Supporting Information for

An arylboronate locked fluorescent probe for hypochlorite

Leilei Shi,^{a#} Xin Li,^{b#} Min Zhou,^a Faheem Muhammad,^a Yubin Ding,^{*ac} and Hui Wei^{*a}

^a Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Life Sciences, Nanjing National Laboratory of Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210093, China. E-mail: weihui@nju.edu.cn; ybding@nju.edu.cn. Phone: +86-25-83593272. Fax: +86-25-83594648. Web: weilab.nju.edu.cn.

^b Division of Theoretical Chemistry and Biology, School of Biotechnology, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden.

^c Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Pesticide Science, College of Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210095, China.

Table of Contents

Experimental Section

 Table S1. Some fluorescent probes for ClO⁻ detection.

Figure S1. ¹H NMR of R1 in DMSO-d6.

Figure S2. ¹H NMR of R1 in CD₃OD.

Figure S3. HRMS of probe R1. Calculated for C₂₅H₂₈BN₂O₃ ([M+H]⁺): 415.2193, observed 415.2209.

Figure S4. Reaction between **R1** and H_2O_2 . a) TLC analysis of the reaction between **R1** and H_2O_2 ; b) Low-field region of the ¹H NMR spectra of **R1** and isolated product of **R1**+ H_2O_2 (**R1-OH**) in DMSO-d6.

Figure S5. ¹H NMR of the purified reaction product of $R1+H_2O_2$ in DMSO-d6, which was determined to be R1-OH.

Figure S6. MS of the purified reaction product of $\mathbf{R1}$ + H_2O_2 , which was determined to be $\mathbf{R1}$ - \mathbf{OH} . Calculated for $C_{19}H_{15}N_2O_2$ ([M-H]⁻): 303.3, observed 303.0.

Figure S7. Fluorescence titration profile of R1 (1 μ M) with an increasing amount of H₂O₂ (0 equiv. – excess amount) in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v).

Figure S8. Calibration curve of **R1** (1 μ M) with increasing amount of ClO⁻ in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v). Inset: linear response range.

Figure S9. Calibration curve of R1 (0.5 μ M) with increasing amount of ClO⁻ in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v). Inset: linear response range.

Figure S10. UV-visible spectral titration profile of **R1** (1 μ M) with an increasing amount of ClO⁻ (0-60 equiv.) in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v). a) 0-24 equiv. of ClO⁻; b) 24-60 equiv. of ClO⁻.

Figure S11. Fluorescence spectra (normalized) of R1+ClO⁻ and R1-OH+ClO⁻ in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v).

Figure S12. Low-field region of the ¹H NMR spectra of R1 (20 mM) in DMSO-d6 upon successive addition of NaClO solution.

Figure S13. Low-field region of the ¹H NMR spectra of the isolated main reaction product of $R1+ClO^{-}$ (60 equiv.) in DMSO-d6.

Figure S14. MS of the reaction products of R1+ClO⁻. The percentages of R1-OHCl₃ increased with the addition of more equiv. of ClO⁻.

Figure S15. Selectivity of R1-OH toward ClO⁻ in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v). a) Emission spectral changes of R1-OH (1

 μ M) after addition of 60 equiv. of various ROS species; b) Corresponding fluorescence intensity ratio of F₅₃₂/F₄₅₄.

Figure S16. Fluorescence emission intensity of R1-OH (1 µM) at 454 nm over a pH range of 2.5–12.5.

Figure S17. Fluorescence emission intensity of **R1** (1 μ M, black squares, at 481 nm) and **R1**+ClO⁻ (60 equiv., red dots, at 532 nm) over a pH range of 2.5–12.5.

Figure S18. Fluorescence intensity ratio of F_{532}/F_{481} of **R1** (1 μ M) after addition of 60 equiv. of ClO⁻ in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v) as a function of time.

Figure S19. Plot shows the fluorescence intensity of R1 at 481 nm under continuous 365 nm UV light irradiation during 4 h.

References

Experimental Section

General. Commercially available solvents and reagents were used without further purification. Deuterated solvents for NMR measurements were purchased from J & K Scientific (Shanghai, China). UV-visible absorption spectra were recorded on a UV-visible spectrophotometer (Beijing Purkinje General Instrument Co. Ltd., China). Fluorescence measurements were performed on a HITACHI F-4600 fluorescence spectrophotometer with a quartz cuvette (path length = 1 cm). ¹H NMR spectra were obtained using a Bruker AM300 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. High resolution mass spectrum (HRMS) was measured on a LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer. Mass spectra of the reaction products of **R1** upon addition of ClO⁻ were measured on an Agilent 6460 QQQ mass spectrometer. Compound **R2** was synthesized according to the reported literature.¹

Synthesis of R1. In a dry 25 mL Schlenk tube, precursor R2 (73.4 mg, 0.2 mmol), bis(pinacolato)diboron (101 mg, 0.4 mmol), potassium acetate (60 mg, 0.6 mmol), and Pd(dppf)Cl₂ (3.6 mg, 0.005 mmol) were dissolved in 8 mL 1,4-dioxane. The reaction mixture was then heated to reflux for 2.5 h under N₂ protection. TLC analysis revealed that the raw material R2 was completely consumed. The solvent was then evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The crude product was purified by silica-gel (200-300 mesh) column chromatography using CH₂Cl₂ as the eluent to obtain pure probe R1 as yellow powder (72 mg, yield: 87%). UV-Vis (EtOH:H₂O, 4:1, v:v) λ_{max} (nm): 388 nm. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz) δ : 8.63 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.2 Hz), 8.19 (dd, 1H, *J*₁ = 8.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 1.74 Hz), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.86 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.81 (dd, 1H, *J*₁ = 7.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 0.84 Hz), 7.75 (m, 2H), 7.2 (dd, 1H, *J*₁ = 9.1 Hz, *J*₂ = 2.5 Hz), 6.91 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.4 Hz), 3.12 (s, 6H), 1.39 (s, 12H). ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz) δ : 8.60 (s, 1H), 8.11 (dd, 1H, *J*₁ = 8.6 Hz, *J*₂ = 1.8 Hz), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.3 Hz), 7.78 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.70 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.30 (dd, 1H, *J*₁ = 9.2 Hz, *J*₂ = 2.7 Hz), 7.0 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.4 Hz), 3.12 (s, 6H), 1.39 (s, 12H). ¹H NMS (s, 12H). HRMS: observed: 415.2209, calculated for C₂₅H₂₈BN₂O₃ ([M+H]⁺) 415.2193.

Synthesis of R1-OH. R1 (20 mg, 0.05 mmol) was dissolved in 40 mL EtOH, and then 3 mL H₂O₂ (30%) was added. The mixed solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature until the raw material R1 completely disappeared. A new product was detected by TLC analysis and purified by silica-gel (200-300 mesh) column chromatography using CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (20:1, v:v) as the eluent to obtain pure R1-OH as yellow powder (13.2 mg, yield: 90%)). ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz) δ : 9.79 (s, 1H), 8.48 (s, 1H), 8.00 (q, 1H), 7.92 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.77 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.53 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.7 Hz), 7.28 (q, 1H), 7.08 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.1 Hz), 6.97 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.1 Hz), 6.83 (q, 1H). MS: observed: 303.0, calculated for C₁₉H₁₅N₂O₂ ([M-H]⁻): 303.3.

Fluorescence Measurements. The fluorescence emission spectra of **R1** and **R1-OH** (1 μ M) were measured at 25 °C in mixed solutions of EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v), with the excitation wavelength fixed at 370 nm. The slit width was 5 nm, and the PMT voltage was 500 V. Hypochlorite (ClO⁻) and H₂O₂ were diluted from commercial available NaClO and H₂O₂ aqueous solutions, respectively. Other tested ROS species (¹O₂, O₂⁻⁻, NO⁺, ROO⁺, ONOO⁻, and HO⁺) were freshly prepared according to the literature method.^{2, 3}

Response type	Detection limit	Response time	pH range of probe only	pH range of probe + ClO⁻	Reference
Ratiometric	6.4 nM	~2 min	4.5-9	5-10	Our probe
	70 nM	~100 s	2-10.5	2-10.5	4
	0.12/0.84 nM	in seconds	5-10	7-10	5
	40 nM	immediately	2-10	4-8	6
Turn-off	0.7 μM	< 3 min	6-9	6-7.46	7
	0.34 µM	rapidly	3-10	6-8	8
Turn-on	18 nM	~15 min	3-12	6-8	9
	17.9 nM	~1 min	4-10	4-10	10
	0.2 μM	quickly	5.5-9.3	5.5-9.3	11
	2.4 nM	~30 min	4-10	7-10	12
	0.3 μM	~60 min	3-9	7-8	13

 Table S1. Some fluorescent probes for ClO⁻ detection.

Figures



Figure S1. ¹H NMR of R1 in DMSO-d6.



Figure S2. ¹H NMR of R1 in CD₃OD.



Figure S3. HRMS of probe R1. Calculated for C₂₅H₂₈BN₂O₃ ([M+H]⁺): 415.2193, observed 415.2209.



Figure S4. Reaction between R1 and H_2O_2 . a) TLC analysis of the reaction between R1 and H_2O_2 ; b) Low-field region of the ¹H NMR spectra of R1 and isolated product of R1+ H_2O_2 (R1-OH) in DMSO-d6.



Figure S5. ¹H NMR of the purified reaction product of $R1+H_2O_2$ in DMSO-d6, which was determined to be R1-OH.



Figure S6. MS of the purified reaction product of $\mathbf{R1}$ + $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2$, which was determined to be $\mathbf{R1}$ - \mathbf{OH} . Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19}\mathrm{H}_{15}\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O}_2$ ([M-H]⁻): 303.3, observed 303.0.



Figure S7. Fluorescence titration profile of **R1** (1 μ M) with an increasing amount of H₂O₂ (0 equiv. – excess amount) in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v).



Figure S8. Calibration curve of **R1** (1 μ M) with increasing amount of ClO⁻ in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v). Inset: linear response range.



Figure S9. Calibration curve of R1 (0.5 μ M) with increasing amount of ClO⁻ in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v). Inset: linear response range.



Figure S10. UV-visible spectral titration profile of **R1** (1 μ M) with an increasing amount of ClO⁻ (0-60 equiv.) in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v). a) 0-24 equiv. of ClO⁻; b) 24-60 equiv. of ClO⁻.



Figure S11. Fluorescence spectra (normalized) of R1+ClO⁻ and R1-OH+ClO⁻ in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v).



Figure S12. Low-field region of the ¹H NMR spectra of R1 (20 mM) in DMSO-d6 upon successive addition of NaClO solution.



Figure S13. Low-field region of the ¹H NMR spectra of the isolated main reaction product of **R1**+ClO⁻ (60 equiv.) in DMSO-d6.



Figure S14. MS of the reaction products of R1+ClO⁻. The percentages of R1-OHCl₃ increased with the addition of more equiv. of ClO⁻.



Figure S15. Selectivity of **R1-OH** toward ClO⁻ in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v). a) Emission spectral changes of **R1-OH** (1 μ M) after addition of 60 equiv. of various ROS species; b) Corresponding fluorescence intensity ratio of F₅₃₂/F₄₅₄.



Figure S16. Fluorescence emission intensity of R1-OH (1 μ M) at 454 nm over a pH range of 2.5–12.5.



Figure S17. Fluorescence emission intensity of **R1** (1 μ M, black squares, at 481 nm) and **R1**+ClO⁻ (60 equiv., red dots, at 532 nm) over a pH range of 2.5–12.5.



Figure S18. Fluorescence intensity ratio of F_{532}/F_{481} of **R1** (1 μ M) after addition of 60 equiv. of ClO⁻ in EtOH/H₂O (4:1, v:v) as a function of time.



Figure S19. Plot shows the fluorescence intensity of R1 at 481 nm under continuous 365 nm UV light irradiation during 4 h.

References

- L. Zhou, X. Zhang, Q. Wang, Y. Lv, G. Mao, A. Luo, Y. Wu, Y. Wu, J. Zhang and W. Tan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 9838-9841.
- J. J. Hu, N.-K. Wong, M.-Y. Lu, X. Chen, S. Ye, A. Q. Zhao, P. Gao, R. Yi-Tsun Kao, J. Shen and D. Yang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 2094-2099.
- R. Zhang, J. Zhao, G. Han, Z. Liu, C. Liu, C. Zhang, B. Liu, C. Jiang, R. Liu, T. Zhao, M.-Y. Han and Z. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 3769-3778.
- 4. S. Goswami, K. Aich, S. Das, B. Pakhira, K. Ghoshal, C. K. Quah, M. Bhattacharyya, H. K. Fun and S. Sarkar, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2015, **10**, 694-700.
- J. T. Hou, K. Li, J. Yang, K. K. Yu, Y. X. Liao, Y. Z. Ran, Y. H. Liu, X. D. Zhou and X. Q. Yu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 6781-6784.
- 6. S. Ding, Q. Zhang, S. Xue and G. Feng, *Analyst*, 2015, **140**, 4687-4693.
- 7. M. Sun, H. Yu, H. Zhu, F. Ma, S. Zhang, D. Huang and S. Wang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 671-677.
- 8. J. Zhao, H. Li, K. Yang, S. Sun, A. Lu and Y. Xu, New J. Chem., 2014, 38, 3371-3374.
- 9. J. J. Hu, N.-K. Wong, Q. Gu, X. Bai, S. Ye and D. Yang, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3544-3547.
- 10. H. Xiao, K. Xin, H. Dou, G. Yin, Y. Quan and R. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 1442-1445.
- 11. Q. Xu, K.-A. Lee, S. Lee, K. M. Lee, W.-J. Lee and J. Yoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 9944-9949.
- 12. W. C. Chen, P. Venkatesan and S. P. Wu, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2015, 882, 68-75.
- 13. J. Kim and Y. Kim, *Analyst*, 2014, **139**, 2986-2989.