## **Electronic Supporting Information**

for

## Self-exothermic reaction prompted synthesis of single-layered graphene quantum dots at room temperature

Bin Bin Chen,<sup>a</sup> Rong Sheng Li,<sup>b</sup> Meng Li Liu,<sup>a</sup> Hong Zhi Zhang<sup>b</sup> and Cheng Zhi Huang<sup>ab\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Luminescent and Real-Time Analytical Chemistry (Southwest University), Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China.

<sup>b</sup> Chongqing Key Laboratory of Biomedical Analysis (Southwest University), Chongqing Science & Technology Commission, College of Pharmaceutical Science, Southwest University, Chongqing 400716, China. Tel: +86-23-68254659. Fax: +86-23-68866796. E-mail: chengzhi@swu.edu.cn. (C. Z. Huang).

## Materials and methods

*Materials.* Hydroquinone and triethylenetetramine (TETA) were commercially available from Aladdin Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) and Aluminum chloride hexahydrate (AlCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) were purchased from Kelong Chemical Group Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China).

*Characterization.* The UV absorption spectral feature of s-GQDs was obtained from a Hitachi U-3010 spectrophotometer (Tokyo, Japan). The elemental composition of s-GQDs was measured with an ESCALAB 250 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The FT-IR spectrum of s-GQDs was collected on a Hitachi FTIR-8400S Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometer (Tokyo, Japan). The HRTEM imaging of s-GQDs was performed on a Tecnai G2 F20 field emission transmission electron microscope (FEI, USA). The PL spectra of s-GQDs were recorded with a Hitachi F-2500 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Tokyo, Japan). Zeta potentials and hydrodynamic sizes of s-GQDs were measured using a ZEN3600 dynamic laser light scattering (DLS) (Malvern, English). The Raman spectrometer. The fluorescence lifetime of s-GQDs was measured with a FL-TCSPC fluorescence spectrophotometer (Horiba Jobin Yvon, France). The temperature of reaction solution was measured by a digital thermometer. The infrared thermal images were performed on a TiS40 Thermal Imager (Fluke, USA). The thermal effect of the self-exothermic reaction was measured through a differential scanning calorimeter (Netzsch, Germany).

*Synthesis and purification of the s-GQDs.* Hydroquinone (100 mg) was dissolved in 2.25 mL water, and then 2.5 mL  $H_2O_2$  (20%) was added into the solution. Dark brown s-GQDs solution was observed within 5 min after the addition of 0.25 mL TETA at room temperature. Residual amounts of hydroquinone and TETA are removed through a cellulose ester dialysis membrane (500-1000 MWCO) over 48 h. The resulting material was dried by lyophilization, which were dispersed in water for further characterization and use.



Fig. S1 Scheme of the synthesis process of the s-GQDs. (a) The hydroquinone solution; (b) the reaction solution after adding  $H_2O_2$ ; (c) the resulting solution after adding TETA; (d) the final s-GQDs solution.



Fig. S2 Reaction process of the s-GQDs at room temperature.



Fig. S3 Increased temperature of exothermic system in different mass fractions of  $H_2O_2$  and volume fractions of TETA. Room temperature, 24.9 °C.



Fig. S4 The temperature change of self-exothermic system in the absence and presence of hydroquinone (100 mg) within 30 min. The mass fractions of  $H_2O_2$  and volume fractions of TETA are 10% and 5%, respectively.

Synthesis method	Reaction precursor	Oxidizing agent	T/ºC	Time	Reference
Chemical breakdown	Carbon black	HNO <sub>3</sub>	/	24 h	1
Chemical breakdown	Carbon fibers	$H_2SO_4$ and $HNO_3$	80	26 h	2
Chemical breakdown	Graphene	$H_2SO_4$ and $HNO_3$	250	34 h	3
	oxide				
Hydrothermal route	Citric acid and Tris-	/	225	26 min	4
	HMA				
Hydrothermal route	Citric acid and	/	180	3 h	5
	dicyandiamide				
Hydrothermal route	Ctric acid and	/	200	3 h	6
	ammonia				
Microwave synthesis	Graphene oxide	$H_2SO_4$ and $HNO_3$	/ (240 W)	1-5 h	7
Self-exothermic	Hydroquinone and	/	Room	5 min	This work
reaction	TETA		temperature		

**Table S1** The comparison of different synthesis methods with our approach.

**Table S2** The comparison of absolute quantum yield (QY) of s-GQDs (C-1) obtained in our experiment with GQDs (from C-2 to C-7) prepared by the common hydrothermal route. Hydroquinone: 100 mg; the volume fraction of TETA is 5%.

GQDs	T/ºC	Time	Absolute QY/% (n=3)
C-1	Room temperature	5 min	$2.30\pm0.17$
C-2	100	5 min	/
C-3	100	3 h	$0.23\pm0.06$
C-4	150	5 min	/
C-5	150	3 h	$1.27\pm0.25$
C-6	200	5 min	/
C-7	200	3 h	$7.10\pm0.95$



Fig. S5 The hydrodynamic size of the s-GQDs.



Fig. S6 The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of the s-GQDs.



Fig. S7 The three-dimension AFM image of the s-GQDs.



Fig. S8 The XPS spectra of the s-GQDs. (a) The XPS; (b) C1s; (c) N1s and (d) O1s spectra of s-GQDs.



Fig. S9 The time-dependent colloidal stability of the s-GQDs.  $\lambda_{ex}$ : 330 nm;  $c_{s-GQDs}$ : 150 µg/mL.



Fig. S10 The stability investigation of the s-GQDs. (a) The stability investigation in different BR buffer solution; (b) photostability; (c) the stability in a salty medium and (d) antioxidant capacity of s-GQDs.  $\lambda_{ex}$ : 330 nm;  $c_{s-GQDs}$ : 50 µg/mL.



**Fig. S11** The emission spectra of s-GQDs in the absence and presence of Al<sup>3+</sup> and the UV absorption spectrum of Al<sup>3+</sup>. pH, 5.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH/CH<sub>3</sub>COONa buffer).  $c_{Al}^{3+}$ , 25  $\mu$ M.  $c_{s-GQDs}$ , 25  $\mu$ g/mL.



**Fig. S12** The sensitivity and selectivity of Al<sup>3+</sup> detection by using s-GQDs. (a) The selectivity of Al<sup>3+</sup> detection. The columns represent: 1, control; 2, Ba<sup>2+</sup>; 3, Ca<sup>2+</sup>; 4, Cd<sup>2+</sup>; 5, Cr<sup>3+</sup>; 6, Fe<sup>3+</sup>; 7, Hg<sup>2+</sup>; 8, K<sup>+</sup>; 9, Li<sup>+</sup>; 10, Mg<sup>2+</sup>; 11, Mn<sup>2+</sup>; 12, Na<sup>+</sup>; 13, Ni<sup>2+</sup>; 14, Pb<sup>2+</sup>; 15, Zn<sup>2+</sup>; 16, Ag<sup>+</sup>; 17, Co<sup>2+</sup>; 18, Cu<sup>2+</sup>; Concentrations of all the metal ions and Al<sup>3+</sup> are 25  $\mu$ M and 20  $\mu$ M, respectively. (b) The sensitivity of Al<sup>3+</sup> detection.  $\lambda_{ex}$ : 330 nm;  $c_{s-GQDs}$ : 25  $\mu$ g/mL; pH: 5.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH/CH<sub>3</sub>COONa buffer).

Add $Al^{3+}(\mu M)$	Found (µM)	Recovery (%)	RSD (n=3, %)				
Water sample 1 (tap water)							
1	1.03	102.8	0.3				
10	10.10	101.0	1.5				
20	20.51	102.6	0.7				
Water sample 2 (lake water)							
1	1.10	109.9	3.3				
10	9.30	93.0	2.2				
20	20.77	103.9	1.4				
Recovery (%) = $100 \times$ (concentration found/concentration added)							

**Table S3** Determination of Al<sup>3+</sup> in two kinds of water samples. pH: 5.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH/CH<sub>3</sub>COONa buffer);  $\lambda_{ex}$ : 330 nm;  $c_{s-GODs}$ : 25 µg/mL.



**Fig. S13** Cyclic voltammograms of the s-GQDs in the solution state. The HOMO and LUMO energy levels of s-GQDs can be estimated according to the empirical formula:<sup>8</sup>

$$E_{\text{HOMO}} = -e(E_{\text{ox}} + 4.4)$$
$$E_{\text{LUMO}} = -e(E_{\text{red}} + 4.4)$$

Where  $E_{ox}$  and  $E_{red}$  are the onset of oxidation and reduction potential for s-GQDs, respectively. The  $E_{red}$  is determined to be -0.46 V. The corresponding  $E_{LUMO}$  is calculated to be -3.94 eV. However, the HOMO energy cannot be obtained due to the irreversible of the oxidation behavior. To determine the HOMO levels, we combine the  $E_{red}$  with the optical energy band gap ( $E_g$ , resulting from the absorption edge in the absorption spectrum):

$$E_{\rm HOMO} = E_{\rm LUMO} - E_{\rm g}$$

 $E_{\rm g}$  is estimated to be 4.01 eV. So, the  $E_{\rm HOMO}$  is calculated to be -7.95 eV.



Fig. S14 The  $E_{\text{LUMO}}$  and  $E_{\text{HOMO}}$  of s-GQDs and oxidation-reduction potential of Al<sup>3+</sup>.



Fig. S15 The PL response of the s-GQDs-Al<sup>3+</sup> mixture to PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> at varied concentrations. The concentration of PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> is 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5  $\mu$ M, respectively;  $c_{Al}^{3+}$ , 25  $\mu$ M.  $\lambda_{ex}$ , 330 nm;  $c_{s-GQDs}$ , 25  $\mu$ g/mL; pH, 5.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH/CH<sub>3</sub>COONa buffer).



**Fig. S16** The XPS spectrum of s-GQDs in the presence of Al<sup>3+</sup>. The s-GQDs mixed with Al<sup>3+</sup> has been dialysis through a cellulose ester dialysis membrane (500-1000 MWCO) over 4 days before the determination of XPS.



Fig. S17 HRTEM image of aggregated s-GQDs in the presence of Al<sup>3+</sup>.



Fig. S18 The change of hydrodynamic size of the s-GQDs before and after adding into  $Al^{3+}$  (25  $\mu$ M) and  $PO_4^{3-}$  (7.5  $\mu$ M).



Fig. S19 The relationship between the PL intensity of the s-GQDs and the size of s-GQD-Al<sup>3+</sup> in the presence of different concentrations of Al<sup>3+</sup>. The concentrations of Al<sup>3+</sup> are 0, 1, 5, 15, 25  $\mu$ M, respectively.  $\lambda_{ex}$ , 330 nm; pH, 5.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH/CH<sub>3</sub>COONa buffer).



Fig. S20 The PL emission spectra of the s-GQDs in aqueous solution and 40 % glycerol solution.  $\lambda_{ex}$ : 330 nm;  $c_{s-GQDs}$ : 0.1 mg/mL.

## REFERENCES

1. N. Li, A. Than, X. W. Wang, S. H. Xu, L. Sun, H. W. Duan, C. J. Xu and P. Chen, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 3622-3629.

2. J. Peng, W. Gao, B. K. Gupta, Z. Liu, R. Romero-Aburto, L. H. Ge, L. Song, L. B. Alemany, X. B. Zhan, G. H. Gao, S. A. Vithayathil, B. A. Kaipparettu, A. A. Marti, T. Hayashi, J. J. Zhu and P. M. Ajayan, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 844-849.

3. S. Kim, S. W. Hwang, M. K. Kim, Y. S. Dong, H. S. Dong, O. K. Chang, S. B. Yang, J. H. Park, E. Hwang, S. H. Choi, G. Ko, S. Sim, C. Sone, H. J. Choi, S. Bae and B. H. Hong, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 8203-8208.

4. L. P. Lin, M. C. Rong, S. S. Lu, X. H. Song, Y. X. Zhong, J. W. Yan, Y. R. Wang and X. Chen, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 1872-1878.

5. Z. L. Wu, M. X. Gao, T. T. Wang, X. Y. Wan, L. L. Zheng and C. Z. Huang, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 3868-3874.

6. S. Benitez-Martinez, E. Caballero-Diaz and M. Valcarcel, Analyst, 2016, 141, 2688-2695.

7. L. L. Li, J. Ji, R. Fei, C. Z. Wang, Q. Lu, J. R. Zhang, L. P. Jiang and J. J. Zhu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2012, 22, 2971-2979.

8. B. B. Chen, Z. X. Liu, H. Y. Zou and C. Z. Huang, Analyst, 2016, 141, 2676-2681.