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## Experimental Section

## Instruments and regents

Gas Chromatography (GC) analyses were performed on Shimadzu GC-2014 equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) using a capillary column (InertCap5 or TC-Wax) for liquid phase analyses. GC mass spectrometry (GC-MS) spectra were recorded on Shimadzu GCMSQP2010 equipped with a capillary column (InertCap5) at an ionization voltage of 70 eV . Liquid-state ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on JEOL JNM-ECA-500 at 495.1 MHz and 124.5 MHz , respectively, with TMS as an internal standard ( $\delta=0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). ICP-AES analyses were performed on Shimadzu ICP-8100. TEM observations were performed on JEOL JEM-2000EX. TEM samples were prepared by placing a drop of the suspension on carbon-coated Cu grids. XPS measurements were carried out on JEOL JPS-9000 using $\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $h v=1253.6 \mathrm{eV}, 8 \mathrm{kV}, 10 \mathrm{~mA}$ ). The binding energies were calibrated by using the $\mathrm{Au} 4 \mathrm{f}_{7 / 2}$ signal at 84.0 eV . BET surface areas were measured by $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption at $-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a Micromeritics ASAP 2010 instrument. $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (BET surface area: $160 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$, Cat. No. KHS-24, Sumitomo Chemical), $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ (BET surface area: $316 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$, Cat. No. ST-01, Ishihara Sangyo Kaisya), $\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ (BET surface area: $9 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$, Cat. No. 37022, Nacalai Tesque), $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ (BET surface area: $\left.111 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{LDH}\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{6} \mathrm{Al}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{16} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, BET surface area: $51 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$, Tomita Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.), Pd/C (Lot. No. 217-024030, NE CHEMCAT), $\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Lot. No. 137-90020, NE CHEMCAT), and $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Lot. No. SH-KO 362-02, NE CHEMCAT) were commercially available. Solvents and substrates were obtained from Kanto Chemical, TCI, Wako, FLUKA, or Aldrich (reagent grade), and purified prior to the use, if necessary (See: Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, 3rd ed. (Eds.: D. D. Perrin, W. L. F. Armarego), Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1988.).

## Preparation of catalysts

$\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ was prepared as follows. $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2.0 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to a 60 mL aqueous solution of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ $(8.3 \mathrm{mM})$ and KCl (two equivalents with respect to $\left.\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, 16.7 \mathrm{mM}\right)$. The mixture was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 15 min . Then, the pH of the mixture was adjusted to 10.0 by using an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, c a .1 .5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resulting slurry was further stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The solid was then filtered off, washed with water (3 L), and dried in vacuo over night to afford the hydroxide precursor $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{x} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. By the reduction of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{x} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ using $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ $(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ was obtained. The XPS spectrum of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ showed the binding energies of $\operatorname{Pd} 3 \mathrm{~d}_{3 / 2}$ and $3 \mathrm{~d}_{5 / 2}$ at 340.4 eV and 335.0 eV , respectively (Fig. S6). This indicated the $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ species in the hydroxide precursor were reduced to $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ by the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ treatment. The similar procedure was applied to the preparation of the other supported Pd catalysts. The loading amounts (determined by ICP-AES analyses) and the average particles sizes of Pd (determined by TEM analyses) are summarized in Table $\mathrm{S} 5 . \mathrm{Au} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ were also prepared by the similar procedure.

## Catalytic reactions

A typical procedure for the reaction: Into a Schlenk tube (volume: ca. 20 mL ) were successively placed $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ( $\mathrm{Pd}: 1.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ with respect to the cyclohexanone), urea ( 0.5 mmol ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, cyclohexanone ( $1 \mathbf{a}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), diglyme ( 2 mL ), and a Teflon-coated magnetic stir bar (without a stir bar when the reuse experiment was carried out). Then, a balloon partially filled with Ar was connected to the reactor. The reaction was carried out at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h with stirring. When the reuse experiment was carried out, the reaction mixture was stirred by the laboratory shaker. After the reaction was completed, an internal standard (biphenyl) was added to the reaction mixture, and the conversion of cyclohexanone and the product yields were determined by GC analysis. As for the isolation of the products, an internal standard was not added. After the reaction, the catalyst was filtered off ( $>85 \%$ recovery). The filtrate was evacuated to remove diglyme while heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude products were subjected to column chromatography on silica gel (see Product data for the eluent utilized), giving the pure diarylamine products. The products were identified by the GC-MS and/or NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) analyses. The retrieved catalyst was washed with acetone then with water, and heated at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min under $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ prior to being used for the reuse experiment. The detection of hydrogen in the gas-phase was carried out with MS analysis. The quantification of hydrogen gas formation was performed by measurement of the evolved gas volume.

## Product data

Diphenylamine (2a), (CAS No. 122-39-4): A mixture of toluene and $n$-hexane (toluene : $n$-hexane $=$ 75 : 25) was utilized as the eluent for column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, TMS): $\delta=7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}\right): \delta=143.1,129.3,121.0,117.8 ; \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{EI}): m / z(\%): 170(18), 169$ (100) $\left[M^{+}\right], 168(80), 167(42), 166(7), 141(7), 139(5), 115(6), 84(6), 83(28), 77(14), 66(10), 65$ (8), 59 (6), 51 (14), 39 (6).
o,o'-Ditolylamine (2b), (CAS No. 617-00-5): MS (70 eV, EI): $m / z(\%): 198(15), 197(100)$ [M+], 196 (19), 194 (5), 182 (31), 181 (17), 180 (29), 179 (5), 167 (12), 106 (18), 105 (6), 104 (28), 98 (9), 97 (17), 93 (8), 91 (7), 90 (10), 84 (6), 77 (7), 65 (6).
$\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{m}$ '-Ditolylamine (2c), (CAS No. 626-13-1): MS (70 eV, EI): $m / z(\%): 198(16), 197(100)\left[M^{+}\right]$, 196 (18), 182 (10), 181 (21), 180 (11), 167 (9), 97 (8), 91 (6), 77 (6), 65 (6).
p,p'-Ditolylamine (2d), (CAS No. 620-93-9): MS (70 eV, EI): $m / z(\%): 198$ (17), 197 (100) [ $\left.M^{+}\right], 196$ (54), 182 (5), 181 (11), 180 (13), 98 (8), 96 (15), 91 (17), 90 (5).

4,4’-bis-(tert-Butylphenyl)amine (2e), (CAS No. 4627-22-9): MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (\%): 282 (7), 281 (33) $\left[M^{+}\right], 267(22), 266(100), 250(9), 236(6), 126(10), 112$ (6), 98 (24), 57 (6).

2,2’,5,5’-bis-(Dimethylphenyl)amine (2g), (CAS No. 117043-82-0): MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (\%): 226 (19), 225 (100) [ $\left.M^{+}\right], 224$ (10), 210 (28), 209 (9), 195 (19), 194 (16), 193 (6), 120 (15), 119 (7), 118 (33), 112 (7), 111 (6), 107 (7), 104 (9), 91 (7), 77 (7).

2,2'-bis-(Methoxyphenyl)amine (2h), (CAS No. 7287-75-4): A mixture of toluene and $n$-hexane (toluene : n-hexane $=75: 25$ ) was utilized as the eluent for column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}\right): \delta=7.38-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.35 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}\right): \delta=149.0,132.4,120.7,120.1,115.4,110.5,55.6 ; \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{EI}): \mathrm{m} / z$ (\%): $230(16), 229(100)\left[M^{+}\right], 214(10), 184(13), 183(88), 182(35), 170(10), 154(8), 115(8), 107$ (5).

4,4’-bis-(Ethoxyphenyl)amine (2i), (CAS No. 3949-80-2): MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (\%): 258 (13), 257 (78) $\left[M^{+}\right], 229(18), 228(100), 201(7), 200(36), 154(6), 143(6), 142(5), 127(7), 117(6), 115$ (6), 65 (6).

4,4’-bis-(Ethoxycarbonylphenyl)amine (2j), (CAS No. 53884-32-5): A mixture of toluene and EtOAc (toluene $: \operatorname{EtOAc}=90: 10$ ) was utilized as the eluent for column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}$ ): $\delta=7.99-7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}$ ): $\delta=166.4,145.9$, 131.4, 123.2, 116.8, 60.7, 14.4; MS (70 eV, EI): $m / z$ (\%): 314 (18), 313 (100) [ $\left.M^{+}\right], 285$ (21), 268 (60), 257 (13), 240 (44), 167 (49), 166 (15), 112 (15), 84 (14), 84 (14), 65 (13).

3,3'-bis-(Trifluoromethylphenyl)amine (2i), (CAS No. 7639-70-5): MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (\%): 305 (100) $\left[M^{+}\right], 306$ (16), 235 (13), 284 (13), 216 (8), 286 (7), 304 (7), 167 (7), 236 (5).

4,4'-bis-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxyphenyl)amine (2j), (CAS No. 1448526-06-4): A mixture of $n$ hexane and chloroform ( $n$-hexane :chloroform $=70: 30$ ) was utilized as the eluent for column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}$ ): $\delta=6.87-6.84$ (m, 4H), 6.75-6.71 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.18(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}$ ): $\delta=149.7$, 138.2, 120.6, 119.2, 25.7, 18.2, -4.5; MS (70 eV, EI): $m / z(\%): 429$ (100) [ $\left.M^{+}\right], 73$ (61), 430 (37), 180 (27), 158 (23), 222 (20), 431 (14), 373 (6), 150 (6), 166 (5), 164 (5), 74 (5).

Table S1 Effect of solvents on the formal arylation using urea and cyclohexanone (1a) ${ }^{a}$

| Urea + |  |   <br> 3a |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Solvent | Yield (\%) |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2a | 3a | 4 a | 5a |
| 1 | Diglyme | 87 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 2 | Mesitylene | 72 | 19 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | DMA | 54 | 23 | 3 | 9 |
| 4 | NMP | 49 | 21 | 8 | 1 |
| 5 | $n$-Decane | 54 | 33 | 2 | 1 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{Pd}: 1.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), urea ( 0.5 mmol ), water ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), 1a ( 1 mmol ), solvent $(2 \mathrm{~mL}), \operatorname{Ar}(1 \mathrm{~atm}), 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$. Yields (based on 1a) were determined by GC using biphenyl as the internal standard.

Table S2 Effect of temperatures on the formal arylation using urea and cyclohexanone (1a) ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Yield (\%) |  |  | $\mathbf{4 a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | $\mathbf{3 a}$ | $\mathbf{5 a}$ |  |
| 1 | 140 | 10 | 86 | 4 | $<1$ |
| 2 | 150 | 87 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 3 | 160 | 71 | 2 | 11 | 6 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{Pd}: 1.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, urea ( 0.5 mmol ), water $(10 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, $\mathbf{1 a}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$, diglyme $(2 \mathrm{~mL}), \operatorname{Ar}(1 \mathrm{~atm}), 140-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$. Yields (based on 1a) were determined by GC using biphenyl as the internal standard.

Table S3 Effect of amounts of urea on the formal arylation using urea and cyclohexanone (1a) ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Amounts of urea (mmol) | Yield (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | $\mathbf{3 a}$ | $\mathbf{4 a}$ | $\mathbf{5 a}$ |
| 1 | 0.25 | 73 | 2 | $<1$ | 18 |
| 2 | 0.5 | 87 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 3 | 1.25 | 40 | 9 | 30 | 1 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ( $\mathrm{Pd}: 1.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), urea ( $0.25-1.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), water ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), 1a ( 1 mmol ), diglyme ( 2 mL ), $\operatorname{Ar}(1 \mathrm{~atm}), 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$. Yields (based on 1a) were determined by GC using biphenyl as the internal standard.

Table S4 Optimization of reaction conditions for the formal arylation using phenol (5a) ${ }^{a}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Catalyst (mol\%) | Solvent | Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Yield (\%) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2a | 3a | $4^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}(2)$ | Mesitylene | 140 | 7 | 10 | 8 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ (5) | Mesitylene | 140 | 48 | 5 | 7 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}(\mathbf{1 0})$ | Mesitylene | 140 | 73 | <1 | 5 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}(20)$ | Mesitylene | 140 | 52 | <1 | 5 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(10)$ | Mesitylene | 140 | 48 | <1 | 3 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ (10) | Mesitylene | 140 | 42 | <1 | 5 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{TiO}_{2}(10)$ | Mesitylene | 140 | 40 | $<1$ | 5 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{MgO}$ (10) | Mesitylene | 140 | 38 | <1 | 5 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{ZrO}_{2}(10)$ | Mesitylene | 140 | 21 | 7 | 4 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}(10)$ | $n$-Decane | 140 | 60 | 16 | 3 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}(10)$ | Diglyme | 140 | $<1$ | <1 | 3 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}(10)$ | DMA | 140 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 2 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}(10)$ | DMF | 140 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}(10)$ | Mesitylene | 150 | 31 | <1 | 2 |
| 15 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}(10)$ | Mesitylene | 160 | 4 | $<1$ | $<1$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: Catalyst (Pd: $2-10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{HCOONH}_{4}(0.6 \mathrm{mmol}), 5 \mathrm{5a}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, solvent ( 2 mL ), Ar ( 1 atm ), $140-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$. Yields (based on $\mathbf{5 a}$ ) were determined by GC using biphenyl as the internal standard.

Table S5. Pd contents and average particle sizes of various supported Pd nanoparticle catalysts.

| Catalyst | Pd content [ $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ ] | Average size [nm] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 0.236 | $2.7 \pm 0.8$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 0.228 | $2.3 \pm 0.7$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ | 0.227 | $6.4 \pm 3.1$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ | 0.212 | $2.1 \pm 0.9$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{LDH}$ | 0.237 | $2.9 \pm 0.7$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ | 0.223 | $2.7 \pm 0.6$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 0.215 (Fe: 0.202) | not measured |



Fig. S1 Effect of removal of the $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ catalyst on the dehydrogenation. The reaction condtions were the same as those desctibed in Table 1. GC yields were determined using $n$-hexadecane as the internal standard. The closed triangles, squres, and diamonds indicate the yields of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{3 a}$, and $\mathbf{4 a}$, respectively, without removal of the catalyst. The open triangles, squres, and diamonds indicate the yields of 2a, 3a, and 4a, respectively, after removal of the catalyst.


Fig. S2 TEM images and Pd nanoparticle size distributions;
(a) the freshly prepared $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ catalyst and (b) the $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ catalyst after the fifth reuse.


Fig. $\mathbf{S 3} \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ reuse experiment. The reaction condtions were the same as those desicribed in Table 1. The values indicate the yields of $\mathbf{2 a}$.


Fig. S4 The reaction of 3a. Reaction condtions: $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(3.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ to $\mathbf{3 a})$, $\mathbf{3 a}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, diglyme $(2 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Ar}(1 \mathrm{~atm}), 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$. A Yield was determined by GC using biphenyl as the internal standard.


Fig. S5 The reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{e}$. Reaction condtions: $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(3.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ to $\mathbf{1 a}), \mathbf{1 a}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{4 e}$ ( 0.5 mmol ), diglyme ( 2 mL ), $\operatorname{Ar}(1 \mathrm{~atm}), 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$. Yields (based on $\mathbf{1 a}$ ) were determined by GC using biphenyl as the internal standard.


Fig. S6 XPS spectra of the $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ catalyst around 3 d components. The blue dots indicates the data points. The red solid and black broken lines indicate the deconvoluted signals, respectively.

