1	Electronic Supplementary Information
2	
3	Instrument-free quantitative gold nanoparticle-based
4	liquid-phase colorimetric assays for use in resource-poor
5	environments
6	
7	Huili Wang,ª Yun Zhang,*ª Rongxing Li,ª Jinfang Nie,*ª Afaf H. El-Sagheer, ^{bc}
8 9	Tom Brown, ^b Zhaoying Liu ^a and Wencheng Xiao ^a
10	^a Guangxi Key Laboratory of Electrochemical and Magnetochemical Function
11	Materials, College of Chemistry and Bioengineering, Guilin University of
12	Technology, Guilin 541004, P.R. China
13	^b Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Chemistry Research Laboratory,
14	Oxford, OX1 3TA, UK
15	^c Chemistry Branch, Department of Science and Mathematics, Faculty of Petroleum
16	and Mining Engineering, Suez University, Suez 43721, Egypt
17	
18	Corresponding Author
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	*zy@glut.edu.cn; Niejinfang@glut.edu.cn

1 Experimental Section

2 Reagents and Apparatus

Streptavidin (from Streptomyces avidinii, >17 U/mg), hydrogen tetrachloroaurate 3 (III) (HAuCl₄·3H₂O), sodium citrate, glutaraldehyde, cysteamine, formamide, dimethyl 4 formamide and ethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Adenosine, cytidine, 5 uridine, guanosine, lysine and polyethylene glycol (molecular weight range 7000 \sim 6 9000) are the products of Shanghai Sangon Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 7 Human serum samples were collected from healthy volunteers. Amine-coated 8 superparamagnetic microparticles (SMPs, ca. 0.5 µm in diameter) and epoxide-9 modified poly(glycidyl methacrylate) nanoparticles (PNPs, ca. 0.1 µm in diameter) 10 were obtained from Tianjin BaseLine Chrom Tech Research Centre (Tianjin, China). 11 All other chemicals were of analytical grade and were used as received without further 12 purification. The buffer includes 10 mM phosphate buffer (PBS, pH 7) prepared from 13 Na₂HPO₄ and KH₂PO₄. Unless stated otherwise, all stock and buffer solutions were 14 15 prepared with deionized water (with a specific resistivity $\geq 18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$).

Gold nanoparticles (GNPs) with an average diameter of ca. 15 nm were synthesized with a domestic microwave oven. Optical characterization of GNPs was performed on a UV-Vis spectrometer (Cary 50, Varian, USA). The characterization of their morphology was carried out using a high resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM, JEM-2100F, JEOL, Japan). Images of all solutions and real-time processes of the cysteamine-induced aggregation of GNPs were recorded using a smart phone (Apple iPhone 5s).

2 General Method for Oligonucleotide Synthesis and Purification

3	The thermodynamic parameters of the used oigonucleotides were calculated using
4	bioinformatics software (http://www.bioin-fo.rpi.edu/applications/). ¹ The sequences of
5	the oligonucleotides (from 5' to 3') were as follows: capture DNA strand, biotin-CCC
6	AGG TCA GTG GAG-(CH ₂) ₆ -NH ₂ ; aptamer strand, CAC TG <u>A CCT GGG GGA GTA</u>
7	<u>TTG CGG AGG AAG GT</u> (the sequence for adenosine binding is underlined). ^{2,3}
8	Standard DNA phosphoramidites, solid supports, and additional reagents were
9	purchased from Link Technologies Ltd and Applied Biosystems Ltd. All
10	oligonucleotides were synthesized on an Applied Biosystems 394 automated
11	DNA/RNA synthesizer using a standard 1.0 μ M phosphoramidite cycle of acid-
12	catalyzed detritylation, coupling, capping, and iodine oxidation. Stepwise coupling
13	efficiencies and overall yields were determined by the automated trityl cation
14	conductivity monitoring facility and in all cases were >98.0%. All β -cyanoethyl
15	phosphoramidite monomers were dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile to a concentration
16	of 0.1 M immediately prior to use. The coupling time for normal A, G, C, and T
17	monomers was 60 s, and the coupling time for the modified phosphoramidite monomers
18	was extended to 600 s. Cleavage of the oligonucleotides from the solid support and
19	deprotection was achieved by exposure to concentrated aqueous ammonia solution for
20	60 min at room temperature followed by heating in a sealed tube for 5 h at 55 $^{\circ}$ C.
21	Purification of oligonucleotides was carried out by reversed-phase HPLC on a Gilson
22	system using a Brownlee Aquapore column (C8, 8 mm × 250 mm, 300Å pore) with a

1 gradient of acetonitrile in triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB) increasing from 0% to 50% buffer B over 30 min with a flow rate of 4 mL/min (buffer A: 0.1 M 2 triethylammonium bicarbonate, pH 7.0, buffer B: 0.1 M triethylammonium 3 bicarbonate, pH 7.0 with 50% acetonitrile). Elution of oligonucleotides was monitored 4 by ultraviolet absorption at 295 or 300 nm. After HPLC purification, oligonucleotides 5 were freeze dried then dissolved in water without the need for desalting. For long 6 oligonucleotides, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was used for purification. 7 Oligonucleotide bands were then visualized using a UV lamp and the desired bands 8 excised, crushed and soaked in water overnight at 37 °C. After evaporation, samples 9 were desalted using NAP-25 followed by NAP-10 columns (G.E. Healthcare Life 10 Sciences). All oligonucleotides were characterised by electrospray mass spectrometry 11 using a Bruker micrOTOF II focus ESI-TOF MS instrument in ESI-mode. Data were 12 processed using MaxEnt. 13

14

15 Synthesis of GNPs

The GNPs were synthesized by a slightly modified microwave-assisted sodium citrate reduction method.⁴ Briefly, 1 mL of a 1 wt% (w/v) HAuCl₄·3H₂O solution and 2 mL of a 1 wt% (w/v) sodium citrate solution were rapidly mixed with 97 mL of water, followed by heating in the microwave oven at high power (800 W) for 8 min. After the resulting red GNP solution was allowed to cool to room temperature (ca. 25°C, over 1 h), it was diluted with water to the original volume (100 mL) and then stored at 4 °C for further use. The freshly synthesized GNPs, which were coated with citrate ions and were negatively charged, were used directly to design the red-blue 2D GNP-LPCA for detection of cysteamine in PBS buffer. Before their use in the blue-red 2D GNP-LPCA cysteamine and adenosine assays, the GNPs were first isolated via centrifugal separation and then dispersed in formamide. The resultant GNP solution also appeared red because this organic solvent does not allow the particles to aggregate. It was stored at 4 °C until used.

8

9 Preparation of Aptamer-SMP Bioconjugates

For the preparation of aptamer-SMP bioconjugates, aptamer and amine-terminated 10 capture DNA oligonucleotides (1 µM each in PBS buffer) were mixed in equimolar 11 amounts. The mixture was heated to 70 °C, incubated for 10 min, and then allowed to 12 slowly cool to room temperature (over 2h) where upon DNA duplexes were formed via 13 hybridization. 1 mL of a 0.5% (w/v) SMP suspension was mixed with 5 mL of a 5% 14 (w/v) glutaraldehyde solution and the mixture was incubated for 3 h at room 15 temperature. The SMPs were then isolated magnetically and washed three times with 16 PBS buffer to remove unreacted glutaraldehyde molecules. The aldehyde-activated 17 SMPs were dispersed in 1 mL of the duplex DNA solution (1 µM) and incubated under 18 continuous gentle stirring at 4 °C overnight. Next, 1 mL of 1 mM lysine was further 19 used to block the remaining aldehyde groups on the sub-microparticles. After magnetic 20 21 separation and washings, the resultant aptamer-SMP bioconjugates were re-suspended in 1 mL of PBS buffer (containing 1.5% (w/v) polyethylene glycol) and stored at 4 °C
 for further use.

3

4 Preparation of Streptavidin-PNP Bioconjugates

The conjugation process was performed as follows: 1.5 mL of a 0.25% (w/v) PNP 5 suspension was mixed with 1 mL of a 1 mg mL⁻¹ streptavidin solution in PBS buffer 6 for incubation at 4 °C overnight. The streptavidin was covalently conjugated with the 7 PNPs via ring-opening reactions of the epoxide groups on the particle surface with the 8 amine moieties of the protein.⁵ Then, 0.5 mL of a 100 mM cysteamine solution was 9 used to block the remaining epoxide groups on the nanoparticles. After centrifugal 10 separation and washing, the resultant streptavidin-PNP bioconjugates were re-11 suspended in 1 mL of PBS buffer (containing 1.5% (w/v) polyethylene glycol) and 12 stored at 4 °C until used. 13

14

15 Analytical Procedures Using Red-Blue 2D GNP-LPCA for Detection of Cysteamine

In a typical assay, 15 μ L of a cysteamine sample in PBS was firstly mixed with 15 µL of formamide. Then, this mixture was dropped into 100 μ L of a red GNP solution in a graduated test tube at room temperature. After the dropwise addition, a top-bottom red-blue reaction mixture was formed, as the cysteamine mediated the aggregation of the GNPs mainly at the bottom part of the solution. The length of the blue column was proportional to the cysteamine level in the sample. Counting the blue length via the marked bars of the graduated test tube by eye enabled quantitative detection of the
 target.

3

4 Analytical Procedures Using Blue-Red 2D GNP-LPCA for Detection of Cysteamine
5 and Adenosine in Buffer Samples

In the cysteamine assay, $30 \ \mu$ L of a cysteamine sample in the buffer was dropped into 100 μ L of a red GNP solution in formamide in a graduated test tube at room temperature. The dropwise addition led to the production of a top-bottom blue-red reaction mixture, since most of the cysteamine-mediated GNP aggregation took place in the upper phase. Visual quantitative detection of the analyte was realized by simply counting the red length-related marked bars of the graduated test tubes.

12 For the aptamer-based adenosine assay, 10 µL of an adenosine sample in PBS was mixed with 10 µL of aptamer-SMP bioconjugate and 10 µL of streptavidin-PNP 13 bioconjugate. Incubation was carried out for 40 min at 37 °C to allow aptamer-14 adenosine binding which exposed the biotin moieties on the capture DNAs to further 15 capture the PNPs onto the SMP surfaces via the biotin-streptavidin interaction. The 16 mixture was magnetically separated and washed with the PBS buffer. The sediments 17 were then resuspended in 40 µL of a freshly prepared GNP solution in water and 18 incubated at 37 °C for 50 min. GNPs were bound onto the PNP surfaces via the thiol-19 gold chemistry. After the resulting SMP-PNP-GNP complexes were magnetically 20 isolated and washed, they were next dispersed in 40 μ L of 10 μ M cysteamine in buffer. 21 22 After a 40 min incubation at 37 °C and magnetic separation, 30 µL of the supernatant 1 was dropped into 100 μ L of a red GNP solution in formamide in a graduated tube at 2 room temperature, resulting in the formation of a top-bottom blue-red mixture. The red 3 length-related marked bars were inversely proportional to the concentration of the 4 adenosine target in the sample. It took totally about 2.5 h to complete the whole 5 adenosine assay. Control experiments were performed in the same manner above but 6 using PBS buffer, cytidine, uridine or guanosine instead of adenosine.

7

8 Analytical Procedures Using Blue-Red 2D GNP-LPCA for Detection of Adenosine in
9 Human Serum Samples

To assess the practicability of the aptamer-based 2D GNP-LPCA, the detection of adenosine in undiluted human serum samples was carried out according to the above general procedures. The "found" concentrations of the adenosine in the serum samples were calculated from the corresponding number of the red bars on the test tubes and the regression equation: $y(N_{bar}) = 1.1386x (Log[adenosine]) + 3.5200 (R = 0.9948).$

Next, different levels of standard solutions of adenosine were added into the human serum. Then the analyte-spiked serum samples were assayed according to the above general procedures. The "total" concentrations of the adenosine in the spiked serum samples were also calculated from the corresponding number of the red bars on the test tubes and the above regression equation. The recovery was defined as the ratio of the estimated adenosine concentration and the added value in the human serum.

21

22 References

- 1 (1) M. Zuker, Nucleic Acids Res. 2003, 31, 3406.
- 2 (2) H. Liu, Y. Xiang, Y.R. Lu, M. Crooks, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 6925.
- 3 (3) J.W. Liu, D. Mazumdar, Y. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7955.
- 4 (4) N.M. Bahadur, S. Watanabe, T. Furusawa, M. Sato, F. Kurayama, I.A. Siddiquey,
- 5 Y. Kobayashi, N. Suzuki, Colloid Surf. A-Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 2011, 392, 137.
- 6 (5) F.J. Xu, Q.J. Cai, Y.L. Li, E.T. Kang; K.G. Neoh, Biomacromolecules 2005, 6,
- 7 1012.
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
- 13

- 15
- 16

17

- 18
- 19

20



1	Fig. S1 Volume optimization for cysteamine solution and formamide ($v/v = 1/1$). Each
2	colorimetric result was obtained by dropwise addition of different volumes of the
3	mixture consisting of 40 μM cysteamine and formamide (v/v = 1/1) into 100 μL of a
4	red GNP solution: (A) 15, (B) 20, (C) 25, (D) 30, (E) 40, and (F) 50 $\mu L.$ 30 μL was
5	chosen as the optimal volume as it gave the clearest colorimetric result.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	



3 concentrations ranging from 0 to 80 μ M by using the 1D GNP-LPCA method.

- -

- -



Fig. S3 The calibration curve for the 1D GNP-LPCA shows the linear relationship
between the UV-Vis absorbance at 669 nm (A₆₆₉) of the GNP solutions obtained from
Figure S2 as a function of (Log[cysteamine]). Each error bar represents a standard
deviation across three replicate experiments.

- 0



Fig. S4 The calibration curve for the 2D GNP-LPCA shows the linear relationship
between the number of blue bars (N_{bar}) on the graduated test tubes shown in Figure 2D
(top) in the main text as a function of (Log[cysteamine]). Each error bar represents a
standard deviation across three replicate experiments.



Fig. S5 Time optimization for the incubation of the adenosine sample and the aptamerSMP bioconjugate probes and streptavidin-PNP bioconjugate probes. 40 min was
chosen as the optimal incubation time. Each error bar represents a standard deviation
across three replicate experiments.



2 Fig. S6 Time optimization for the incubation of the SMP-PNP complexes and the
3 GNPs. 50 min was chosen as the optimal incubation time as it gave the highest signal.

- 4 Each error bar represents a standard deviation across three replicate experiments.



2 Fig. S7 Time optimization for the incubation of the SMP-PNP-GNP complexes and the cysteamine solution. 40 min was chosen as the optimal incubation time as it gave the 4 highest signal. Each error bar represents a standard deviation across three replicate experiments.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	minn						
2	Fig. S8 Color	rimetric resul	ts obtained	from differ	ent adenosin	e samples in	n PBS buffer
3	using the apta	amer-based bl	lue-red 2D (GNP-LPCA	method: 1)	4.8, 2) 9.3, 3	3) 9.4, 4) 9.5,
4	5) 9.6, 6) 9.7	, and 7) 39 r	nM. The low	west level t	hat was capa	able of prod	ucing a top-
5	bottom blue-r	red reaction m	nixture (ima	ge 6), i.e., 9	.7 nM, was e	estimated to	be the visual
6	limit of detect	tion for the ad	denosine.				
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
29							
3U 21							
21 22							
32							
34							
51							

1 Table S1 Comparison of the new aptamer-based adenosine assay and several existing

quantitative strategy	limit of detection (nM)	portable	cost	Ref.
Raman scattering	1	no	very high	1
Raman scattering	1.5	no	very high	2
fluorescence	200	no	very high	3
fluorescence	101	no	very high	4
fluorescence	1000	no	very high	5
fluorescence	9.3	no	very high	6
fluorescence	0.75	no	very high	7
electrochemistry	2.5	no	high	8
electrochemistry	0.75	no	high	9
equipment-free	9.7	yes	very low	This work

2 quantitative aptamer-based adenosine assays

3

4 **References:**

- 5 (1) F.H. Ko, M.R. Tai, F.K. Liu, Y.C. Chang, Sens. Actuat. B: Chem. 2015, 211, 283.
- 6 (2) J. Zheng, Y.P. Hu, J.H. Bai, C. Ma, J.H. Li, Y.H. Li, M.L. Shi, W.H. Tan, R.H.
- 7 Yang, Anal. Chem. 2014, 86, 2205.
- 8 (3) C.J. Feng, Z. Hou, W. Jiang, L.H. Sang, L. Wang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2016, 86,
 9 966.
- 10 (4) H.L. Huang, S. Shi, X. Gao, R.R. Gao, Y. Zhu, X.W. Wu, R.M. Zang, T.M. Yao,
- 11 Biosens. Bioelectron. 2016, 79, 198.

- (5) C. Yang, N. Spinelli, S. Perrier, E. Defrancq, E. Peyrin, *Anal. Chem.* 2015, 87,
 2 3139.
- 3 (6) Z. Hashemian, T. Khayamian, M. Saraji, M.P. Shirani, *Biosens. Bioelectron*. 2016,
 4 79, 334.
- 5 (7) J.Q. Liu, D.G. He, Q.Q. Liu, X.X. He, K.M. Wang, X. Yang, J.F. Shangguan, J.L.
- 6 Tang, Y.F. Mao, Anal. Chem. 2016, 88, 11707.
- 7 (8) D. Wu, X. Ren, L.H. Hu, D.W. Fan, Y. Zheng, Q. Wei, *Biosens. Bioelectron*. 2015,
 8 74, 391.
- 9 (9) F. Shahdost-fard, A. Salimi, E. Sharifi, A. Korani, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2013, 48,
- 10 100.

_ .

sample	found ^a (µM)	added (µM)	total ^a (μM)	recovery (%)	RSD ^b (%)
1	0.041	0.5	0.57	105.4	8.8
2	0.045	2.0	1.88	91.9	7.4
3	0.043	8.0	7.30	90.8	11.1

1 Table S2 Recvery of adenosine in human serum samples

² ^aThe "found" and "total" concentrations were calculated from the corresponding number of the red ³ bars on the test tubes measured before and after the additions of adenosine solutions at fixed ⁴ concentrations in the human serum samples and the regression equation: $y(N_{bar}) =$ ⁵ 1.1386*x*(Log[adenosine]) + 3.5200 (*R* = 0.9948); ^bThe relative standard deviations (RSDs) were ⁶ obtained from six repetitive experiments.