Supporting Information

Ambient chemical fixation of CO₂ by using highly efficient heterometallic helicates catalyst system

Li Wang, Cong Xu, Qingxin Han, Xiaoliang Tang, Panpan Zhou, Ruilian Zhang, Guoshu Gao, Benhua Xu, Wenwu Qin and Weisheng Liu*

Key Laboratory of Nonferrous Metal Chemistry and Resources Utilization of Gansu Province and State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Special Function Materials and Structure Design, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, 730000, China.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: liuws@lzu.edu.cn.

9th April 2018

Note added after first publication: These Supplementary Information files replace those originally published on 21st December 2017, in which an incorrect crystal structure data file was presented for CCDC 1506319.

Contents

- **1. Experimental Section**
- 2. Photophysical properties

3. Catalysis Details

4. Characterization data and NMR Spectra

5. References

1. Experimental section

Materials and Methods. Chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. The infrared spectra were recorded on a Bruker VERTEX 70 FTIR spectrometer using KBr pellets in the 400-4000cm⁻¹region. X-ray powder diffraction data were collected on PANalytical X'Pert Pro Diffractometer operated at 40 kV and 40 mA with Cu Ka radiation (λ =1.5406Å). Thermogravimetric analysis experiments were performed using a TGA/NETZSCH STA449C instrument heated from 30~800 °C (heating rate of 10 °C/min, nitrogen stream). UV/Vis absorption spectra were determined on an Agilent Technologies Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer. Solid-state and solution excitation and emission spectra were recorded with a Hitachi F-7000 spectrophotometer equipped with quartz cuvettes of 1 cm path length. The absolute emission quantum yields of the compounds were measured at room temperature using a calibrated integrating sphere as a sample chamber, and specpure BaSO₄ was used as a reflecting standard. Crystal data were collected on a Bruker FRAMBO diffractometer (Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) at 293 K. Data reduction was accomplished by the Bruker SAINT program. Multi-scan absorption corrections were applied by using the program SADABS. Structures were solved by direct methods and refined by a full matrix least-squares technique based on F2 using the SHELXL 97 program. All of the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The organic hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically. For details, see cif data in Supporting Information. X-ray crystallographic data for all complexes see cif files (CCDC number: 1506319 and 1506320)

Preparation

All reagents were used as purchased without further purification. 2-hydroxy-3methoxybenzaldehyde was prepared according to the literature method¹.

Scheme S1. Synthesis of ligand H₃L



The synthesis of ligand **H**₃**L**: 2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.76 g, 5 mmol) was added to a solution of N-(3-amino-2-hydroxypropyl)-2-hydroxybenzamide (1.05 g, 5 mmol) in 50 mL methanol. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 5 h and then cool to room temperature. The crude product was separated by filtration and further recrystallized with ethanol to afford **H**₃**L** as a yellow solid (1.51 g, Yield: 85%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 12.29 (s, 1H), 8.72 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 8.47 (s, 1H), 7.86 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.4 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.98 (s,1H), 6.92–6.84 (m, 2H), 6.75 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.02–3.90 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.73 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (q, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.52–3.50 (m, 1H), 3.40–3.29 (m, 1H), 3.16 (s, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 169.43, 167.47, 160.25, 153.37, 148.92, 133.97, 128.66, 123.96, 119.04, 118.99, 117.80, 117.76, 116.35, 115.80, 69.17, 62.38, 56.57, 43.97 ppm. IR (KBr, v, cm⁻¹): 3412 (s), 1644 (s), 1597 (s), 1523 (s), 1497 (s), 1447 (s), 1380 (s), 1340 (s), 1302 (s), 1254 (s), 1233 (s), 1207 (m), 1172 (m), 1114 (w), 893 (w), 846 (w), 750 (s). ESI-MS *m/z* [(M+H)⁺]: 345.14.

The general synthetic procedure of complex 1-2: 27 μ L (0.2 mmol) triethylamine was added to a 15 ml acetonitrile solution of 0.1 mmol H₃L. Then 0.1 mmol Zn(NO₃)₂·H₂O was added and stirred for 15 min to obtain a suspension. To this solution 0.075 mmol Ln(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Ln=Tb³⁺, Dy³⁺) in 5 mL of methanol was added and obtained a clear solution. The mixture was stirred 1 h and filtered for crystallization at room temperature. After about two weeks colorless single crystals suitable for crystal analysis were obtained. The abundant complexes powder for catalytic reaction were collected with the same method only differing with rather small amount of solvent stirring overnight to obtained white solid and by filtration, washed with acetonitrile, and dried in the air, 56%, 70 % yield. Further, the products were characterized by IR, PXRD and TGA.

Zn₄Tb₃C₇₂H₇₉N₁₈O₅₃: Elemental analysis (%) found (calcd): C 31.03 (31.08), H 2.83 (2.86), N 9. 09 (9.06). IR (KBr, v, cm⁻¹): 3428 (s), 1618(s), 1589 (s), 1555 (s), 1470(s), 1383(s), 1297 (s), 1249 (s), 1221 (s), 1168 (m), 1112 (m), 1077 (w), 853 (w), 815 (w), 743 (s).

Zn₄Dy₃C₇₂H₇₉N₁₈O₅₃: Elemental analysis (%) found (calcd): C 30.93 (30.96), H 2.81 (2.85), N 9.04 (9.03). IR (KBr, v, cm⁻¹): 3421 (s), 1621 (s), 1591 (s), 1553 (s), 1470(s), 1387(s), 1298 (s), 1249 (s), 1226 (s), 1171 (m), 1118 (m), 1077 (w), 853 (w), 817 (w), 743 (s).



Figure S1. IR of H₃L, complex 1 and 2



Figure S2. Comparing the simulated PXRD with experimental patterns of complexes 1-2.



Figure S3. TGA curves of complex 1-2

Crystallography

	1	2
Empirical formula	$Zn_4Tb_3C_{72}H_{79}N_{18}O_{53}$	$Zn_4Dy_3C_{72}H_{79}N_{18}O_{53}$
Formula weight	2782.77	2793.51
<i>T</i> /K	291.48(10)	297.16(10)
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	P2/c	P2/c
a/Å	16.6079(8)	16.7456(18)
b/Å	12.0821(4)	12.2808(4)
c/Å	27.6915(9)	27.4108(12)
α /°	90	90
eta / $^{\circ}$	94.344(4)	94.542(6)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	90	90
V/Å ³	5540.6(4)	5619.3(7)
Ζ	2	2
D_{calc} /Mg m ⁻³	1.668	1.651
<i>F</i> (000)	2752.0	2758.0
θ range for data collection	3.368 to 51.188°	6.786 to 52.744°
Reflections collected/unique	21545/10260 (R _{int} =0.0465)	24643/11471 (R _{int} = 0.0988)
Data/restraints/parameters	10260/986/717	11471/461/689
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.028	1.031
Final <i>R</i> indices [I>2sigma (I)] ^a	$R_1 = 0.0822, wR_2 = 0.2176$	$R_1 = 0.0843, wR_2 = 0.1843$
R indices (all data) ^b	$R_1 = 0.1223, wR_2 = 0.2456$	$R_1 = 0.1586, wR_2 = 0.2340$
Largest diff. peak/hole/e. Å ⁻³	2.12/-1.73	2.63/-1.39
${}^{a}R_{1} = \Sigma F_{o} - F_{c} / \Sigma F_{o} ; {}^{b}wR_{2} = \Sigma$	$\sum [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)2] / \sum [w(F_o^2)2]^{1/2}$	

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for complex 1 and 2

Complex 1					
Tb(1)—O(1)	2.343(9)	Tb(1)—O(2)	2.324(8)	Tb(1)—O(6)	2.564(9)
Tb(1)—O(7)	2.355(9)	Tb(1)—O(11)	2.440(12)	Tb(1)—O(12)	2.470 (14)
Tb(1)—O(14)	2.435(14)	Tb(1)—O(15)	2.636(15)	Tb(1)—O(17)	2.460(9)
Tb(1)—O(18)	2.495(9)	Tb(1)—N(5)	2.87(2)	Tb(1)—N(6)	2.84(2)
Tb(2)—O(4)	2.301(7)	Tb(2)—O(5')	2.571(8)	Tb(2)—O(4')	2.301(7)
Tb(2)—O(9)	2.279(8)	Tb(2)—O(9')	2.279(8)	Tb(2)—O(5)	2.571(8)
Tb(2)—O(10')	2.354(7)	Zn(1)—O(1)	2.001(8)	Tb(2)—O(10)	2.354(7)
Zn(1)—O(8)	2.138(9)	Zn(1)—O(23)	2.029(9)	Zn(1)—O(7)	2.036(9)
Zn(2)—O(3)	2.203(7)	Zn(2)—O(4)	2.009(7)	Zn(1)—N(3)	2.033(11)
Zn(2)—O(20)	1.992(9)	Zn(2)—N(2)	2.036(10)	Zn(2)—O(10)	2.013(8)
¹ -X,+Y,1/2-Z					
Complex 2					
Dy(1)—O(1)	2.369(7)	Dy(1)—O(2)	2.292(9)	Dy(1)—O(6)	2.583(8)
Dy(1)—O(7)	2.349(8)	Dy(1)—O(11)	2.548(10)	Dy(1)—O(12)	2.479(11)
Dy(1)—O(14)	2.436(9)	Dy(1)—O(15)	2.646(10)	Dy(1)—O(17)	2.464(9)
Dy(1)—O(18)	2.469(9)	Dy(1)—N(6)	2.930(13)	Dy(1)—N(7)	2.908(13)
Dy(2)—O(4)	2.274(8)	Dy(2)—O(5')	2.574(8)	Dy(2)—O(4')	2.274(8)
Dy(2)—O(9)	2.287(9)	Dy(2)—O(9')	2.287(9)	Dy(2)—O(5)	2.574(8)
Dy(2)—O(10')	2.322(7)	Zn(1)—O(1)	1.985(7)	Dy(2)—O(10)	2.322(7)
Zn(1)—O(8)	2.162(8)	Zn(1)—O(20)	2.016(11)	Zn(1)—O(7)	2.048(7)
Zn(2)—O(3)	2.199(9)	Zn(2)—O(4)	1.997(8)	Zn(1)—N(3)	2.031(10)
Zn(2)—O(21)	2.041(9)	Zn(2)—N(2)	2.040(9)	Zn(2)—O(10)	2.047(8)
¹ -X,+Y,1/2-Z					

Table S2. Selected bond lengths (Å) for complexes 1 and 2 $\,$

Supplementary Structural Figures.



Figure S4. M and P enantiomers extracted from the structure of complex 1



Figure S5. Coordination polyhedrons of the Tb and Zn ions in complex 1

2. Photophysical properties



Figure S6. UV/Vis absorption spectra of H_3L , and complexes 1-2 in MeCN solution (1x10⁻⁵ m)

at room temperature.



Figure S7. Normalized excitation and emission spectra of the recycled catalyst in the solid state (a) and MeCN solution $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m})$; (b) at room temperature

Complex 1	State/solvent	$\tau_1(us)$	$\tau_2(us)$	Φ(%)
Fresh	solid	385	898	15.06
	CH ₃ CN	291	702	9.10
A ftor	solid	353	831	11.16
reactions	CH ₃ CN	170	571	5.98

Table S3. Comparison of luminescence lifetimes and quantum yields of **1** and after reactions in the solid state and MeCN solution $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m})$ under excitation at 365 nm at room temperature.

3. Catalysis Details

General procedure for the reaction of CO₂ cycloaddition of Epoxides.

The reactions were conducted in a 50 mL autoclave reactor and three cycles of pressurisation and depressurisation of the reactor (with CO₂ at 0.4 MPa) were carried out before finally stabilizing the pressure at 1 MPa. Then the vessel was set in an oil bath and stirring at 120 °C. After 1 h, the reaction was cooled down to room temperature and a small liquot of the supernatant reaction mixture was taken to be analyzed by ¹H NMR to calculate the yields of the reaction. Ambient experiments were conducted in a 20 mL flask that was charged with catalyst, TBAB and epoxide at room temperature for 48 h under an atmosphere of CO₂. The residue containing recovered catalyst was through centrifugal separation, washed with CH₃CN for three times and dried in vacuum, which can be used for the recycle reaction directly.

Cat. (%)	Co-cat.	Catalyst/Epoxide	Р	Т	Time	Yields	TOF	Ref	
	(%)	(Mole ratio)	(MPa)	(°C)	(h)	(%)	(h ⁻¹)		
$(C_6H_5)_3Nd(THF)$	TBAI	(styrene oxide)	0.1	85	24	93	19	2	
	(0.8)	1:500							
Ionic Rare Earth Metal	-	(styrene oxide)	0.1	90	12	93	38	3	
Complexes		1:500							
{[Eu(BTB)(phen)]	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	0.1	80	12	68	2	4	
$\cdot 4.5DMF \cdot 2H_2O\}n$	(2.5)	1:286							
Tb ₄ MOF	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	0.1	60	12	95	4	5	
	(2.5)	1:50							
1 ·Gd	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	0.1	60	12	70	1	6	
	(2.5)	1:133							
3d-4f MOF	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	0.1	70	12	77	6.5	7	
	(2.5)	1:100							
Yb-mesocate	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	1	120	2.5	95	380	8	
	(0.75)	1:1000							
$[La{N(SiHMe_2)_2}_2]$	TBAB	(propylene oxide)	1	70	4	95	59	9	
$\{k^3-bpzcp\}$]	(0.05)	1:1000							
Yb-DDPY	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	1	60	12	52	17	10	
	(0.5)	1:400							
Salen-Zn ^a	TBAI	(styrene oxide)	1	80	6	89	99	11	
	(0.5)	1:670							
$[L1Zn_2]_4$	TBAI	(propylene oxide)	1	45	68	87	-	12	
	(2.5)	1:40							
Zn ₃	-	(epichlorohydrin)	1	85	18	80	1.8	13	
		1:40							
1	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	1	120	1	96	3840	This	
	(0.75)	1:4000						work	
1	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	1	80	6	94	627	This	
	(0.75)	1:4000						work	
1	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	1	60	1	15	600	This	
	(0.75)	1:4000						work	
1	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	1	40	18	85	36	This	
	(3)	1:800						work	
a. N, N-phenylene-1,2-bis-salicylideneimine (salen Zn).									

Table S4. Overview of salen-Zn and lanthanide complexes catalysts

Cat.	Co-cat.	Catalyst/Epoxide	Р	Т	Tim	Yield	TOF	Ref			
		(Mole ratio)	Mole ratio) (MPa) (°C) e		e	S	(h ⁻¹)				
					(h)	(%)					
-	TBAB	(propylene epoxide)	3	120	1	11.5	-	14			
	(0.9)	1:40000									
Zn-CMP	TBAB	(propylene epoxide)	3	120	1	29	11600	14			
	(0.9)	1:40000									
Zn(OPO) ₂	TBAB	(propylene epoxide)	3	120	1	46	18400	11			
	(0.9)	1:40000									
1	TBAB	(propylene epoxide)	2	120	1	59	23600	This			
	(0.9)	1:40000						work			
1	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	2	120	1	78	31200	This			
	(0.9)	1:40000						work			
Zn-porphyrin	-	(1,2-Epoxyhexane)	1.7	160	1	79	26333	15			
		1:33333									
Al-aminotriphenolate	PPN-Br	(1,2-Epoxyhexane)	1	90	2	36	36000	16			
		1:200000									
Mg-porphyrin	-	(1,2-Epoxyhexane)	1.7	120	1	36	12000	17			
		1:33333									
1	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	1	120	1	67	26800	This			
	(0.5)	1:40000						work			
-	TBAB	(styrene oxide)	1	120		19	-	This			
	(0.5)	1:40000						work			
E. hexabutylguanidini	E. hexabutylguanidinium bromide										

Table S5. Representative homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts with high TOF used for the synthesis of cyclic carbonates.



Table S6. Synthesis of cyclic carbonate via insertion of CO_2 to styrene oxide catalysed by 1 and H_3L under 120 °C, respectively.

Entry	Cat.(%)	Co-cat.(%)	T(°C	P(bar)	Time(h	Yield(%	TOF(h ⁻¹)
)))	
1	-	TBAB(0.75	120	10	1	25	-
)					
2	1(0.025)	TBAB(0.75	120	10	1	96	3840
)					
3	1(0.025)	TBAB(0.5)	120	10	1	85	3400
4	1(0.025)	TBAB(0.4)	120	10	1	80	3200
5	1(0.025)	TBAB(0.25	120	10	1	54	2160
)					
6	1(0.0025)	TBAB(0.5)	120	10	1	67	26800
7	1(0.0025)	TBAB(0.9)	120	20	1	78	31200
8	H ₃ L	-	120	10	1	0	-
9	H ₃ L	TBAB(0.75	120	10	1	47	470
)					

Reaction conditions: 10 mmol epoxide, 0.0025-0.025 mol % catalyst, 0.25-0.9 mol % TBAB, 120 °C, 1 h, 10-20 atm. H_3L utilized was with the same amount in 0.025 mol % of 1. Yields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis. Selectivity of cyclic carbonates

were all >99%.



Table S7. Synthesis of cyclic carbonate via insertion of CO_2 to styrene oxide catalysed by catalyst **1** under ambient condition.

Entry	Cat.(%)	Co-cat.(%)	T(°C)	P(bar)	Time(h)	Yield(%)
1	-	TBAB(7.2)	r.t	1	48	11
2	1(0.125)	TBAB(7.2)	r.t	1	48	81
3	1 (0.125)	TBAB(6)	r.t	1	48	80
4	1 (0.125)	TBAB(4.8)	r.t	1	48	79
5	1(0.125)	TBAB(3.6)	r.t	1	48	76
6	1 (0.125)	TBAB(1.8)	r.t	1	48	46

Reaction conditions: 10 mmol epoxide, 0.125 mol % catalyst, 1.8-7.2 mol % TBAB, r,t, 48 h, 1 atm; Yields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis. Selectivity of cyclic carbonates were all >99%.

Table S8. Synthesis of cyclic carbonate via insertion of CO_2 to PO catalysed by catalyst 1.

Entry	Cat.(%)	Co-cat.(%)	T(°C)	P(bar)	Time(h)	Yield(%) ^a
1	-	TBAB(7.2)	r.t	10	24	16
2	1 (0.125)	TBAB(7.2)	r.t	10	24	96
3	-	TBAB(2.5)	r.t	10	24	14
4	1(0.125)	TBAB(2.5)	r.t	10	24	79

Reaction conditions: 10 mmol epoxide, 0.125 mol % catalyst, 2.5-7.2 mol % TBAB, r.t, 24 h, 10 atm; Yields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis. Selectivity of cyclic carbonates were all >99%.

Entry	Round	Yield/%
1	1	81
2	2	80
3	3	77
4	4	76
5	5	74

Table S9. Recycling experiments of CO₂ cycloaddition of styrene oxide under the ambient conditions (styrene oxide, 10 mmol; catalyst, 12.5 μmol; TBAB, 0.72 mmol; 1atm, r.t, 48 h)



Figure S8. Recycling experiments of CO₂ cycloaddition of styrene oxide under ambient

conditions



Figure S9. IR spectra of 1 and its sample after recycled reactions.



Figure S10. PXRD patterns of 1 and the recycled catalyst after reactions



Figure S11. Comparing the simulated PXRD with experimental patterns of HKUST-1.



Figure S12. The yields of various cyclic carbonates catalyzed by 1 (black) and HKUST-1 (grey) after 48 h reactions under ambient condition, respectively.

MOF (loading ^a)	Co-cat. (%)	Epoxide	T (K)	p (bar)	Reaction time (h)	Yiel d (%)	Reusability	Substrate scope (diffusion limitation)	Ref
$(Zn_4O)_2(Zn_2)1.5(CPD)_6$ (0.016mol % of metal)	Bu ₄ NBr (3.7)	РО	r.t	12	60	99	good	diffusion limitation	18
Hf-NU-1000 (4.0mol %, -OH)	Bu ₄ NBr (10)	SO	r.t	1	56	100	good	not studied	19
USTC-253-TFA (1mol % of metal)	Bu ₄ NBr (6.5)	РО	298	1	72	81	good	not studied	20
MIL-53 (1mol % MOF)	Bu ₄ NBr (6.5)	РО	298	1	72	54	not studied	not studied	20
MOF-253 (1mol % MOF)	Bu ₄ NBr (6.5)	РО	298	1	72	82	not studied	not studied	20
MIL-101 (1mol % MOF)	Bu ₄ NBr (6.5)	РО	298	1	72	31	not studied	not studied	20
UiO-66 (1mol % MOF)	Bu ₄ NBr (6.5)	РО	298	1	72	55	not studied	not studied	20
ZnGlu (1.6mol % MOF)	Bu ₄ NBr (1.6)	РО	r.t	10	24	65	very low	not studied	21
Zn ₃ (PTB) ₂	Bu ₄ NBr (7 .2)	РО	r.t	1	48	92	not studied	limited diffusion of larger epoxide molecules	22
MMPF-9 (0.125mol % MOF)	Bu ₄ NBr (7.2)	РО	r.t	1	48	87	only 1 recycling	diffusion limitation	23
MMPF-18 (0.25mol % metal)	Bu ₄ NBr (10)	РО	r.t.	1	48	97	low	diffusion limitation	24
MMCF-2 (0.125mol % MOF)	Bu ₄ NBr (7.2)	РО	r.t.	1	48	95	not studied	not studied	25
HKUST-1 (0.125mol % MOF)	Bu ₄ NBr (7.2)	РО	r.t.	1	48	49	not studied	not studied	25
MOF-505 (0.125mol % MOF)	Bu ₄ NBr (7.2)	РО	r.t.	1	48	48	not studied	not studied	25
[Cu4(tetraisophtalic acid)]n (0.8mol % Cu)	Bu ₄ NBr (10)	РО	r.t.	1	48	96	good	low activity with bulkier substrates	26
Co-CMP (0.125mol % Co)	Bu ₄ NBr (7.2)	РО	r.t	1	48	81	good	not studied	27
1	Bu_4NBr	РО	r.t.	1	48	96	good	wide scope	This

Table S10. Overview of the MOFs catalysts for the conversion of CO2 to cyclic carbonates under similar conditions

(0.125mol % complex)	(7.2)	SO	81	work

Figure S17. The proposed mechanisms for the cycloaddition reaction catalyzed by the helicates.



4. Characterization data and NMR Spectra.















5. References:

- K. Cheng, Q.-Z. Zheng, Y. Qian, L. Shi, J. Zhao and H.-L. Zhu, *Biorg. Med. Chem.*, 2009, 17, 7861.
- (2) J. Qin, P. Wang, Q. Li, Y. Zhang, D. Yuan and Y. Yao, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 10952.
- (3) J. Dong, H. Xu, S. L. Hou, Z. L. Wu and B. Zhao, Inorg. Chem., 2017, 56, 6244.
- (4) H. Xu, B. Zhai, C. S. Cao and B. Zhao, Inorg. Chem., 2016, 55, 9671.
- (5) J. Dong, H. Xu, S. L. Hou, Z. L. Wu and B. Zhao, Inorg. Chem., 2017, 56, 6244.
- (6) J. Dong, P. Cui, P.-F. Shi, P. Cheng and B. Zhao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 15988.
- (7) W. Z. Qiao, H. Xu, P. Cheng and B. Zhao, Cryst. Growth Des., 2017, 17, 3128.
- (8) Q. Han, L. Wang, Z. Shi, C. Xu, Z. Dong, Z. Mou and W. Liu, Chem. Asian. J. 2017, 12, 1364.
- (9) A. Otero, J. Martinez, J. Fernandez-Baeza, L. F. Sanchez-Barba, J. A. Castro-Osma and A. Lara-Sanchez, *ChemSusChem.*, 2017, **10**, 2886.
- (10) N. Wei, Y. Zhang, L. Liu, Z. B. Han and D. Q. Yuan, Appl. Catal., B., 2017, 219, 603.
- (11) R. Ma, L.-N. He and Y.-B. Zhou, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 226.
- (12) R. M. Haak, A. Decortes, E. C. Escudero-Adán, M. M. Belmonte, E. Martin, J. Benet-Buchholz and A. W. Kleij, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 7934.
- (13) M. V. Escárcega-Bobadilla, M. Martínez Belmonte, E. Martin, E. C. Escudero-Adán and A. W. Kleij, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 2641
- (14) Y. Xie, T. T. Wang, R. X. Yang, N. Y. Huang, K. Zou and W. Q. Deng, *ChemSusChem*. 2014, 7, 2110.
- (15) Maeda, C.; Taniguchi, T.; Ogawa, K.; Ema, T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 134.
- (16) C.J. Whiteoak, N. Kielland, V. Laserna, E. C. Escudero-Adán, E. Martin and A. W. Kleij, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 1228.
- (17) T. Ema, Y. Miyazaki, J. Shimonishi, C. Maeda and J. Y. Hasegawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 15270.
- (18) R. Zou, P. Z. Li, Y. F. Zeng, J. Liu, R. Zhao, H. Duan and Y. Zhao, Small, 2016, 12, 2334.
- (19) M. H. Beyzavi, R. C. Klet, S. Tussupbayev, J. Borycz, N. A. Vermeulen, C. J. Cramer and Farha, O. K, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 15861.
- (20) Z. R. Jiang, H. Wang, Y. Hu, J. Lu and H. L. Jiang, ChemSusChem. 2015, 8, 878.

- (21) A. C. Kathalikkattil, R. Roshan, J. Tharun, R. Babu, G.-S. Jeong, D.-W. Kim, S. J. Cho, D.-W. Park, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 280.
- (22) S. Kumar, G. Verma, W. Y. Gao, Z. Niu, L. Wojtas and S. Ma, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 2016, 4373.
- (23) W. Y Gao, L. Wojtas, S. Ma, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 5316.
- (24) W. Y Gao, C. Y. Tsai, L. Wojtas, T. Thiounn, C. C. Lin and S. Ma, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 55, 7291.
- (25) W. Y Gao, Y. Chen, Y. Niu, K. Williams, L. Cash, P. J. Perez, and S. Ma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 2014, 53, 2615.
- (26) P. Z. Li, X. J. Wang, J. Liu, J. S. Lim, R. Zou and Y. Zhao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 2142.
- (27) Y. Xie, T.-T. Wang, X.-H. Liu, K. Zou and W.-Q. Deng, Nat. Commun., 2013, 4, 1960.