} 2$-ester was prepared by the same procedure as that of L1-ester (74.0\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d 6$-DMSO) $\delta 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.98-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9$ Hz, 4H), 7.36 (s, 1H), $7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79$ (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 1.27 (s, 18 H ), 1.23 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ). LC-MS: m/z calcd 625.4, found 625.6.

The synthesis of $\mathbf{L 2}$ : The $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{2}$ was prepared by the same procedure as that of $\mathbf{L} 1$.


The synthesis of Pt1: Ligand $\mathbf{L 1}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{4}(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added into a mixture of $\mathrm{AcOH}(21 \mathrm{~mL})$ and chloroform $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to reflux for 4 days. After the removal of solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{DCM}\right)$ to afford a pale yellow solid ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 46.0 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d 6$-DMSO) $\delta 9.18$ (d, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.24 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ - 7.66 (m, 2H), 7.54 (t, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.72$, $163.67,161.39,152.65,152.09,152.02,147.21,143.76,139.35,139.19,138.34$, $137.42,131.09,126.62,125.52,125.42,123.63,122.94,122.82,120.85,119.83$, 119.67, 71.69, 35.19, 34.51, 31.59, 31.10. HRMS[ESI]: [M+Na] calcd 824.2792, found 824.2778 .


L2


Pt2

The synthesis of Pt2: The Pt2 was prepared by the same procedure as that of Pt1 ( $54.0 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d 6$-DMSO) $\delta 8.15$ (dt, $J=15.7,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.95 (d, $J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$, $0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.17,163.36,152.78,150.70,149.69$, $146.58,144.58,144.20,139.75,138.46,138.24,128.62,128.38,126.95,125.87$, $124.30,122.77,121.94,120.56,119.68,63.51,35.15,35.11,34.35,31.53,30.95$.

HRMS[ESI]: [M+Na] calcd 826.2880, found 826.2840.





Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ligand of L1-ester ( $d 6$-DMSO)

$\stackrel{8}{8}$
$\stackrel{9}{9}$




Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ligand of $\mathbf{L} 2$-ester ( $d 6$-DMSO)


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of complex of $\operatorname{Pt1}(d 6$-DMSO)


Figure S4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of complex of $\mathbf{P t} \mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$




Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of complex of $\mathbf{P t} 2(d 6-\mathrm{DMSO})$
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Figure S6. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of complex of $\mathbf{P t} \mathbf{2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


Figure S7. The TGA curves of Pt1 and Pt2 at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.


Figure S8. The UPS spectra of Pt1 and Pt2.


Figure S9. Cyclic voltammograms of $\mathbf{P t} \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{P t} 2$ in DMF at 293 K with the $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ couple used as the reference.

Table S1. Electrochemical data of Pt1 and Pt2.

|  | LUMO $\left.^{2} \mathbf{e V}\right]^{\mathbf{a}}$ | ${\text { HOMO }[\mathbf{e V}]^{\mathbf{b}}}^{\text {Eg }[\mathbf{e V}]^{\mathbf{c}}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt1 | -2.34 | -5.62 | 3.28 |
| Pt2 | -2.31 | -5.53 | 3.22 |

${ }^{\text {a) }} \mathrm{LUMO}=\mathrm{HOMO}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}} .{ }^{\text {b) }}$ Estimated from onset potentials using $\mathrm{FeCp}_{2}{ }^{+/ 0}$ values of 4.8 eV below the vacuum level. ${ }^{\text {c) }}$ The optical band gap estimated from the absorption spectra.


Figure S10. The dihedral angle between the biphenyl group and the rigid coordinating plane in Pt2.
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Figure S11. Natural transition orbitals (NTO) analyses for triplet emission of compounds

Pt1
and
Pt2.


Figure S12. Photoluminescence spectra of Pt1 and Pt2 in dilute dichloromethane solution and in neat film at room temperature.


Figure S13. Photoluminescence spectra of Pt1 and Pt2 in degassed 2methyltetrahydrofuran (2-MeTHF) at temperatures of $\mathrm{T}=293 \mathrm{~K}$ and 77 K .


Figure S14. Transient phosphorescence decay of Pt1 and Pt2 in neat film obtained at $\mathrm{T}=293$ and 100 K .

Table S2. The extracting triplet lifetimes $(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ at temperatures of $\mathrm{T}=100 \mathrm{~K}$ and 293 K

| temperature | 100 K | 293 K |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\tau(\mu \mathrm{~s})$ |  |
| Pt 1 | 10.6 | 8.4 |  |
| Pt 2 | 11.6 | 9.3 |  |



Figure S15. Photoluminescence spectra of diluted $\mathbf{P t} 1$ in different polarity media.


Figure S16. Photoluminescence spectra of diluted Pt2 in different polarity media.

Table S3. DFT calculations of HOMO, LUMO, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}, \mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ for the platinum(II) complexes

|  | Pt1 | Pt2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOMO (eV) | -5.45 | -5.29 |
| LUMO (eV) | -1.79 | -1.49 |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{e V})$ | 3.65 | 3.80 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{e V})$ | 2.90 | 3.00 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{e V})$ | 2.60 | 2.58 |



Figure S17. The EL spectra of complex Pt1 in the mCP host with current density at 5 $\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.


Figure S18. The power efficiency-current density-current efficiency curves for Pt1 in the mCP host at different doping ratios.


Figure S19. The power efficiency-luminance-current efficiency curves for $\mathbf{P t 1}$ in the mCP host at different doping ratios.


Figure S20. The EQE-luminance-current efficiency curves for Pt1 in the mCP host at different doping ratios.


Figure S21. The current density-voltage-luminance curves for $\mathbf{P t 1}$ in the mCP host at different doping ratios.

Table S4. EL performance of the Pt1 in the mCP host

| Dopant | Dopant level [wt \%] | $\begin{gathered} \eta_{\mathrm{c} . \max } / \eta_{\mathrm{c} .500} / \eta_{\mathrm{c} .1000} \\ {\left[\operatorname{cd~A}^{-1}\right]^{a}} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \eta_{\mathrm{p} . \max } / \eta_{\mathrm{p} .500} / \eta_{\mathrm{p} .1000} \\ {\left[\mathrm{~lm} \mathrm{~W}^{-1}\right]^{b}} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \eta_{\text {EQE..max. } / ~} \eta_{500} / \eta_{1000} \\ {[\%]^{c}} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\mathrm{em}} \\ {[\mathrm{~nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\mathrm{sh}} \\ {[\mathrm{~nm}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{CIE}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})^{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt1 | 5 | 11.0 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 500 | 470 | (0.21, 0.37) |
|  | 10 | 12.5 | 7.9 | 6.5 | 8.8 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 500 | 470 | (0.22, 0.39) |
|  | 15 | 17.0 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 12.0 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 504 | 471 | (0.23, 0.42) |
|  | 20 | 19.5 | 11.6 | 9.3 | 13.1 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 507 | 472 | (0.25, 0.45) |

${ }^{\text {a) }}$ Maximum current efficiency $\left(\eta_{\text {c.max }}\right)$, and current efficiency $\left(\eta_{c .500}, \eta_{c .1000}\right)$ measured at a brightness of $500 \mathrm{~cd} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ and $1000 \mathrm{~cd} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, respectively; ${ }^{b)}$ Maximum power efficiency $\left(\eta_{p . m a x}\right)$, and power efficiency $\left(\eta_{p .500}, \eta_{\text {p. } 1000}\right)$ measured at a brightness of $500 \mathrm{~cd} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ and $1000 \mathrm{~cd} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, respectively; ${ }^{c}$ ) Maximum external quantum efficiency $\left(\eta_{\mathrm{EQE.max} .}\right)$, and EQE $\left(\eta_{\mathrm{EQE.} .500}, \prod_{\mathrm{EQE.} .1000}\right)$ measued at $500 \mathrm{~cd} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ and $1000 \mathrm{~cd} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, respectively; ${ }^{d)}$ Commission Internationale de L'Eclairage coordinates measured at 5 mA
$\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$.


Figure S22. The current density-voltage-luminance curves for Pt1 at different doping ratios.


Figure S23. The current density-voltage-luminance curves for Pt2 at different doping ratios.


Figure S24. The power efficiency-luminance-current efficiency curves for Pt2 at different doping ratios.


Figure S25. The power efficiency-current density-current efficiency curves for Pt2 at different doping ratios.


Figure S26. The EQE-luminance-current efficiency curves for Pt2 at different doping ratios.


Figure S27. Lifetime curves of Pt1 and Pt2 devices at a luminance of $1000 \mathrm{~cd} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.

Table S5. Crystal data and structure refinements for compounds Pt1-Pt2.

| Compound | Pt1 | Pt2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ |
| Formula weight | 801.87 | 804.87 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1}$ | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 10.0732(11) | 14.3531(8) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 31.516(3) | 26.4522(15) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 11.2075(12) | 20.1764(12) |
| $\alpha$ (deg) | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| $\beta$ (deg) | 99.143(2) | 106.8190(11) |
| $\gamma$ (deg) | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 3512.8(6) | 7332.7(7) |
| Z | 4 | 8 |
| $D_{\text {c }}\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 1.516 | 1.458 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 4.032 | 3.865 |
| $F(000)$ | 1608 | 3232 |
| Collcd reflns | 18598 | 47538 |
| Unique reflns | 11424 | 17025 |
| parameters | 836 | 868 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0530 | 0.0478 |
| GOF | 0.996 | 0.986 |
| $R_{1}{ }^{\text {a }}$ [ $\left.1>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})\right]$ | 0.0516 | 0.0411 |
| $w R_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (all data) | 0.1176 | 0.0917 |

