## **Supplementary Information for**

## Preparation of carbon nanodots capped by polyethylene glycol as a

## multifunctional sensor for temperature and paracetamol

Fei Qu<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Fangfang Xue<sup>a,b</sup>, Jing Liu<sup>a,b</sup>, Jinmao You<sup>a,b,c\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The Key Laboratory of Life-Organic Analysis, Qufu Normal University, Qufu

273165, Shandong, China

<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Intermediates and Analysis of Natural Medicine,

Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, Shandong, China

<sup>c</sup> Northwest Institute of Plateau Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xining
810001, China

\*Corresponding authors at: Qufu Normal University, Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Intermediates and Analysis of Natural Medicine, Qufu, China.

Tel.: +86 537 4456305; Fax: +86 537 4456305.

E-mail addresses:

qufei3323@163.com (F. Qu)

jmyou6304@163.com (J. You).



Fig. S1 XRD pattern (a) and TGA spectra (b) of PEG<sub>2</sub>-CNDs.



Fig. S2. (a) Fluorescence spectra of  $PEG_2$ -CNDs in the presence of different concentration of  $Fe^{3+}$  and the corresponding linear range (b). F and  $F_0$  are the fluorescence intensities of  $PEG_2$ -CNDs in the presence and absence of  $Fe^{3+}$ , respectively.



Fig. S3. Optimization of reaction conditions for detecting PAR based on PEG<sub>2</sub>-CNDs- $Fe^{3+}$  system (a, probe concentration; b, reaction time; c, pH value; d, temperature). The final concentrations of  $Fe^{3+}$  and PAR are 110 and 15  $\mu$ M, respectively.



Fig. S4 Influence of addition order on the fluorescence response to PAR. The blank of PEG<sub>2</sub>-CNDs is curve a. Order 1: PEG<sub>2</sub>-CNDs are incubated with Fe<sup>3+</sup> for 5 min without addition of PAR (curve b); order 2: PEG<sub>2</sub>-CNDs are incubated with PAR for 5min and then Fe<sup>3+</sup> is added (curve c); order 3: PEG<sub>2</sub>-CNDs are pre-incubated with Fe<sup>3+</sup> for 5min and then PAR is added (curve d); order4: PAR is pre-incubated with Fe<sup>3+</sup> for 40 min and then PEG<sub>2</sub>-CNDs are transferred into the mixture (curve e). The concentrations of PEG<sub>2</sub>-CNDs, Fe<sup>3+</sup> and PAR are 0.05  $\mu$ L /mL, 110  $\mu$ M and 15 $\mu$ M, respectively.



Fig. S5 UV-vis spectra of AA (a) and PEG<sub>2</sub>-CNDs (b) in the absence and presence of Fe<sup>3+</sup>. The concentrations of PEG<sub>2</sub>-CNDs, Fe<sup>3+</sup> and AA are 0.05  $\mu$ L /mL, 110  $\mu$ M and 0.23  $\mu$ M, respectively.



Fig. S6 UV-vis spectra of PAR (solid line), PAR+Fe<sup>3+</sup> (dash line) and PAR+Fe<sup>3+</sup>+ EDTA (dash dot line). The concentrations of PAR, Fe<sup>3+</sup> and EDTA are 60  $\mu$ M, 110  $\mu$ M and 200  $\mu$ M.

Methods	Linear range (µM)	LOD (µM)	Reference
HPLC	20.0-123.3	2.0	[1]
Electroanalysis	12.0-120.0	2.0	[2]
Colorimetry	6.0-38.0	1.8	[3]
Fluorescence	5.0-100.0	0.12	[4]
UV- spectrophotometry	□ □ 20.0-100.0 □ □	Not mentioned	[5]
Fluorescence	0.03-30.0	0.013	This work

Table S1 Comparison of different methods for the determination of PAR

## References

- 1 E. A. Abdelaleem and N. S. Abdelwahab, *Analytical Methods*, 2013, **5**, 541-545.
- 2 S. Ashok Kumar, C. Tang and S. Chen, *Talanta*, 2008, 76, 997–1005.
- 3 M. Bahram, F. Hoseinzadeh, K. Farhadi, M. Saadat, P. Najafi-Moghaddam and A. Afkhami, *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 2014, 441, 517–524.
- 4 M. Bahram, S. Alizadeh and T. Madrakian, Sensor Letters 2015, 13, 1-7.
- 5. G. Abirami and T. Vetrichelvan, Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci., 2013, 5, 488-492.